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## FORWARD-LOOKING STATEMENTS

This Annual Report contains forward-looking statements within the meaning of Section 27A of the Securities Act of 1933, as amended, and Section 21E of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, including, but not limited to the following:
o projections for growth of the residential loan servicing business and business opportunities in other core businesses;
o assumptions related to the sources of liquidity and the adequacy of financial resources;
o estimates regarding interest rates and foreign currency transactions; and expectations related to pending litigation.

Forward-looking statements are not guarantees of future performance and involve a number of assumptions, risks and uncertainties that could cause actual results to differ materially. Important factors that could cause actual results to differ materially from those suggested by the forward-looking statements include, but are not limited to, the following:
o general economic and market conditions,
o prevailing interest or currency exchange rates,
o availability of servicing rights for purchase, governmental regulations and policies,
international political and economic uncertainty,
availability of adequate and timely sources of liquidity,
uncertainty related to dispute resolution and litigation, and
real estate market conditions and trends.

Further information on the risks specific to our business are detailed within this report and our other reports and filings with the Securities and Exchange Commission, including our periodic reports on Form $10-\mathrm{K}$ and Form $10-\mathrm{Q}$ and current reports on Form $8-\mathrm{K}$. The forward-looking statements speak only as of the date they are made and should not be relied upon. OCN undertakes no obligation to update or revise the forward-looking statements.

ITEM 1 BUSINESS (Dollars in thousands)

## GENERAL


#### Abstract

Ocwen Financial Corporation ("OCN") is a provider of servicing and origination processing solutions to the loan industry with headquarters in West Palm Beach, Florida, offices in Orlando, Florida and Chicago, Illinois and global operations in Canada, Germany, India, China and Taiwan. OCN is a Florida corporation that was organized in February 1988 in connection with the acquisition of Ocwen Federal Bank FSB (the "Bank").


Effective June 30, 2005, the Bank terminated its status as a federal savings bank. This process, which we have referred to as "debanking," began on November 24, 2004, when the Bank filed an Application for Voluntary Dissolution with the Office of Thrift Supervision ("OTS").

In connection with our debanking process, on February 4, 2005, OCN and the Bank entered into a Branch Purchase and Deposit Assumption Agreement (the "Branch Purchase Agreement") with Marathon National Bank of New York ("Marathon"). Pursuant to the Branch Purchase Agreement, Marathon agreed to assume the deposit liabilities of the accounts associated with the Bank's branch facility in Fort Lee, New Jersey. In addition, Marathon agreed to take over the lease and other contracts and acquire the assets related to the branch. We agreed to make a cash payment to Marathon of $\$ 164,212$, which was calculated based upon, among other things, the amount of those deposit account liabilities as of the closing. On June 13, 2005, the OTS approved our plan of voluntary dissolution for the Bank subject to certain conditions, including, among other things, our entering into an agreement to guaranty the obligations of the Bank (other than the deposit and other liabilities that were assumed by Marathon in connection with the Branch Purchase Agreement), a cash collateral agreement and a collateral trust agreement, all on terms acceptable to the OTS.

On June 30, 2005, we completed our divestiture to Marathon of the deposit liabilities of the accounts associated with the branch and our assignment of the remaining assets, liabilities and business of the Bank to Ocwen Loan Servicing, LLC ("OLS"). We recognized a gain of $\$ 1,750$ from the sale of our branch deposit liabilities to Marathon. In addition, we recorded a one-time provision of $\$ 1,124$, which is net of a related adjustment to the deferred tax asset valuation allowance, arising from the recapture of bad debt reserves in connection with our termination of the Bank's status as a federal savings bank. We are continuing the Bank's non-depository businesses, including its residential mortgage servicing business, under OLS, which is a licensed servicer in all fifty states, the District of Columbia and Puerto Rico. As a result of debanking, we are no longer able to accept deposits in the United States ("U.S.") or benefit from federal preemption with regard to post-debanking activities.

## AVAILABLE INFORMATION

Our annual report on Form 10-K, quarterly reports on Form 10-Q, current reports on Form $8-K$ and all amendments to those reports are made available free of charge through our website (http://www.ocwen.com) as soon as practicable after such material is electronically filed with or furnished to the Securities and Exchange Commission (the "SEC"). Also posted on our website, and available in print upon request, are the charters for our Audit Committee, Compensation Committee and Governance Committee, our Governance Guidelines, and Code of Ethics and Code of Ethics for Senior Financial Officers. Within the time period required by the Securities and Exchange Commission ("SEC") and the New York Stock Exchange, we will post on our website any amendment to or waiver of the Code of Ethics for Senior Financial Officers, as well as any amendment to the Code of Ethics or waiver thereto applicable to any executive officer or director. The information provided on our website is not part of this report, and is therefore not incorporated herein by reference.

On June 13, 2005, pursuant to Section 303A. 12 of the New York Stock Exchange's listing standards, our Chief Executive Officer certified to the New York Stock Exchange that he was not aware of any violation by Ocwen Financial Corporation of the New York Stock Exchange corporate governance listing standards as of that date. Additionally, we filed with the SEC the CEO/CFO certifications required under Section 302 of the Sarbanes-0xley Act as Exhibits to our Form 10-K.

As of December 31, 2005, our business segments, aligned within our two areas of focus, are as follows:

SERVICING<br>Residential Servicing<br>Commercial Servicing Ocwen Recovery Group

LOAN PROCESSING AND ORIGINATION SERVICES Residential Origination Services Business Process Outsourcing

In addition to these business segments, we report other items of revenue and expense in our Corporate Items and Other segment as discussed later in this section.

## Key elements of our strategy are summarized as follows:

o continue to grow our residential servicing business, including the opportunistic acquisition of servicing and subservicing rights;
0 grow our residential loan origination services, including mortgage due diligence, mortgage loan processing, property valuation and loan refinancing; and
o expand our unsecured debt collection and business process outsourcing businesses.

A more detailed description of each of our business segments follows.

## RESIDENTIAL SERVICING

We service performing, sub-performing and non-performing residential mortgage loans. Subprime mortgages comprised the vast majority of the $\$ 42,779,048$ in total unpaid principal balance of loans we serviced for others at December 31, 2005. We serviced loans under 466 servicing agreements for 22 clients as of December 31, 2005. These clients include well-capitalized mortgage originators, such as New Century and Delta Funding, Wall Street firms with mortgage securitization platforms, such as Lehman Brothers, Credit Suisse First Boston and Morgan Stanley, and governmental agencies, such as the United States Department of Veterans Affairs. Revenue from this segment comprised 74\%, 78\% and $85 \%$ of consolidated revenues in 2005, 2004 and 2003, respectively.

We are entitled to service mortgages because we purchased the servicing rights from the owners of the mortgages or have entered into a subservicing agreement with an entity that owns the servicing rights. The rights and obligations of servicing rights are typically specified in an agreement between the various parties to a mortgage securitization transaction. Our largest source of revenue with respect to servicing rights is the servicing fees we earn pursuant to servicing and subservicing agreements. Servicing fees are usually earned as a percentage of the unpaid principal amount of the mortgages that are being serviced. A typical servicing fee is approximately $0.50 \%$ per year and a typical subservicing fee ranges from approximately $0.17 \%$ to $0.19 \%$ per year. The servicing and subservicing fees are supplemented by related income, including late fees from borrowers who are delinquent in remitting their monthly mortgage payments and interest earned on payments made to us but not yet remitted to the owner of the mortgage.

As a servicer or subservicer, we also have a variety of obligations that are also specified in the servicing or subservicing agreement including the obligation to service the mortgages according to certain contractual standards and to advance funds to securitization trusts in the event that borrowers are delinquent on their monthly mortgage payments. The costs incurred in connection with meeting these obligations includes, but is not limited to, the cost of servicing the loans and the interest expense incurred to finance the servicing rights and servicing advances incurred in connection with conducting the business.

The contracts for servicing may provide for a single bulk transfer of a servicing portfolio or the ongoing transfer of loans as they are originated or purchased by counterparties to the servicing contract. While the loan data are transferred to us for servicing, the client retains ownership of the loans.

The acquisition of servicing rights is generally structured as a two-part bid process. A preliminary bid is provided to each potential client following a preliminary due diligence review of the loan portfolio, the seller's financial status, the strategic fit of the portfolio with our servicing capabilities and the portfolio's historical performance characteristics.

Once the preliminary bid is accepted, we initiate a further evaluation of the portfolio through a formal due diligence process, which includes a more detailed analysis of historical prepayment and delinquency experience. This formal process determines the present value of a projected
stream of cash inflows and outflows from the portfolio. Based upon the findings, a final bid is prepared for approval by our Credit Committee and submitted for consideration. Upon acceptance, we enter into a servicing rights purchase agreement.

The U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development, Freddie Mac and Fannie Mae have approved OLS as a loan servicer. Standard \& Poor's Rating Services ("Standard \& Poor's") has rated OLS "Strong" as a Residential Subprime Servicer and Residential Special Servicer. "Strong" represents Standard \& Poor's highest ratings category. Moody's Investors Services, Inc. ("Moody's") has rated OLS "SQ2-" as a Residential Subprime Servicer and "SQ2" as a Residential Special Servicer. "SQ2" represents Moody's second highest rating category. Fitch Ratings ("Fitch") has rated OLS "RPS2" for Residential Subprime Servicing and "RSS2" for Residential Special Servicing. These represent Fitch's second highest categories, respectively.

This segment also includes our residential loan servicing system product (REALServicing(TM)). Our REALServicing product is a comprehensive enterprise-level residential mortgage loan servicing platform which we have used since January 2001.

## COMMERCIAL SERVICING

This segment includes the results of operations of both domestic and international servicing as well as our commercial loan servicing system product (REALSynergy(TM)). International servicing is conducted through Global Servicing Solutions LLC ("GSS"), a joint servicing venture we formed with Merrill Lynch in March 2002 for the servicing of assets in various countries. We own $70 \%$ of GSS with the remaining $30 \%$ owned by Merrill Lynch. GSS servicing offices in Tokyo, Japan and Taipei, Taiwan became fully operational during 2003. In 2005, we sold our servicing operations in Japan. We established a servicing office in Toronto, Canada in late 2004. We have established consulting operations in the United Kingdom ("UK"), Germany and China. We commenced servicing loans in Germany in the fourth quarter of 2005. Revenue from this segment comprised $5 \%$, $4 \%$ and $2 \%$ of consolidated revenues in 2005, 2004 and 2003, respectively.

Our REALSynergy product is a comprehensive enterprise-level commercial mortgage loan servicing platform.

## OCWEN RECOVERY GROUP

This segment conducts collection activities for third party owners of unsecured receivables and for a portfolio of unsecured credit card receivables that we acquired in 1999 and 2000. On collections for third party owners, we generally earn a fee based upon a percentage of the dollars collected. The percentage fee generally ranges from $17 \%$ to $50 \%$. We intend to continue to grow our third party collections activity. Revenue from this segment comprised 3\%, 4\% and $4 \%$ of consolidated revenues in 2005, 2004 and 2003, respectively.

## RESIDENTIAL ORIGINATION SERVICES

This business segment provides various loan origination services including residential property valuation services, mortgage due diligence, title services and loan refinancing for Residential Servicing customers. This segment also includes our internet-based vendor management system (REALTransSM) and the results of our subprime residual trading securities. Revenue from this segment comprised $15 \%$, $11 \%$ and $7 \%$ of consolidated revenues in 2005, 2004 and 2003, respectively.

We provide valuation services to external customers in the wholesale lending community as well as for our own residential real estate transactions. An important part of the process of acquiring and managing mortgage loan portfolios is the accurate review and analysis of the collateral offered as security for the loans. This business not only provides traditional valuation products such as appraisals and broker price opinions, it also employs valuation models and other alternative valuation products that can meet the specific risk management needs of our customers.

Beginning with the acquisition of our due diligence operation in December of 2004, we have begun to develop a new line of business which is focused on adding fee-based services in areas related to the origination and securitization process. We believe that this will provide an economic hedge to our core residential servicing business which experiences challenges in a low interest rate environment. Low interest rates cause mortgage prepayments to increase, thereby resulting in the loss of future servicing fees and the acceleration of amortization on our mortgage servicing rights as the underlying loans are prepaid. Further, low interest rates diminish the amount we can earn on the borrower payments that we hold in custodial accounts from receipt through remittance to the investors.

Conversely, origination and securitization activity flourishes in a low interest rate environment. Our strategy has three basic components:
o Sell due diligence services on closed whole loans to wall Street firms who actively purchase loans for their securitization programs.
o Participate in a program to offer securitization capability to small originators, lacking sufficient production volume to securitize on their own. The first securitization under this program is expected to close during the first quarter of 2006.
o Provide back office services to small originators who either lack those capabilities or wish to eliminate those operations.

Our REALTrans product is a web-based vendor management platform that facilitates the electronic fulfillment of real estate products and services necessary to process, approve and close residential mortgage loans, as well as to service them.

## BUSINESS PROCESS OUTSOURCING

This business segment began operations in December 2002. The results reflect the initiation of several new outsourcing contracts in late 2003 and 2004. Business Process Outsourcing provides outsourcing services to third parties, including mortgage underwriting, data entry, call center services and mortgage research. Revenue from this segment comprised $3 \%, 3 \%$ and $2 \%$ of consolidated revenues in 2005, 2004 and 2003, respectively.

## CORPORATE ITEMS AND OTHER

We account for certain items of revenue and expense that are not directly related to a business unit in our Corporate Items and Other segment. Included in our Corporate Items and Other segment is interest income on short-term investments of cash and the related costs of financing these investments, results of business activities that are not significant, gains and losses on the early retirement of debt and certain other corporate income and expense items. Based on the relative insignificance of the assets remaining in the Commercial Assets and Affordable Housing segments, the remaining assets of these businesses and any related income or loss arising from their resolution have been included in the Corporate Items and Other segment beginning in 2005. Segment results for prior periods have been restated to conform to this presentation.

See "Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations - Segments" for additional financial information and related discussion regarding each of our segments.

## SOURCES OF FUNDS

We closely monitor our liquidity position and ongoing funding requirements. The principal sources of funds that support our business activities are:
o Lines of credit and other secured borrowings
o Match funded liabilities
o Debt securities
o Servicing fees
o Payments received on trading securities
In the last several years, our Residential Servicing business has grown primarily through the purchase of servicing rights. Servicing rights entitle us as the owner to earn servicing fees and other types of ancillary income, but they also impose on us various obligations as the servicer. Among these are the obligation to advance our own funds to meet contractual principal and interest payments for certain investors and to pay taxes, insurance and various other items that are required to preserve the assets being serviced.

Our ability to continue to expand our Residential Servicing business depends in part on our ability to obtain additional financing to purchase new servicing rights and to fund servicing advances. We currently use a variety of sources of debt to finance these assets, including match funded agreements and credit facilities. Our credit facilities provide financing to us at amounts that are less than the full value of the related servicing assets that serve as collateral for the credit facilities. If we cannot replace or renew these sources as they mature or obtain additional sources of financing, we may unable to acquire new servicing rights and make the associated advances.

As a result of debanking, customer deposits no longer represent a source of funds for us in the U.S. Although we believe our existing sources of liquidity will be adequate to fund our planned activities for the foreseeable future, we continue to evaluate other sources of funds.

See "Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations - Liquidity, Commitments and Off-Balance Sheet Risks" for additional financial information regarding our sources of funds.

## COMPETITION

A discussion of competition as it relates to our primary core businesses appears in Item 1A, "Risk Factors."

## SUBSIDIARIES

A list of our significant subsidiaries is set forth in Exhibit 21.0.

As of December 31, 2005, we had a total of 3,396 employees, of which 872 were in our U.S. facilities and 2,467 were in our India operations centers. We have developed our India operations centers over the past four years in order to benefit from the cost savings opportunities and quality workforce available in that country. We also had 57 employees in other countries at December 31, 2005.

In the U.S., our operations are concentrated in our headquarters in West Palm Beach, Florida which had 265 employees as of December 31, 2005, and our operations center in Orlando, Florida, which had 389 staff members as of the same date. In addition, we had 218 employees at various other locations in the U.S.

In India, our operations are located in the cities of Bangalore and Mumbai. Of the 2,467 members of the staff in India as of December 31, 2005, 1, 682 were in Bangalore and 785 were in Mumbai. Our India workforce can be summarized by business as follows:
o $35 \%$ are engaged in activities for our Residential Servicing business,
$18 \%$ support Business Process Outsourcing,
17\% support our Residential Origination Services business,
$6 \%$ work in various other business units,
19\% provide technology support to the business groups and
5\% represent various other support functions, including Human Resources and Corporate Services, Accounting and Risk Management.

## REGULATION

Effective June 30, 2005, we completed the debanking process. We no longer control a federal savings bank and are no longer subject to federal banking regulations, but we remain subject to certain federal, state and local consumer protection provisions. As a result of the debanking, we also became subject to regulation as a mortgage service provider and/or as a debt collector in a number of states in which we previously had enjoyed exemptions. We have not previously operated our mortgage servicing and debt collection businesses under such regulatory regimes, and there can be no assurance that this transition will not result in additional costs or uncertainties that would have a material adverse effect on the profitability of our mortgage servicing and debt collection businesses.

On April 19, 2004, the Bank entered into a Supervisory Agreement with the OTS. The Supervisory Agreement terminated upon the completion of debanking as a result of the Bank no longer being an FDIC-insured institution. However, for a period of six years following the completion of debanking, the OTS retains the right to bring enforcement actions in respect of any breach or noncompliance by the Bank with the Supervisory Agreement during the period prior to the completion of our debanking during which the Supervisory Agreement was in effect.

In addition, in connection with the debanking process, we entered into various agreements to meet the conditions to the OTS' approval. We entered into an Assignment and Assumption Agreement, dated June 28, 2005, with our subsidiaries Investors Mortgage Insurance Holding Company, Rocaille Acquisition Subsidiary, Inc., the Bank and OLS whereby the Bank assigned to OLS, directly or indirectly, all of its assets, liabilities and business remaining after the consummation of the debanking transactions (the "Assignment"). Also on June 28, 2005, we entered into an agreement (the "Guaranty") in favor of the OTS and any holders of claims with respect to liabilities assumed by OLS from the Bank in connection with the Assignment. The Guaranty contains affirmative covenants relating to the maintenance of a cash collateral account, reporting requirements, transactions with affiliates, preservation of the existence of our subsidiaries and maintenance of not less than $\$ 35,000$ of unencumbered assets. The Guaranty also contains negative covenants that restrict our ability to (i) incur indebtedness if certain financial ratios are not achieved or if we fail to maintain a specified minimum net worth, (ii) enter into merger transactions or a sale of substantially all of our assets, (iii) sell, lease transfer or otherwise dispose of our assets or (iv) pay dividends or acquire our capital stock. While we do not expect that compliance with the Guaranty will have a material adverse impact on our financial condition, results of operations or cash flows, if an event of default were to occur we would be obligated to increase the cash collateral amount. Furthermore, the OTS and other beneficiaries of the Guaranty are entitled to initiate enforcement proceedings against us, which, in the case of the OTS, could result in civil money penalties.

Our business is subject to extensive regulation and supervision by federal, state and local governmental authorities and is subject to various laws and judicial and administrative decisions imposing requirements and restrictions on a substantial portion of our operations. Our servicing activities are subject to supervision by numerous federal and state agencies, including the Federal Trade Commission and state agencies that license our mortgage servicing entities. We also are required to comply with a variety of federal, state and local consumer protection laws, including the Gramm-Leach-Bliley Act, the USA Patriot Act, the Fair Debt Collections Practice Act, Real Estate Settlement Procedures Act, the Equal Credit Opportunity Act, the Fair Credit Reporting Act, the Fair and Accurate Credit Transactions Act and the Homeowners Protection Act, among others. We are also subject to the rules and regulations of state regulatory authorities with respect to our operations. These requirements can and do change as statutes and regulations are enacted, promulgated or amended.

These statutes and regulations, among other things, regulate debt collection, foreclosure and claims handling, investment and interest payments on escrow balances and payment features, mandate certain disclosures and notices to borrowers and prohibit discrimination. Since debanking, we receive from time to time requests from state agencies for records, documents and information regarding our policies, procedures and practices regarding our loan servicing, loan origination and processing, and debt collection business activities. We incur significant costs on an on-going basis to comply with governmental regulations.

Bankhaus Oswald Kruber GmbH \& Co. KG ("BOK"), our German banking subsidiary, is licensed as a credit institution (Kreditinstitut) under the laws of the Federal Republic of Germany and is supervised and regulated in Germany by the German Federal Financial Supervisory Authority (Bundesanstalt fur Finanzdienstleistungsaufsicht - BaFin), the German Central Bank (Deutsche Bundesbank) and, in respect of minimum reserves on deposits, the European Central Bank. BOK, which we acquired on September 30, 2004, is not material to our current operations.

## ITEM 1A. RISK FACTORS

An investment in OCN's common stock involves significant risks inherent to OCN's business. The principal risks and uncertainties that management believes affect or could affect OCN are described below. The risks and uncertainties described below are not the only ones facing OCN. Additional risks and uncertainties that management is not aware of or focused on or that management currently deems immaterial may also impair OCN's business operations. This report is qualified in its entirety by these risk factors. You should carefully read and consider these risks and uncertainties described below together with all of the other information included or incorporated by reference in this report before you decide to invest in our common stock. If any of the following risks actually occur, OCN's financial condition and results of operations could be materially and adversely affected. If this were to happen, the value of OCN's common stock could decline significantly, and you could lose all or part of your investment.

Our success is dependent upon our ability to acquire and accurately price mortgage servicing right, as well as general economic conditions in the geographic areas in which we service loans.

The primary risk associated with mortgage servicing rights is that they will lose a portion of their value as a result of higher than anticipated prepayments occasioned by declining interest rates or because of higher than anticipated delinquency rates occasioned by deteriorating credit conditions. Interest rates, prepayment speeds and the payment performance of the underlying loans significantly affect both our initial and ongoing valuations and the rate of amortization of mortgage servicing rights. In general, the value of mortgage servicing assets is affected by increased mortgage refinance activity that is influenced by changes in borrowers' credit ratings, shifts in value in the housing market and interest rates. While mortgage servicing rights tend to decrease in value as interest rates decrease, they tend to increase in value as interest rates increase. During 2003 and 2004 and most of 2005, high prepayment speeds resulted in significant rates of amortization expense of our mortgage servicing rights.

We acquire servicing rights principally from mortgage origination companies and investment banks. Servicing rights are typically acquired based upon a competitive bidding process. A number of our competitors have access to greater capital resources which may provide them with a competitive advantage if they seek to increase their market share. Although the market for the acquisition of servicing rights to subprime mortgage loans has grown in recent years, we may be unable to acquire the desired amount and type of servicing rights in future periods. In addition, the volume of servicing rights acquired by us may vary over time resulting in significant inter-period variations in our results of operations.

In determining the purchase price for servicing rights, management makes assumptions regarding the following, among other things:
o the rates of prepayment and repayment within the pools;
o projected rates of delinquencies and defaults;
o our cost to service the loans;
0 amounts of future servicing advances;
o ancillary fee income;
o our ability to service and resolve loans successfully and
o future interest rates.
If these assumptions are inaccurate or the bases for the assumptions change, the price we pay for servicing rights may be too high. This could result in reduced revenue or a loss to us. Therefore, our success is highly dependent upon accurate pricing of servicing rights as well as general economic conditions in the geographic areas in which we service loans.

Our strategy to grow our business is subject to uncertainty.
Our strategy focuses on providing asset servicing and origination processing solutions to the loan industry. Many factors could adversely affect our ability to realize this strategy including general economic factors, the general interest rate environment, our ability to maintain the servicing ratings assigned to us by rating agencies, government regulation, competition, our ability to obtain mortgage servicing rights, the effectiveness of our marketing initiatives, our ability to recruit or replace experienced management and operations personnel and the availability of funding. In addition, there can be no assurance that we will be able to accomplish our strategic objectives as a result of changes in the nature of our operations over time or that such changes will not have a material adverse effect from time to time or generally on our business, financial condition or results of operations.

A downgrade in our servicer ratings could have an adverse effect on our business, financial condition or results of operations.

Standard \& Poor's, Moody's and Fitch rate us as a mortgage servicer. Our favorable servicer ratings from these entities are important to the conduct of our loan servicing business. We can provide no assurance that these ratings will not be downgraded in the future. Any such downgrade could have an adverse effect on our business, financing activities, financial condition or results of operations.

Our earnings may be inconsistent.
Our exit from certain businesses and entry into others has resulted in variations in our results of operations and earnings. Our past financial performance should not be considered a reliable indicator of future performance, and historical trends may not be reliable indicators of anticipated results or trends in future periods.

In addition to inconsistency in results caused by our entry into or exit from businesses, the consistency of our operating results may be significantly affected by inter-period variations in our current operations, including the amount of servicing rights acquired and the changes in realizable value of those assets due to, among other factors, increases or decreases in prepayment speeds.

In addition, certain non-recurring items have significantly affected our operating results. Items reported by us in prior periods may not be repeated in future periods, and we may experience substantial inter-period variations in our operating results.

Governmental and legal proceedings and related costs could adversely affect our financial results.

We face the risk of governmental proceedings and litigation, including class action lawsuits, challenging our residential loan servicing and other business practices.

On April 19, 2004, the Bank entered into a Supervisory Agreement with the OTS. Although the Supervisory Agreement terminated upon the completion of debanking, for a period of six years following the completion of debanking, the OTS retains the right to bring enforcement actions in respect of any breach or noncompliance by the Bank with the Supervisory Agreement during the period prior to the completion of our debanking. Accordingly, there can be no assurance that any such eventualities were they to occur, would not have a material adverse effect on our financial condition, results of operations or cash flows.

In addition, in connection with debanking, we entered into various agreements to meet the conditions to the OTS' approval including a Guaranty in favor of the OTS and any holders of claims with respect to liabilities assumed by OLS from the Bank. While we do not expect that compliance with the Guaranty will have a material adverse impact on our financial condition, results of operations or cash flows, if an event of default were to occur we would be obligated to increase the $\$ 5,000$ cash collateral amount established under the terms of the Guaranty. Furthermore, the OTS and other beneficiaries of the Guaranty are entitled to initiate enforcement proceedings against us, which, in the case of the OTS, could result in civil money penalties. Accordingly, there can be no assurance that any such eventualities, were they to occur, would not have a material adverse effect on our financial condition, results of operations or cash flows.

In addition, we and certain of our affiliates, including the Bank, have been named as defendants in a number of purported class action lawsuits challenging the Bank's residential loan servicing practices. At least one of our competitors has paid significant sums to settle lawsuits brought against it that raised claims similar to those raised in the lawsuits brought against our affiliates and us. Although we believe that we have meritorious legal and factual defenses to the lawsuits, we can provide no assurance that we will ultimately prevail. Litigation and other proceedings may result in the adoption of business practices different from those of our competitors, as well as settlement costs, damages, penalties or other charges, which would adversely affect our financial results.

We incur significant costs related to governmental regulation.
As noted in "Regulation", our business is subject to extensive regulation and supervision by federal, state and local governmental authorities and is subject to various laws and judicial and administrative decisions imposing requirements and restrictions on a substantial portion of our
operations. We incur significant costs on an on-going basis to comply with governmental regulations which adversely affects our net income. If our regulators impose new or more restrictive requirements, we may incur additional significant costs to comply with such requirements which could adversely affect our net income.

Effective June 30, 2005, we completed the debanking process. We no longer control a federal savings bank and are no longer subject to federal banking regulations, but we remain subject to certain federal, state and local consumer protection provisions. As a result of the debanking, we also became subject to regulation as a mortgage service provider, mortgage loan originator and/or a debt collector in a number of states in which we previously had enjoyed exemptions. We have not previously operated our mortgage servicing and debt collection businesses under such regulatory regimes, and there can be no assurance that this transition will not result in additional costs or uncertainties that would have a material adverse effect on the profitability of our mortgage servicing and debt collection businesses.

We may be unable to obtain the necessary additional capital to finance the growth of our business.

Our financing strategy includes the use of leverage. Accordingly, our ability to finance our operations rests in part on our ability to borrow money. Our ability to borrow money depends on a variety of factors including:
o our ability to meet our current debt service obligations on our existing debt;
o our corporate credit rating as evaluated from time to time by rating agencies and the occasion of any changes to their published ratings;
o our financial performance and the perception that existing and potential lenders have of our financial strength;
o limitations imposed on us by regulatory agencies and/or existing lending agreements that limit our ability to raise additional debt; and
o general economic conditions and the impact they have on the availability of credit.

An event of default, a negative ratings action by a rating agency, the perception of financial weakness, an action by a regulatory authority or a restriction imposed on us as a function of a debt covenant that serves to limit our ability to borrow money, or a general deterioration in the economy that constricts the availability of credit may increase our cost of funds and make it difficult for us to renew existing credit facilities and obtain new lines of credit.

As a result of the completion of our previously disclosed debanking process, we are no longer able to obtain deposits in the U.S. Although we believe we will be able to replace those deposits with other financing arrangements, we can provide no assurance that such alternative funding sources will be adequate to meet our needs or will not increase our cost of funds.

Our international operations are subject to political and economic uncertainties and other risks beyond our control.

In addition to our U.S. operations, we have established operations in Canada, China, Germany, India and Taiwan. Although currently not significant to our operations, we also operate a bank in Germany that we acquired in 2004. Our foreign operations are subject to risks beyond those associated with our U.S. operations, including:
o unexpected changes in local regulatory requirements;
unfavorable changes in trade protection laws;
difficulties in managing and staffing international operations;
potentially adverse tax consequences;
adaptability problems;
increased accounting and control expenses;
the burden of complying with foreign laws;
adverse social, political, labor or economic conditions; and
changes in foreign currency exchange rates.
Although we evaluate hedging strategies to limit the effects of currency exchange rate fluctuations on our results of operations, there can be no assurance that our strategies will achieve their intended purpose. Further, we may be unable to effectively manage the risks listed above in order to realize the benefits of international operations.

We face strong competition in our primary business segment.
We face strong competition from a variety of competitors in our residential loan servicing business. These competitors include several smaller companies focused on servicing as well as a number of large financial institutions. These financial institutions generally have significantly greater resources and access to capital than we do, resulting in a lower cost of funds and a greater ability to purchase mortgage servicing rights. Because a part of our strategy depends on our ability to obtain mortgage servicing rights, we can provide no assurance that such competition will not have an adverse impact on our ability to implement our strategy.

In general, our competition has intensified in recent years as the low-interest rate environment has created favorable conditions for other companies and banks to enter the residential subprime loan business or expand their existing activities within the industry. While some of these entities only originate and do not currently service loans, there is no assurance that they will not develop internal servicing capability or outsource the servicing function to one of our competitors. Some originators from whom we have purchased servicing rights in the past have developed their own servicing capabilities.

We may not be able to adequately protect our proprietary rights or information.
Our success is in part dependent upon our proprietary information and technology. We rely on a combination of copyright, trade secret and contract protection to establish and protect our proprietary rights in our products and technology. In general, we enter into intellectual property agreements with all employees (including our management and technical staff) and consultants as well as limit access to and distribution of our proprietary information. We cannot be sure that we have taken adequate steps to deter misappropriation of our proprietary rights or information. Independent third parties may develop products and technology substantially similar to ours. Although we believe that our products and technology do not infringe any proprietary rights of others, we could be subject to claims of infringement in the future.

The loss of the services of our senior managers could have an adverse effect on us.

The experience of our senior managers is a valuable asset to us. Our chairman and chief executive officer, William C. Erbey, has been with us since our founding in 1987, and our president, Ronald M. Faris, joined us in 1991. Other senior managers of ours have been with us for 10 years or more. We do not have employment agreements with, or maintain key man life insurance relating to, Mr. Erbey, Mr. Faris or any of our other executive officers. The loss of the services of our senior managers could have an adverse effect on us.

Other industry risks could affect our financial performance.
We face many industry risks that could negatively affect our financial performance. For example, we face the risk that increased criticism from consumer advocates or the media could hurt consumer acceptance of our services and could lead to the adoption of different business practices. In addition, the financial services industry as a whole is characterized by rapidly changing technologies, and system disruptions and failures may interrupt or delay our ability to provide services to our customers. The secure transmission of confidential information over the Internet is essential to maintain consumer confidence in certain of our services. Security breaches, acts of vandalism and developments in computer capabilities could result in a compromise or breach of the technology that we use to protect our customers' personal information and transaction data. Consumers generally are concerned with security breaches and privacy on the Internet, and Congress or individual states could enact new laws regulating the electronic commerce market that could adversely affect us. In addition, we rely on our foreign employees for a number of our business processes in our call processing and data centers overseas. The issue of outsourcing to lower-cost foreign workers and the impact on the U.S. labor market continues to attract significant negative media and Congressional attention, and Congress or individual states could enact new laws regulating outsourcing that could adversely affect us.

We may be required to repurchase loans or indemnify investors if we breach representations and warranties that we made in connection with the sale of those loans.

We have purchased and originated loans that were subsequently pooled and securitized or sold outright. On substantially all loans sold, we made representations or warranties at the time the loans were sold. We may be required to repurchase loans at a price equal to the then outstanding principal balance of the loan and any accrued and unpaid interest thereon if there were a breach of those representations or warranties. Additionally, we may be required to advance funds to the securitization trusts or to indemnify the trustee or the underwriters of a securitization under specific circumstances.

The representations made in connection with our loan sale agreements typically include, among others, representations regarding the nature and condition of the related properties, including environmental issues, the absence of liens, the lack of undocumented mortgage modifications, and validity and enforceability of the mortgages, and the existence of appropriate insurance coverage. The expense we have recognized to date in fulfilling of guarantees is not significant, and our exposure to future obligations in this regard is not estimated to be significant.

We are subject to investment risks.
We have, in some cases retained subordinate and residual interests in connection with the securitization of our loans and have acquired other residual interests outright or in connection with our acquisition of subsidiaries. The performance of these securities has at times been negatively impacted by higher than expected prepayment speeds and credit losses experienced on the mortgage loans collateralizing the securities. We remain subject to the risk of loss on our remaining securities primarily to the extent that future credit losses exceed expected credit losses.

In the securitization process the first tier of loss protection afforded to the holders of more senior classes of mortgage-related securities (debt securities) is over collateralization. Within the context of residual interests in these debt securities, over collateralization is the amount by which the collateral balance exceeds the sum of the debt securities principal amounts and is achieved by applying monthly a portion of the interest payments of the underlying mortgages toward the reduction of the senior class certificate principal amounts, causing them to amortize more rapidly than the aggregate loan balance. To the extent not consumed by losses, the excess over collateralization is remitted to the residual holders. Principal from the underlying mortgage loans generally is allocated first to the senior classes with the most senior class having a priority right to the cash flow from the mortgage loans until its payment requirements are satisfied. To the extent that there are defaults and unrecoverable losses on the underlying mortgage loans, resulting in reduced cash flows, the most subordinate security will be the first to bear this loss. Because subordinate and residual interests generally have no credit support, to the extent there are realized losses on the mortgage loans comprising the mortgage collateral for such debt securities, we may not recover the full amount or, indeed, any of our remaining investment in such subordinate and residual interests.

Subordinate and residual interests are affected by the rate and timing of payments of principal (including prepayments, repurchase, defaults and liquidations) on the mortgage loans underlying a series of mortgage-related securities. The rate of principal payments may vary significantly over time depending on a variety of factors such as the level of prevailing mortgage loan interest rates and economic, demographic, tax, legal and other factors, although in the absence of defaults or interest shortfalls all subordinates receive interest. In periods of declining interest rates, rates of prepayments on mortgage loans generally increase, and if the rate of prepayments is faster than anticipated, then the yield on subordinates will generally be positively affected and the yield on residuals will be negatively affected.

We periodically assess the carrying value of our subordinate securities and residual securities retained. There can be no assurance that our estimates used to determine the value of subordinate securities and residual securities retained will be accurate for the life of each securitization. If actual loan prepayments or defaults exceed our estimates, the carrying value of our subordinate securities and residual securities retained may be decreased during the period in which we recognized the disparity.

We also have invested in loans that we hold for resale related to our Residential Origination Services business. We believe that we have established adequate reserves for declines in fair values below cost in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles. Future increases to these reserves may be necessary, however, due to changes in economic conditions and the performance of these loans prior to their sale or securitization. Increases in our reserves for declines in fair value below cost would adversely affect our results of operations. The carrying value of our loans held for resale at December 31, 2005 was $\$ 624,671$, net of market valuation reserves of $\$ 7,659$.

Our directors and executive officers collectively own a large percentage of our common shares and could influence or control matters requiring shareholder approval.

Our directors and executive officers and their affiliates collectively own or control approximately $45 \%$ of our outstanding common shares. This includes approximately $31 \%$ owned or controlled by our chairman and chief executive officer, William C. Erbey, and approximately $13 \%$ owned or controlled by our director and former chairman, Barry N. Wish. As a result, these shareholders could influence or control virtually all matters requiring shareholder approval, including amendment of our articles of incorporation, the approval of mergers or similar transactions and the election of all directors.

ITEM 1B. UNRESOLVED STAFF COMMENTS
None.

The following table sets forth information relating to our primary facilities at December 31, 2005:

Owned/Leased Square Footage

| EXECUTIVE OFFICE AND HEADQUARTERS: West Palm Beach, Florida ..... | Leased | 41,860 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| SERVICING OPERATIONS CENTER: |  |  |
| Orlando, Florida | Owned | 120,000 |
| MORTGAGE FULFILLMENT CENTER: |  |  |
| Downers Grove, Illinois | Leased | 20,452 |
| BUSINESS OPERATIONS AND TECHNOLOGY SUPPORT OFFICES: |  |  |
| Bangalore, India | Leased | 92,650 |
| Bangalore, India | Leased | 56,960 |
| Mumbai, India | Leased | 46,280 |

In addition to the facilities listed above, GSS leases a total of four offices for commercial servicing and consulting operations, one each in Taiwan, China, Canada and Germany. Also, BOK has two offices in Germany, one in Berlin and one in Frankfurt.

ITEM 3. LEGAL PROCEEDINGS (Dollars in thousands)
A description of material pending or recently settled legal proceedings to which OCN or its subsidiaries are a party follows:

As discussed in Item 1, Business, under the terms of the Assignment and Assumption agreement, OLS has become the successor to the Bank with respect to all legal actions. Therefore, any references to the Bank in connection with the following legal matters pertain to OLS as successor.

On April 13, 2004, the United States Judicial Panel on Multi-District Litigation granted our petition to transfer and consolidate a number of lawsuits against the Bank, OCN and various third parties arising out of the servicing of plaintiffs' mortgage loans into a single case to proceed in the United States District Court for the Northern District of Illinois under caption styled: In re Ocwen Federal Bank FSB Mortgage Servicing Litigation, MDL Docket No. 1604 (the "MDL Proceeding"). The consolidated lawsuits in which the Bank and/or OCN are defendants involve 60 mortgage loans currently or previously serviced by the Bank. Additional similar lawsuits have been brought in other courts, some of which may be transferred to and consolidated in the MDL Proceeding. The borrowers in these lawsuits seek class action certification. No class has been certified in the MDL Proceeding or any related lawsuits. On August 23, 2004, plaintiffs in the MDL Proceeding filed a Consolidated Complaint containing various claims under federal statutes, including the Real Estate Settlement Procedures Act and Fair Debt Collection Practices Act, state deceptive trade practices statutes and common law. The claims are generally based on allegations of improper loan servicing practices, including (i) charging borrowers allegedly improper or unnecessary fees such as breach letter fees, hazard insurance premiums, foreclosure-related fees, late fees and property inspection fees; (ii) untimely posting and misapplication of borrower payments; and (iii) improperly treating borrowers as in default on their loans. While the Consolidated Complaint does not set forth any specific amounts of claimed damages, plaintiffs are not precluded from requesting leave from the court to amend the Consolidated Complaint or otherwise seeking damages should the matter proceed to trial. On April 25, 2005, the court entered an Opinion and Order granting us partial summary judgment finding that, as a matter of law, the mortgage loan contracts signed by plaintiffs authorize the imposition of breach letter fees and other legitimate default or foreclosure related expenses. The court explained that its ruling was in favor of defendants to the specific and limited extent that plaintiffs' claims challenge the propriety of the above-mentioned fees. On March 22, 2006, the court denied defendants' motions to dismiss various portions of the Consolidated Complaint on federal preemption and procedural grounds, as well as our motion to dismiss OCN from the case for lack of personal jurisdiction. The court has not ruled on class certification. We cannot currently determine the ultimate outcome of the MDL Proceeding or the other matters described above and have not established a reserve in respect thereof. We believe the allegations in the MDL Proceeding and the other matters described above are without merit and will continue to vigorously defend against them.

On November 3, 2004, the trial judge in litigation brought by Cartel Asset Management, Inc. ("Cartel") against OCN, the Bank and OTX in federal court in Denver, Colorado entered final judgment in the amount of $\$ 520$ against OTX and nominal damages of two dollars against the

Bank. No damages were entered against OCN. In the November 3, 2004 order, the judge reduced a prior jury verdict in the amount of \$9,320 after trial on this matter involving allegations of misappropriation of trade secrets and contract-related claims brought by a former vendor. The litigation does not relate to our core Residential Servicing business. Notwithstanding the nominal damage award against the Bank, it was assessed a statutory award to Cartel of attorneys' fees in an additional amount of \$170, and the Bank and OTX were further assessed costs in the amount of \$9. Cartel and defendants are pursuing cross-appeals in the United States Court of Appeals for the Tenth Circuit. We intend to continue to vigorously defend this matter.

On February 8, 2005, a jury in Circuit Court for Palm Beach County, Florida returned verdicts of $\$ 1,000$ and $\$ 1,056$ in compensatory damages in favor of two former employees of the Bank in a lawsuit against OCN and the Bank. The jury rejected plaintiffs' request for punitive damages. The plaintiffs brought claims under the Florida Civil Rights Act, the Florida Whistleblower Act and state tort law, arising out of an alleged invasion of privacy and related incidents allegedly committed by other former employees of the Bank in 1998 for which plaintiffs sought to hold the Ocwen defendants vicariously liable. We believe the verdicts, which were reduced to final judgments on May 20, 2005, as well as an additional award of $\$ 900$ in plaintiffs' attorneys' fees, are against the weight of evidence and contrary to law. Our appeals therefrom have been taken to the Florida Court of Appeals for the Fourth District. We intend to continue to vigorously defend this matter.

On November 29, 2005, a jury in County Court for Galveston County, Texas, returned a verdict of $\$ 11,500$ in compensatory and punitive damages and attorneys' fees against OCN in favor of a plaintiff borrower who defaulted on a mortgage loan we serviced. The plaintiff claimed that OCN's foreclosure on the loan violated the Texas Deceptive Trade Practices Act and other state statutes and common law. On February 9, 2006, the trial court reduced the jury verdict and entered judgment in the amount of $\$ 1,830$ which was comprised of $\$ 5$ in actual damages, approximately $\$ 675$ in emotional distress, statutory and other damages and interest, and $\$ 1,150$ for attorneys' fees. We believe the judgment was against the weight of evidence and contrary to law and have asked the trial court to set it aside. We intend to continue to vigorously defend this matter and, if necessary, will take an appeal to the Texas Court of Appeals.

OCN is also subject to various other pending legal proceedings. In our opinion, the resolution of these proceedings will not have a material effect on our financial condition, results of operations or cash flows. We continuously monitor the status of our litigation, including advice from external legal counsel, and perform periodic assessments of our litigation for potential loss accrual and disclosure. We accrue a litigation reserve when it is probable that a liability has been incurred and the amount of loss can be reasonably estimated.

## ITEM 4. SUBMISSION OF MATTERS TO A VOTE OF SECURITY HOLDERS

There were no matters submitted to a vote of stockholders during the quarter ended December 31, 2005.

PART II
ITEM 5. MARKET FOR THE REGISTRANT'S COMMON EQUITY, RELATED STOCKHOLDER MATTERS AND ISSUER PURCHASES OF EQUITY SECURITIES

PRICE RANGE OF THE COMPANY'S COMMON STOCK
The common stock of Ocwen Financial Corporation is traded under the symbol "OCN" on the New York Stock Exchange ("NYSE"). The following table sets forth the high and low closing sales prices for our common stock, as traded on the NYSE:


The payment of any dividends by us will be significantly dependent on dividends and other payments received from our subsidiaries, which may be affected by either restrictive covenants or regulatory compliance. We do not currently pay cash dividends on common stock and have no current plans to do so in the future. The timing and amount of future dividends, if any, will be determined by our Board of Directors and will depend, among other factors, upon our earnings, financial condition, cash requirements, the capital requirements of subsidiaries and investment opportunities at the time any such payment is considered. In addition, the indentures relating to the Junior Subordinated Debentures as well as the covenants relating to our lines of credit and other secured borrowings and the Guaranty agreement with the OTS contain limitations on our payment of dividends.

## PURCHASES OF EQUITY SECURITIES BY THE ISSUER AND AFFILIATES

Information regarding purchases of our own common stock during 2005 is as follows:

Total Number of Shares Purchased as Part of Publicly Announced Plans (1)

Maximum Number of
Shares That May Yet Be
Purchased under the Plans

Three months ended March 31 ......... -- -- --
Three months ended June $30 \ldots$ - - - ..... - -

Three months ended December $31 \ldots$ - - - . - -

Total for the year

(1) A plan was announced May 9, 2000 to repurchase up to $6,000,000$ shares of outstanding common stock.

## NUMBER OF HOLDERS OF COMMON STOCK

At March 10, $2006,63,358,466$ shares of our common stock were outstanding and held by approximately 1,287 holders of record. Such number of stockholders does not reflect the number of individuals or institutional investors holding our stock in nominee name through banks, brokerage firms and others.

ITEM 6. SELECTED FINANCIAL DATA (Dollars in thousands, except share data)
The following tables present selected consolidated financial information of Ocwen Financial Corporation and its subsidiaries at the dates and for the years indicated. Our historical balance sheet and operations data at and for the five years ended December 31, 2005 have been derived from our audited financial statements. Prior to debanking we followed the presentation requirements of Securities and Exchange Commission Regulation S-X, Article 9, Bank Holding Companies. After debanking and effective December 31, 2005, we revised the presentation of our consolidated financial statements. These revisions consisted principally of reclassifying expenses formerly netted against related revenues to new expense categories and reclassifying certain liabilities for funds collected from borrowers that had been netted against cash to a new liability category. See Note 1 to the Consolidated Financial Statements for additional information regarding these reclassifications. We have reclassified certain amounts included in the prior years to conform to the 2005 presentation. The selected consolidated financial information should be read in conjunction with the information we have provided in Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations, the Consolidated Financial Statements and the Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements.

Beginning in late 1999 and early 2000, we shifted our business activities away from businesses involving the acquisition of commercial loans, real estate and affordable housing properties. Since then, our results reflect the ongoing management and resolution of these assets, nearly all of which were sold or otherwise resolved by the end of 2005. At the same time, we shifted our focus toward growing fee-based businesses, primarily residential loan servicing. Effective June 30, 2005, we terminated our banking subsidiary's status as a federal savings bank and as a result we are no longer able to accept customer deposits in the U.S. On that same date, an unaffiliated bank assumed the customer deposits associated with our bank branch facility in New Jersey. Since 2000, we had been reducing our reliance on deposits as a source of funding.

|  | December 31, |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2005 |  | 2004 |  | 2003 |  | 2002 |  | 2001 |  |
| BALANCE SHEET DATA |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total assets | \$ | 1,854,133 | \$ | 1,582,532 | \$ | 1,326,356 | \$ | 1,291,762 | \$ | 1,783,663 |
| Loans held for resale |  | 624,671 |  | 8,437 |  | -- |  | -- |  | -- |
| Match funded assets, net |  | 377,105 |  | 280,760 |  | 130,087 |  | 167,744 |  | 174,351 |
| Advances |  | 219,716 |  | 240,430 |  | 374,769 |  | 266,356 |  | 283,183 |
| Mortgage servicing rights |  | 148,663 |  | 131,409 |  | 166,495 |  | 171, 611 |  | 101,107 |
| Total liabilities ....... |  | 1,504,873 |  | 1,250,894 |  | 1,007,813 |  | 923, 017 |  | 1,343,398 |
| Match funded liabilities |  | 339,292 |  | 244,327 |  | 115,394 |  | 147,071 |  | 156,908 |
| Debt securities, lines of credit and other secured borrowings (1) ......................................... |  | 780,777 |  | 281,861 |  | 206,633 |  | 159,721 |  | 324,013 |
| Deposits and escrows |  | - - |  | 376,591 |  | 546,145 |  | 503,201 |  | 722,074 |
| Capital Securities (1) |  | -- |  | - |  | - |  | 56,249 |  | 61,159 |
| Stockholders' equity |  | 347,407 |  | 330,108 |  | 317,258 |  | 310,718 |  | 379,106 |


|  | For the Years Ended December 31, |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2005 |  | 2004 |  | 2003 |  | 2002 |  | 2001 |  |
| OPERATIONS DATA |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Net income (loss) | \$ | 15,065 | \$ | 57,724 | \$ | 4,772 | \$ | $(68,775)$ | \$ | $(124,782)$ |
| Total revenue |  | 375,376 |  | 359,886 |  | 315,133 |  | 240, 355 |  | 188,777 |
| Operating expenses |  | 353,486 |  | 335,439 |  | 295,560 |  | 254,141 |  | 226,351 |
| Other income (expense) |  | $(1,010)$ |  | 953 |  | $(14,053)$ |  | $(68,173)$ |  | $(4,208)$ |
| Income tax expense (benefit) |  | 5,815 |  | $(32,324)$ |  | 748 |  | 2,983 |  | 83,000 |
| Net income (loss) before effect of change in accounting principle |  | 15,065 |  | 57,724 |  | 4,772 |  | $(84,941)$ |  | $(124,782)$ |
| Effect of change in accounting principle, net of tax (2) |  | -- |  | - - |  | - - |  | 16,166 |  | - - |

## EARNINGS (LOSS) PER SHARE

Basic:
Net income (loss) before effect of accounting
 tax


| $\$$ | 0.24 | $\$$ | 0.88 | $\$$ | 0.07 | $\$$ | $(1.26)$ | $\$$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |$(1.86)$

Diluted: (3)
Net income (loss) before effect of accounting
change ...................................................................


| \$ | 0.24 | \$ | 0.82 | \$ | 0.07 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | -- |  | -- |  |  |
| \$ | 0.24 | \$ | 0.82 | \$ | 0.07 |

\$ (1.26) \$
0.2
\$ 0.82
\$ 0.07

|  | 0.24 |
| :---: | :---: |
| \$ | (1.02) |



Weighted average common shares outstanding

62,912,768 65,811,697
Diluted (3)
63, 885, 439
73,197,255
67,166,888
67,321,299
67,321, 299
67,227, 058
(1) Effective with our adoption of Statement of Financial Accounting Standard ("SFAS") No. 150, "Accounting for Certain Financial Instruments with Characteristics of both Liabilities and Equity", on July 1, 2003, we reclassified the $\$ 56,249$ outstanding balance of our Capital Securities to liabilities (Debt Securities). Beginning with the third quarter of 2003, distributions are reported as interest expense.
(2) Upon adoption of SFAS No. 142, "Goodwill and Other Intangible Assets", effective January 1, 2002 we reversed the unamortized balance of the excess of net assets acquired over purchase price (negative goodwill) of $\$ 18,333$ we had originally recorded in connection with our acquisition of Ocwen Asset Investment Corp. in 1999 and recorded \$3,333 of impairment charges on goodwill and intangible assets. These amounts have been reported as the effect of a change in accounting principle, net of an income tax benefit of \$1, 166 .
(3) The assumed conversion of the $3.25 \%$ Convertible Notes we issued in July 2004 has been reflected in the calculation of weighted average common shares outstanding in computing diluted earnings per share for 2004, in accordance with Emerging Issues Task Force ("EITF") Issue 04-8, "The Effect of Contingently Convertible Debt on Diluted Earnings per Share". Conversion of the Convertible Notes to common
shares was not assumed for 2005 because the effect would be antidilutive. Interest expense, net of income tax, has been added back to net income for purposes of computing diluted earnings per share for 2004.

ITEM 7. MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATION
(Dollars in thousands, except share data)
The following discussion of our results of operations, consolidated financial condition and capital resources and liquidity should be read in conjunction with our Selected Consolidated Financial Information, Consolidated Financial Statements and the related notes, all included elsewhere herein.

## CRITICAL ACCOUNTING POLICIES

Our ability to measure and report our operating results and financial position is heavily influenced by the need to estimate the impact or outcome of risks in the marketplace or other future events. Our critical accounting policies are those that relate to the estimation and measurement of these risks. Because they inherently involve significant judgments and uncertainties, an understanding of these policies is fundamental to understanding Management's Discussion and Analysis of Results of Operations and Financial Condition. Our significant accounting policies are discussed in detail in Note 1 of our Consolidated Financial Statements (which are incorporated herein by reference) The following is a summary of our more subjective and complex accounting policies, as they relate to our overall business strategy.

## VALUATION OF MORTGAGE SERVICING RIGHTS

Our most significant business is our Residential Servicing business. Inherent in our growth of this business has been the acquisition of mortgage servicing rights, an intangible asset representing the present value of the right to service loans in a portfolio. As of December 31, 2005, we held \$148,663 of mortgage servicing rights with a fair value of $\$ 183,755$. The most critical accounting policy for this business is the methodology we use to determine the value of mortgage servicing rights. Application of this methodology requires the development of a number of estimates, including anticipated amortization and periodic revaluation. We estimate the fair value of our mortgage servicing rights based on the results of our internal valuation and an external valuation obtained from an independent third-party valuation specialist. Our internal valuation calculates the present value of estimated future cash flows utilizing market-based assumptions. The more significant assumptions used in our internal valuation include:
o Prepayment speeds
Compensating interest expense
Delinquency experience
Discount rate
Interest rate used for computing the cost of servicing advances
Interest rate used for computing float earnings
Cost of servicing
Prepayment speeds and delinquency experience are derived from our historical experience by strata. Our strata are based upon loan type, which represents the predominant risk characteristics of the underlying loans, including:

```
Subprime
ALT A
High-loan-to-value
Re-performing
Special servicing
Non-residential mortgage
Other
```

For the Subprime and Alt A loan types, the loans are further stratified by product type between adjustable and fixed rate.

The table presented below provides overall prepayment speed and delinquency assumptions (expressed as a percentage) by strata projected over a five-year period as of December 31, 2005:

|  | Prepayment Speed | Delinquency |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Subprime | 34\% -- 48\% | 0\% -- 19\% |
| ALT A | 38\% -- 51\% | 0\% -- 10\% |
| High LTV | 49\% -- 51\% | 9\% |
| Re-performing | 30\% -- 34\% | 10\% |
| Special servicing | 34\% -- 36\% | 24\% |
| Non-residential mortgage | 32\% -- 51\% | 4\% |
| Other | 28\% -- 30\% | 4\% |

The discount rate, interest rate for the cost of financing advances, interest rate for float earnings and the cost of servicing are based on the market assumptions provided by our third-party valuation specialist. As of December 31, 2005, these assumptions were as follows:
o Discount rate of $18 \%$
o Interest rate of one-month LIBOR plus 200 basis points for the cost of financing advances
o Interest rate equal to the Federal Funds Rate for float earnings
o Assumptions regarding the cost of servicing vary by strata, and range from a low of $\$ 65$ per year for a performing Alt A loan to a high of $\$ 710$ per year for a loan that is in foreclosure.

Changes in these assumptions are generally expected to impact our results of operations as summarized below:
o Increases in prepayment speeds generally reduce the value of our mortgage servicing rights as the underlying loans prepay faster, causing higher mortgage servicing rights amortization, higher compensating interest payments and lower overall servicing fees, partially offset by lower overall cost of servicing and increased float balances and income.
o Increases in delinquencies generally reduce the value of our mortgage servicing rights as the cost of servicing increases during the delinquency period and the amount of servicing advances and related interest expense increases.
o Increases in the discount rate reduce the value of our mortgage servicing rights due to the lower overall net present value of the combined cash flows.
o Increases in interest rate assumptions for the cost of servicing advances will increase interest expense while rate increases will also increase the amount of float earnings we recognize as fee income.
o Increases in interest rate assumptions also generally increase the value of our mortgage servicing rights as the projected prepayment rate for the underlying loans slows, while decreases in interest rate assumptions generally reduce the value of our mortgage servicing rights as the projected prepayment rate for the underlying loans increases.

We perform an interest rate sensitivity analysis of our mortgage servicing rights portfolio every quarter. We currently estimate that the fair value of the portfolio increases by $3.21 \%$ for every 50 basis point (bps) increase in interest rates and reduces by $3.37 \%$ for every 50 bps decline in interest rates.

An impairment analysis is performed after grouping our loans into the seven strata based on loan type which represents the predominant risk characteristics of the underlying loans. The risk factors used to assign loans to strata include the credit score (FICO) of the borrower, the loan to value ratio, the type of asset (mortgage or non-mortgage) and the default risk.

We amortize mortgage servicing rights over the period of estimated net servicing income based on our projections of the amount and timing of future cash flows. The amount and timing of servicing asset amortization is adjusted periodically based on actual results and updated projections. During 2003 and 2004, and most of 2005, mortgage prepayment speeds in our servicing portfolio remained high, resulting in a substantial rate of amortization of the balance of servicing rights.

## VALUATION OF DEFERRED TAX ASSETS

Another accounting policy that requires the use of estimates and the application of judgment is the determination of our overall tax provision and the evaluation of the realizability of our gross deferred tax assets. As of December 31, 2005 we had gross deferred tax assets of $\$ 184,072$, and a corresponding valuation allowance of $\$ 163,802$ resulting in a net deferred tax asset of $\$ 20,270$. Our valuation allowance was primarily established in 2001, when we recorded an $\$ 83,000$ provision to increase the valuation allowance on our prior years' deferred tax assets. During 2003 and 2002, we provided an additional valuation allowance equal to the amount of any deferred tax assets recorded during the year. During

2004, we recorded a $\$ 35,518$ partial reversal of the valuation allowance we had established on the deferred tax asset in prior years. This reduction in the allowance in 2004 was the result of refund claims we filed with the IRS that reduced our deferred tax asset and increased our income tax receivable balance by the same amount. The evaluation of the need to maintain our valuation allowance takes into consideration our recent earnings history, current tax position and estimates of future taxable income. The tax character (ordinary versus capital) and the carry-forward and carry-back periods of certain tax attributes (e.g., capital losses and tax credits) are also considered. Significant judgment is required in considering the relative impact of negative and positive evidence related to realizability of the deferred tax assets. The determination of the amount of the aggregate valuation allowance is based on scenario analyses of the projected results of operations by line of business resulting in a range of potential valuation allowances, within which a final amount is determined. We assess the amount of the valuation allowance each quarter and a change in the valuation allowance may be warranted in the future.

## OVERVIEW

## CHANGES IN FINANCIAL CONDITION

Total assets rose to $\$ 1,854,133$ during 2005 , as compared to $\$ 1,582,532$ as of December 31, 2004. This increase is primarily due to a $\$ 616,234$ increase in our loans held for resale, which is largely comprised of residential loans that we purchased during the fourth quarter, and a $\$ 96,345$ increase in match funded residential servicing advances. Offsetting these increases was a $\$ 357,810$ decline in cash and investment grade securities which largely resulted from the cash payment we made to Marathon upon its assumption of the customer deposit liabilities in connection with debanking. Also, during 2005 we collected the $\$ 63,398$ of federal income tax refund claims and related interest that existed at December 31, 2004.

Total liabilities increased to \$1,504,873 at December 31, 2005 as compared to $\$ 1,250,894$ at December 31, 2004. This increase is largely the result of a repurchase agreement with a balance of $\$ 459,400$ entered into to finance the purchase of loans held for resale and a $\$ 94,965$ increase in match funded liabilities as we utilized increased borrowing capacity. Offsetting these increases was the removal of customer deposits and escrow deposits from our balance sheet at the completion of debanking. These deposits totaled $\$ 376,591$ at December 31, 2004. Also, during 2005, we repurchased $\$ 76,920$ of our debt securities.

At December 31, 2005, we had $\$ 269,611$ of cash, $\$ 398,321$ of unused borrowing capacity on our existing lines, including \$178,831 that is available to a consolidated VIE, and $\$ 347,407$ of equity.

## RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

We recorded net income of $\$ 15,065$ for 2005 as compared to net income of $\$ 57,724$ and $\$ 4,772$ for 2004 and 2003, respectively. Our basic earnings per share were $\$ 0.24$ for 2005, as compared to $\$ 0.88$ and $\$ 0.07$ for 2004 and 2003, respectively. Net income for 2004 includes an income tax benefit of \$32,324 that largely resulted from a $\$ 35,518$ partial reversal of the valuation allowance that we had established on our deferred tax asset in prior years. Pre-tax income was $\$ 20,880, \$ 25,400$ and $\$ 5,520$ for 2005,2004 and 2003 , respectively.

Our 2005 results reflect a strong performance in our Residential Servicing segment whose pre-tax income rose by $\$ 5,056$, or $30 \%$ in 2005 as compared to 2004. This increase primarily reflects the positive impact of rising short-term interest rates on our revenue from custodial accounts ("float earnings") and a reduction in total operating expenses, offset in part by continued high mortgage prepayment speeds for most of 2005 and a reduction in the properties being marketed under our contract with the United States Department of Veterans Affairs ("VA"). Residential Servicing is our most profitable segment with pre-tax income of $\$ 21,695, \$ 16,639$ and $\$ 25,683$ for 2005,2004 and 2003, respectively. After incurring pre-tax losses in 2003 and 2004 due to significant start-up costs related to our international operations, Commercial Servicing earned a profit of $\$ 2,940$ in 2005 on higher fees earned from both domestic and international operations. Offsetting these improvements were declines in both our Residential Origination Services and Ocwen Recovery Group segments. Pre-tax income for Residential Origination Services declined by $\$ 17,953$ or $133 \%$ in 2005, primarily reflecting losses from our new mortgage due diligence and loan processing business, reduced earnings from our UK residual security portfolio, a charge to recognize the full impairment of a working capital investment in a consolidated variable interest entity ("VIE") and a charge to reduce the carrying value of our loans held for resale to market value. After being profitable in 2003 and 2004, Ocwen Recovery Group incurred a pre-tax loss of \$(684) in 2005 primarily reflecting a shift in revenue from maturing proprietary assets to lower yielding third-party contracts.

Results of our Corporate Items and Other segment have continued to improve with pretax income of $\$ 154$ in 2005 as compared to losses of $(\$ 10,639)$ and ( $\$ 27,153$ ) in 2004 and 2003. This improvement is largely due to reduced interest expense, the recognition of interest income on federal income tax refund claims in 2005 and 2004, gains from the repurchase of debt securities in 2005 and reduced losses from the Commercial Assets business.

We provide additional financial information and discuss our segment results in the following section.

The following section provides a discussion of the changes in financial condition of our business segments during the year ended December 31, 2005 and a discussion of pre-tax results of operations of our business segments for the three-year period ended December 31, 2005.

The following table presents the assets and liabilities of each of our business segments at December 31, 2005:

|  | Residential Servicing |  | Commercial Servicing |  | Ocwen Recovery Group |  | Residential Origination Services |  | Business Process Outsourcing |  | Corporate Items and Other |  | Business Segments Consolidated |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ASSETS |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Cash | \$ | -- | \$ | 3,057 | \$ | -- | \$ | 2,181 | \$ | -- | \$ | 264,373 | \$ | 269,611 |
| Trading securities: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Investment grade |  | -- |  | -- |  | -- |  | -- |  | -- |  | 1,685 |  | 1,685 |
| Subordinates and residuals ..... |  | -- |  | -- |  | -- |  | 27,023 |  | -- |  | 3,254 |  | 30,277 |
| Loans held for resale |  | -- |  | -- |  | -- |  | 624,671 |  | -- |  | - - |  | 624,671 |
| Match funded assets |  | 377,105 |  | -- |  | -- |  | -- |  | -- |  | -- |  | 377,105 |
| Advances |  | 215,207 |  | 389 |  | -- |  | 3,022 |  | -- |  | 1,098 |  | 219, 716 |
| Mortgage servicing rights |  | 148,663 |  | -- |  | -- |  | -- |  | -- |  | -- |  | 148,663 |
| Receivables |  | 23,323 |  | 2,508 |  | 864 |  | 18,497 |  | 1,183 |  | 21,891 |  | 68,266 |
| Premises and equipment |  | 1,730 |  | 302 |  | 120 |  | 719 |  | 10 |  | 37,227 |  | 40,108 |
| Other assets |  | 17,532 |  | 177 |  | 18 |  | 3,319 |  | -- |  | 52,985 |  | 74,031 |
| Total assets | \$ | 783,560 | \$ | 6,433 | \$ | 1,002 | \$ | 679,432 | \$ | 1,193 | \$ | 382,513 | \$ | 1,854,133 |
| LIABILITIES |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Match funded liabilities | \$ | 339,292 | \$ | -- | \$ | -- | \$ | -- | \$ | -- | \$ | -- | \$ | 339,292 |
| Servicer liabilities .... |  | 298,892 |  | -- |  | -- |  | -- |  | -- |  | -- |  | 298,892 |
| Lines of credit and other secured borrowings |  | 81,218 |  | -- |  | -- |  | 530,569 |  | -- |  | 14,661 |  | 626,448 |
| Debt securities ......... |  | -- |  | -- |  | -- |  | -- |  | -- |  | 154,329 |  | 154,329 |
| Other liabilities |  | 26,358 |  | 3,220 |  | 2,773 |  | 7,657 |  | -- |  | 45,904 |  | 85,912 |
| Total liabilities | \$ | 745,760 | \$ | 3,220 | \$ | 2,773 | \$ | 538,226 | \$ | -- | \$ | 214,894 | \$ | 1,504,873 |

The following table presents the pre-tax statement of operations for each of our business segments for the year ended December 31, 2005:


## RESIDENTIAL SERVICING

Total assets increased by $\$ 96,327$ or $14 \%$ during 2005, primarily due to a $\$ 100,479$ increase in match funded advances. Additionally, mortgage servicing rights increased by $\$ 17,254$ as a result of an increase in acquisitions, and advances declined by $\$ 26,762$. The $\$ 83,923$ or $13 \%$ increase in total liabilities of this segment during 2005 is primarily due to a $\$ 99,099$ increase in match funded liabilities. Also, escrow deposits declined by $\$ 85,861$ as a result of debanking, and net borrowings under secured credit lines increased by $\$ 45,541$. The increase in match funded assets and liabilities primarily reflects a \$100,000 increase in borrowing capacity during 2005.

|  | December 31, |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Selected balance sheet data | 2005 |  | 2004 |  |
| Total assets | \$ | 783,560 | \$ | 687,233 |
| Match funded advances |  | 377,105 |  | 276,626 |
| Advances |  | 215,207 |  | 241,969 |
| Mortgage servicing rights |  | 148, 663 |  | 131,409 |
| Receivables |  | 23,323 |  | 24,012 |
| Total liabilities | \$ | 745,760 | \$ | 661, 837 |
| Match funded liabilities |  | 339,292 |  | 240,193 |
| Servicer liabilities |  | 298, 892 |  | 291, 265 |
| Lines of credit and other secured borrowings |  | 81,218 |  | 35, 677 |
| Escrow deposits |  | -- |  | 85,861 |


|  | December 31, |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2005 |  | 2004 |  |
| Principal and interest | \$ | 174,252 | \$ | 107,102 |
| Taxes and insurance |  | 129,700 |  | 107,710 |
| Other |  | 73,153 |  | 61,814 |
|  | \$ | 377,105 | \$ | 276,626 |

Match funded advances on loans serviced for others resulted from our transfer of residential loan servicing related advances to a third party in exchange for cash. The original and subsequent transfers did not qualify as sales for accounting purposes since we retained effective control of the advances. Accordingly, we report the amount of proceeds received from the sale as a secured borrowing with pledge of collateral (match funded liabilities). The increase in match funded advances is primarily the result of a $\$ 100,000$ increase during 2005 in the amount of debt available at favorable rates under the match funded securitization facility that we first executed in November 2004.

Advances. Advances consist of the following:

|  | December 31, |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2005 |  | 2004 |  |
| Principal and interest | \$ | 40,201 | \$ | 51,366 |
| Taxes and insurance |  | 98,331 |  | 94,642 |
| Other |  | 76,675 |  | 95,961 |
|  | \$ | 215,207 | \$ | 241,969 |

During any period in which the borrower is not making payments, we are required under certain servicing agreements to advance our own funds to meet contractual principal and interest remittance requirements for investors, pay property taxes and insurance premiums and process foreclosures. We generally recover these advances from borrowers for reinstated and performing loans and from investors for foreclosed loans. We record a charge to the extent that we estimate that advances are uncollectible, taking into consideration the age and nature of the advance and our historical loss experience, among other factors. Advances on loans serviced for others are net of reserves of $\$ 570$ and $\$ 2,437$ as of December 31, 2005 and 2004, respectively.

Mortgage Servicing Rights. The unamortized balance of mortgage servicing rights is primarily related to subprime residential loans. Our investment increased by $\$ 17,254$ during 2005 as purchases exceeded amortization. Mortgage servicing rights declined during 2004 as amortization exceeded purchases, reflecting the more cautious acquisition strategy that we had adopted given the uncertainty of prepayment speeds. Of the $\$ 113,946$ of purchases in $2005, \$ 57,238$ or $50 \%$ closed during the fourth quarter, reflecting an improvement in market conditions.

|  | December 31, |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2005 |  | 2004 |  |
| Balance at beginning of period | \$ | 131,409 | \$ | 166,495 |
| Purchases |  | 113,946 |  | 60,950 |
| Amortization |  | $(96,692)$ |  | $(96,036)$ |
| Balance at end of period | \$ | 148,663 | \$ | 131,409 |

At December 31, 2005, we serviced loans under 466 servicing agreements for 22 investors. This compares to 345 servicing agreements for 22 investors at December 31, 2004.

Receivables. Receivables related to the Residential Servicing business include $\$ 15,674$ and $\$ 12,801$ at December 31, 2005 and December 31, 2004, respectively, representing fees earned from the servicing of loans and real estate. The remaining balance consists principally of reimbursable expenses due from loan servicing investors. The total balance of receivables for this segment is net of reserves of \$6,509 and \$3,395 at December 31, 2005 and December 31, 2004, respectively.

Match Funded Liabilities. Match funded liabilities represent proceeds received from transfers of loans and advances on loans serviced for others. Because we retained effective control over the assets transferred, these transfers did not qualify as sales for accounting purposes, and we, therefore, report them as secured borrowings with pledges of collateral.

| Collateral | Interest Rate | Unused Borrowing Capacity |  | Balance Outstanding at December 31, |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | 2005 |  | 2004 |  |
| Advances (1) | See (1) below | \$ | 36,057 | \$ | 238,943 | \$ | 149,341 |
| Advances (2) | 1-Month LIBOR + 175 basis points |  | 24,651 |  | 100,349 |  | 90,852 |
|  |  | \$ | 60,708 | \$ | 339,292 | \$ | 240,193 |

(1) In November 2004, we executed a servicing advance securitization. This transaction involved the issuance of a term note for \$100,000 and a one-year variable funding note for a maximum of $\$ 75,000$. On March 31, 2005, we executed an indenture supplement to the November 2004 securitization with a closing date of April 6, 2005.This supplement included the issuance of a second term note for $\$ 75,000$. In addition, the maximum amount of the variable funding note was increased to $\$ 100,000$. The original term note bears interest at LIBOR plus 50 basis points, and the second term note bears interest at LIBOR plus 40 basis points. The variable funding note bears interest at a commercial paper rate plus a margin that approximates LIBOR plus 50 basis points. The original term note under this facility has a stated maturity of October 2013, and the second term note has a stated maturity of March 2014.The variable funding note has a stated maturity of November 2011. The variable funding note has a stated maturity of November 2010. 1-Month LIBOR was $4.39 \%$ and $2.40 \%$ at December 31, 2005 and 2004, respectively.
(2) Under the terms of the agreement, we are eligible to finance additional advances on loans serviced for others up to a maximum balance of $\$ 125,000$. This facility will mature in January 2007.

Servicer Liabilities. Servicer liabilities represent amounts we have collected, primarily from Residential Servicing borrowers, that will be deposited in custodial accounts and excluded from our balance sheet, paid directly to an investment trust or refunded to borrowers. The following table sets forth the principal components of servicer liabilities:

|  | December 31, |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2005 |  | 2004 |  |
| Borrower payments due to custodial accounts | \$ | 225,862 | \$ | 255, 040 |
| Escrow payments due to custodial accounts |  | 22,573 |  | 3,786 |
| Partial payments and other unapplied balances |  | 50,457 |  | 32,439 |
|  | \$ | 298,892 | \$ | 291, 265 |

Lines of Credit and Other Secured Borrowings. Secured line of credit
arrangements are as follows:

| Borrowing Type | Interest Rate (1) | Maturity | Unused Borrowing Capacity |  | Balance Outstanding at December 31, |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | ------ |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2004 |  |
| Senior secured credit agreement (2) | 1-Month LIBOR + 162.5 or 225 basis points | June 2006 | \$ | 58,782 | \$ | 81,218 | \$ | 24,218 |
| Senior secured credit agreement (3) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 1-Month LIBOR }+250 \\ & \text { basis points } \end{aligned}$ | December 2005 |  | - - |  | - - |  | 11,458 |
|  |  |  | \$ | 58,782 | \$ | 81,218 | \$ | 35,676 |

(1) 1-month LIBOR was $4.39 \%$ and $2.40 \%$ at December 31, 2005 and 2004, respectively.
(2) Secured by mortgage servicing rights and advances on loans serviced for others. Borrowing secured by mortgage servicing rights is at LIBOR plus 225 basis points. Borrowing secured by other acceptable collateral is at LIBOR plus 162.5 basis points. The interest rate may be reduced to $1.625 \%$ or 2.25\% to the extent that we have available balances on deposit with the lender.
(3) We repaid in full the balance outstanding under this credit agreement during the second quarter of 2005 and terminated the agreement.

Escrow Deposits. Escrow deposits amounted to \$86,084 at December 31, 2004 and consisted of custodial deposit balances representing funds collected from borrowers for the payment of taxes and insurance premiums on mortgage properties underlying loans that we serviced for others. In connection with debanking, these custodial deposits were transferred from the Bank to an unaffiliated bank and are now excluded from our balance sheet.

Pre-tax income for the Residential Servicing segment increased by $\$ 5,056$, or $30 \%$, in 2005 as compared to 2004. Increases in short-term interest rates during 2005 have had a positive impact on our float earnings on funds that we have received from borrowers that are held in
custodial accounts until remitted to investors. However, mortgage prepayment speeds in our servicing portfolio remained high through most of 2005. Not only do prepayments result in the loss of future servicing fees, they also result in increases to the rate at which we amortize the balance of our servicing rights. Prepayments also create an obligation for us to pay compensating interest expense to investors for the full month of interest on loans that are repaid before the end of a calendar month. Results for 2005 also reflect a decline in operating expenses, primarily compensation and benefits and compensating interest, and a decline in earnings from our VA contract due to decreased transaction volumes.

| Selected operations data | 2005 |  | 2004 |  | 2003 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Unpaid principal balance of loans serviced at December 31 | \$ | 42,779, 048 | \$ | 34,524,491 | \$ | 697,318 |
| Number of loans serviced at December $31 . . . . . . . . . . . . . .$. |  | 368,802 |  | 320,185 |  | 359,590 |
| Average unpaid principal balance of loans serviced for the year | \$ | 37,850,025 | \$ | 35,295,755 | \$ | 830,520 |
| Pre-tax income | \$ | 21,695 | \$ | 16,639 | \$ | 25,683 |
| Revenue: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Servicing and subservicing fees | \$ | 268,122 | \$ | 266,595 | \$ | 259,251 |
| Process management fees |  | 7,423 |  | 8,165 |  | 7,500 |
| Other |  | 4,115 |  | 6,049 |  | 686 |
| Total revenue | \$ | 279,660 | \$ | 280,809 | \$ | 267,437 |
| Operating expenses: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Compensation and benefits | \$ | 35,022 | \$ | 40,004 | \$ | 33,987 |
| Amortization of servicing rights |  | 96,692 |  | 96,036 |  | 93,558 |
| Occupancy and equipment |  | 9,605 |  | 9,852 |  | 7,270 |
| Technology and communications |  | 22,700 |  | 20,462 |  | 15,411 |
| Professional services |  | 13, 862 |  | 12,547 |  | 6,631 |
| Servicing and origination |  | 36,446 |  | 49,287 |  | 48, 988 |
| Other |  | 22,190 |  | 16,570 |  | 15,331 |
| Total operating expenses | \$ | 236,517 | \$ | 244,758 | \$ | 221,176 |
| Other income (expense): |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Interest income | \$ | 323 | \$ | 107 | \$ | 70 |
| Interest expense |  | $(21,752)$ |  | $(19,745)$ |  | $(20,962)$ |
| Other |  | (19) |  | 226 |  | 314 |
| Total other income (expense) | \$ | $(21,448)$ | \$ | $(19,412)$ | \$ | $(20,578)$ |

Servicing and Subservicing Fees. The principal components of servicing and subservicing fees are provided in the table below, for the years indicated:

|  | 2005 |  | 2004 |  | 2003 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Servicing fees (1) | \$ | 170,522 | \$ | 174,274 | \$ | 166,425 |
| Late charges |  | 35, 095 |  | 40,149 |  | 35,461 |
| Revenue from custodial accounts (float earnings) (2) |  | 31, 664 |  | 16,561 |  | 10,821 |
| Prepayment and collection related fees |  | 8,681 |  | 14, 086 |  | 21,513 |
| Other fees |  | 22,160 |  | 21,525 |  | 25, 031 |
|  | \$ | 268,122 | \$ | 266,595 | \$ | 259,251 |

(1) Servicing fees for 2005, 2004 and 2003 include $\$ 11,880, \$ 19,286$ and $\$ 4,714$, respectively, of real estate property management fees associated with the contract we entered into with the VA in September 2003.
(2) The increase in revenue from custodial accounts in 2005 is due to an increase in short-term interest rates. The yield we earned on these balances averaged $2.83 \%$ during 2005 as compared to $1.38 \%$ and $1.01 \%$ during 2004 and 2003, respectively. Custodial accounts are excluded from our balance sheet. The average balances held in these custodial accounts were approximately $\$ 1,119,400, \$ 1,322,200$ and $\$ 993,000$ for 2005, 2004 and 2003 respectively. The underlying servicing agreements restrict the investment of float balances to certain types of instruments. We are responsible for any losses incurred on the investment of these funds; although to date, we have not incurred any such losses.

The following table sets forth information regarding residential loans and real estate serviced for others:

(a) At December 31, 2005 we serviced 304,234 subprime loans with a total unpaid principal balance of $\$ 37,429,090$ as compared to 238,105 subprime loans with an unpaid principal balance of $\$ 28,374,493$ at December 31, 2004. Subprime loans represent residential loans we service that were made by others to borrowers who generally did not qualify under guidelines of Fannie Mae and Freddie Mac ("nonconforming loans").
(b) Non-performing loans have been delinquent for 90 days or more. Performing loans are current or have been delinquent for less than 90 days.
(c) We serviced under subservicing contracts approximately 105,873 residential
loans with an unpaid principal balance of $\$ 10,983,237$ as of December 31, 2005. This compares to approximately 58,776 residential loans with an unpaid principal balance of $\$ 7,063,232$ serviced under subservicing contracts at December 31, 2004.
(d) Real estate includes $\$ 683,193$ and $\$ 839,654$ of foreclosed residential properties serviced for the VA at December 31, 2005 and 2004, respectively.

Compensation and Benefits Expense. The decrease in compensation expense and benefits in 2005 as compared to 2004 has occurred primarily due to a decline in the average number of employees in the U.S. as a result of cost reduction initiatives put in place earlier in the year, including a greater utilization of the lower cost workforce in India. Although average employment in India increased in 2005, total average employment declined and the ratio of India employment to total employment increased significantly as compared to 2004. The increase in compensation and benefits in 2004 as compared to 2003 reflects an increase in average employment, both in the U.S. and India.

Average employment in the Residential Servicing segment for the last three years is as follows:

|  | 2005 | 2004 | 2003 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| India | 918 | 817 | 671 |
| United States | 520 | 682 | 626 |
|  | 1,438 | 1,499 | 1,297 |

The increase in average employment in 2004 as compared to 2003 was primarily due to the following:
o The property management contract we entered into with the VA in September 2003 resulted in the need to hire additional staff.
o In September 2003, we terminated our agreement with an external law firm to manage certain aspects of our loan resolution function, which included, among other functions, the issuance of obligatory breach notices to delinquent borrowers, for which the law firm charged us a fee. At that time, we decided to cease to assess these fees to borrowers although it was legally permissible for us to do so under the mortgage documents. Upon termination of the agreement, we began performing these functions internally. This resulted in the need to hire additional staff to perform these functions which increased 2004 compensation and benefit expenses as well as the related occupancy and technology costs.

Professional Services Expense. Professional services in 2005 includes $\$ 3,700$ to provide for current and pending litigation, including \$1,830 for a judgment in a wrongful foreclosure case. While this amount is substantially less than the initial jury verdict, we believe the award is not justified and will continue to defend the case. See Note 28 to our Consolidated Financial Statements for additional information regarding this matter.

Servicing and Origination Expenses. The principal components of servicing and origination expenses are:

|  | 2005 |  | 2004 |  | 2003 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Compensating interest (1) | \$ | 22,210 | \$ | 33,115 | \$ | 32,315 |
| Satisfaction expense |  | 6,273 |  | 6,117 |  | 2,771 |
| Other |  | 7,963 |  | 10,055 |  | 13,902 |
|  | \$ | 36,446 | \$ | 49,287 | \$ | 48,988 |

(1) During 2004 and 2003, prepayments on our loans serviced for others increased significantly primarily due to declining mortgage interest rates. Accordingly, we were required to pay increased compensating interest to the securitization trusts for the full month of interest on loans that were repaid before the end of a calendar month.

Other Operating Expenses. Other primarily consists of overhead allocation charges and bad debt expense. The increase in other operating expenses in 2005 is in large part due to an increase in bad debt expense as a result of providing for aged reimbursable expenses that may be uncollectible. Bad debt expense amounted to \$4,598, \$1,810 and \$328 in 2005, 2004 and 2003, respectively.

## commercial servicing

The decline in assets and liabilities of this segment during 2005 is primarily due to the sale of two subsidiaries in December 2005 that were engaged in servicing operations in Japan.

|  | December 31, |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Selected balance sheet data | 2005 |  | 2004 |  |
| Total assets | \$ | 6,433 | \$ | 13,659 |
| Match funded loans |  | -- |  | 4,134 |
| Receivables |  | 2,508 |  | 2,736 |
| Total liabilities | \$ | 3,220 |  | 8,028 |
| Match funded liabilities |  | -- |  | 4,134 |

Commercial match funded loans were held by one of the GSS subsidiaries in Japan that was sold in 2005. These match funded loans resulted from the transfer, on a non-recourse basis, of an undivided $100 \%$ participation interest in certain real estate loans to a Japanese subsidiary of Merrill Lynch on March 30, 2004 in exchange for cash. The transfer did not qualify as a sale for accounting purposes as we did not meet all of the conditions for surrender of control over the transferred loans. Accordingly, we reported the amount of proceeds we received from the transfer as a secured borrowing with pledge of collateral (match funded liabilities).

The Commercial Servicing segment became profitable in 2005 on increased revenues, primarily reflecting higher asset resolution fees earned in both our domestic servicing operations and our Asia operations of GSS as well as lower operating expenses. Results for 2003 and 2004 reflect start-up costs related to GSS.

| Selected operations data | 2005 |  | 2004 |  | 2003 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Pre-tax income (loss) | \$ | 2,940 | \$ | (224) | \$ | $(5,736)$ |
| Revenue: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Servicing and subservicing fees | \$ | 14,030 | \$ | 9,736 | \$ | 6,312 |
| Other |  | 5,481 |  | 6,334 |  | 949 |
| Total revenue | \$ | 19,511 | \$ | 16,070 | \$ | 7,261 |
| Operating expenses | \$ | 16,419 | \$ | 17,468 | \$ | 13,766 |

Servicing Fees. The principal components of servicing fees are:

|  | 2005 |  | 2004 |  | 2003 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| International servicing fees (1) | \$ | 8,961 | \$ | 5,281 | \$ | 3,867 |
| Domestic servicing fees |  | 5,069 |  | 4,455 |  | 2,445 |
|  | \$ | 14,030 | \$ | 9,736 | \$ | 6,312 |

(1) International servicing fees include $\$ 4,389$, $\$ 3,507$ and $\$ 1,674$ earned by our Japan operations, which we sold in December 2005

The following table sets forth information regarding commercial loans and real estate serviced for others:


At December 31, 2005, our international offices serviced a total of 272
loans with an unpaid principal balance of $\$ 1,269,796$. This compares to 9,267 loans with an unpaid principal balance of $\$ 12,826,411$ serviced at December 31, 2004. The reduction is primarily due to the sale of our Japanese operations in the fourth quarter of 2005 which serviced a total of 8,909 loans with an unpaid principal balance of \$12,300,754 at December 31, 2004.

## OCWEN RECOVERY GROUP

Overall results for the past three years reflect a shift in revenue from a maturing portfolio of proprietary assets to lower yielding third-party contracts

| Selected operations data | 2005 |  | 2004 |  | 2003 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Pre-tax income (loss) | \$ | (684) | \$ | 3,916 | \$ | 5,300 |
| Revenue: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Servicing fees: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Third-party collections (1) | \$ | 10,345 | \$ | 11,575 | \$ | 9,167 |
| Proprietary recoveries (2) |  | 1,338 |  | 2, 071 |  | 2,737 |
| Total revenue | \$ | 11,683 | \$ | 13,646 | \$ | 11,904 |
| Operating expenses (3) | \$ | 12,715 | \$ | 9,901 | \$ | 6,856 |

(1) On collections of unsecured receivables for third party owners, we generally earn a fee based upon a percentage of the amount collected.
(2) Recoveries of unsecured credit card receivables that we own have declined as these portfolios have declined in size and have not been replaced. We have not purchased any unsecured credit card receivables since 2000.
(3) The increase in operating expenses in 2005 and 2004 is largely due to the following:
o Higher compensation and benefits in 2004 and the first half of 2005 due to an increase in staffing levels;
o An increase in legal fees and settlements in 2005 related to the settlement of contract disputes; and
$0 \quad$ An increased share of corporate overhead costs in 2005.

## RESIDENTIAL ORIGINATION SERVICES

Total assets of this segment increased by \$630,084 during 2005 primarily due to a $\$ 616,234$ increase in loans held for resale. This increase is largely the result of loans acquired during the fourth quarter. These loans were acquired with the intention of securitizing them and retaining the residual securities. The securitization was completed in February 2006 . In addition to providing various mortgage due diligence and loan origination services, our strategy in this business includes the targeted acquisition of residual securities, either directly or indirectly through the purchase and subsequent securitization of loans. The $\$ 535,946$ increase in liabilities in 2005 is primarily due to a repurchase agreement to finance the purchase of the loans.

December 31,

| Selected balance sheet data | 2005 |  | 2004 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total assets | \$ | 679,432 | \$ | 49,348 |
| Trading securities |  | 27, 023 |  | 35,277 |
| Loans held for resale |  | 624,671 |  | 8,437 |
| Receivables |  | 18,497 |  | 3,455 |
| Total liabilities | \$ | 538,226 | \$ | 2,280 |
| Lines of credit and other secured borrowings |  | 530,569 |  | -- |

Trading Securities. Consists of subordinate and residual securities. During 2005, the balance declined by $\$ 8,254$ primarily due to a decrease in the fair value of the unrated UK subprime residual securities, principal repayments and amortization. This decline was offset in part by a residual security with a fair value of $\$ 705$ at December 31, 2005 that was retained in connection with a securitization of loans held for resale during the fourth quarter.

Subordinate and residual securities do not have a contractual maturity but are paid down over time as cash distributions are received. The weighted average remaining life of these securities was 3.5 years at December 31, 2005. The anticipated effective yield to maturity as of December 31, 2005 based on the purchase price, actual cash flows received to date and the current estimate of future cash flows under the assumptions was 15.31\%. The original anticipated effective yield to maturity based on the purchase price and anticipated future cash flows under pricing assumptions was 18.68\%. Differences in the December 31, 2005 anticipated yield to maturity from that originally anticipated are due to differences between estimated cash flows and actual cash flows. Each quarter, we update the assumptions used to estimate future cash flows based on the actual results to date. The primary assumptions include prepayment speeds, loss rates and the discount rate. The mortgages that underlie our residential trading unrated subprime subordinate and residual securities amounted to $\$ 397,088$ at December 31, 2005 and are secured by properties located in 50 states and the UK. The largest aggregate value of mortgages in any one state or foreign country is $\$ 34,436$ in the UK.

Loans Held for Resale. Loans held for resale represent single-family residential loans originated or acquired by our Residential Origination Services segment that we intend to sell or securitize. These loans are carried at the lower of cost or market value and were comprised of the following at December 31, 2005:
o Loans with a carrying value of $\$ 469,937$, net of market valuation reserve of $\$ 4,380$, were acquired during the fourth quarter of 2005 . These loans were securitized in a transaction that closed in February 2006.
o Loans with a carrying value of $\$ 11,885$ (unpaid principal balance of $\$ 15,164)$, net of a market valuation reserve of $\$ 3,279$, were acquired as a part of an acquisition of $\$ 81,853$ of loans in September 2005 in connection with the termination of securitization trusts in which we were the servicer and holder of residual securities. These loans included non-performing loans at the time of acquisition. In October 2005, we completed a securitization involving $\$ 59,756$ of these loans. The remaining carrying value at December 31, 2005 includes $\$ 10,857$ of non-performing loans.
o Loans with a carrying value of $\$ 51,406$ were originated in connection with our new origination services business.
o A balance of $\$ 18,587$ represented loans that were originated in response to requests from Residential Servicing customers to refinance their mortgage. A balance of $\$ 8,437$ of such loans was outstanding at December 31, 2004, all of which were sold in January 2005. Only loans with sales commitments prior to closing are originated under this program. Of the loans outstanding at December 31, 2005, nearly all were sold in January 2006.
o Loans with a carrying value of $\$ 72,856$ were held by a consolidated VIE. The majority of these loans were sold in February 2006.

Receivables. The $\$ 15,042$ increase in receivables during 2005 is primarily due to uncollected principal and interest on loans held for resale.

Lines of Credit and Other Secured Borrowings. The loans we acquired in the fourth quarter of 2005 were funded through a transaction involving the sale of loans under an agreement to repurchase which we account for as a collateralized financing. The loans were securitized in February 2006 and the outstanding balance was repaid. Lines of credit and other secured borrowings are as follows:

| Borrowing Type | Interest Rate | Maturity | Unused Borrowing Capacity |  | Balance Outstanding at December 31, |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | 2005 |  | 2004 |  |
| Repurchase agreement | 1-Month LIBOR + 75 | March 2006 (1) | \$ | -- | \$ | 459,400 | \$ |  |
| Master loan and security agreement (2) | 1-Month LIBOR + 55 or 355 basis points | October 2006 |  | 100,000 |  |  |  |  |
| Master loan and security agreement - <br> consolidated VIE (3) | 1-Month LIBOR + 55 or 355 basis points | October 2006 |  | 178,831 |  | 71,169 |  |  |
|  |  |  | \$ | 278,831 | \$ | 530,569 | \$ | -- |

(1) This agreement matured on March 17, 2006.
(2) We entered into this agreement on October 11, 2005 and any future borrowings under this agreement will be secured by mortgage loans. We can borrow up to $90 \%$ of the principal balance of the mortgage loans or $88.2 \%$ of the market value of the loans, whichever is lower, at LIBOR plus 55 basis points. Borrowing above this level is at LIBOR plus 355 basis points.
(3) A consolidated VIE entered into this agreement on October 11, 2005. Borrowings under this agreement are secured by mortgage loans. The consolidated VIE can borrow up to $90 \%$ of the principal balance of the mortgage loans or $88.2 \%$ of the market value of the loans, whichever is lower, at LIBOR plus 55 basis points. Borrowing above this level is at LIBOR plus 355 basis points.

Results for 2005 include an operating loss from the mortgage fulfillment center and due diligence operation we acquired in December 2004. This loss primarily reflects our need to increase processing staff in advance of increased transaction volume. Our 2005 results were also impacted by declining earnings on our maturing portfolio of UK-based residual securities, a provision to fully reserve a working capital investment in a consolidated VIE and charges recorded to provide market valuation reserves on loans held for resale. Our loan refinancing program for residential servicing customers began earning fees in the second quarter of 2004.

| Selected operations data | 2005 |  | 2004 |  | 2003 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Pre-tax income (loss) | \$ | $(4,450)$ | \$ | 13,503 | \$ | 5,533 |
| Revenue: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Process management fees | \$ | 54,511 | \$ | 41,368 | \$ | 22,394 |
| Other |  | 221 |  | 16 |  | 627 |
| Total revenue | \$ | 54,732 | \$ | 41,384 | \$ | 23,021 |
| Operating expenses: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Compensation and benefits | \$ | 12,581 | \$ | 5,413 | \$ | 2,018 |
| Servicing and origination |  | 24,049 |  | 23,056 |  | 10,897 |
| Occupancy and equipment |  | 1,643 |  | 862 |  | 558 |
| Technology and communications |  | 7,538 |  | 3,962 |  | 2,406 |
| Professional services |  | 3,680 |  | 1,248 |  | 12,051 |
| Other |  | 21,730 |  | 6,468 |  | 7,656 |
| Total operating expenses | \$ | 71,221 | \$ | 41,009 | \$ | 35,586 |
| Other income (expense): |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Interest income: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Subordinate and residual trading securities | \$ | 11,778 | \$ | 14,803 | \$ | 16,223 |
| Loans held for resale |  | 4,642 |  | -- |  | -- |
| Other |  | 325 |  | 9 |  | 494 |
| Total interest income |  | 16,745 |  | 14,812 |  | 16,717 |
| Interest expense |  | $(6,672)$ |  | (877) |  | $(1,528)$ |
| Gain (loss) on trading securities |  | (7) |  | $(2,004)$ |  | 2,932 |
| Other, net |  | 1,973 |  | 1,197 |  | (23) |
| Total other income (expense) | \$ | 12,039 | \$ | 13,128 | \$ | 18,098 |


|  | 2005 |  | 2004 |  | 2003 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Property valuation fees (1) | \$ | 29,122 | \$ | 30,373 | \$ | 18,804 |
| Mortgage due diligence fees |  | 9,109 |  | -- |  | -- |
| Loan refinancing fees |  | 6,078 |  | 2,362 |  | -- |
| Other (2) |  | 10,202 |  | 8,633 |  | 3,590 |
|  | \$ | 54,511 | \$ | 41,368 | \$ | 22,394 |

(1) The increase in property valuation fees in 2004 reflects an increase in volume primarily as a result of the VA contract entered into in September 2003.
(2) Other primarily includes title service and other fees earned from vendors in the REALTrans network.

Operating Expenses. The increase in operating expenses in 2005 is in large part due to increased staffing, technology and other operating expenses associated with the mortgage fulfillment center and due diligence operation we acquired in December 2004. Servicing and origination expenses for 2005, 2004 and 2003 include $\$ 19,426, \$ 20,747$ and $\$ 10,649$, respectively, of fees incurred in connection with the residential property valuation services that we provided. Other operating expenses for 2005 include a charge of $\$ 7,238$ to recognize the full impairment of a working capital investment in a consolidated VIE and charges of $\$ 4,380$ to reduce loans held for resale to market value. Professional services for 2003 include a $\$ 10,000$ settlement resulting from a proceeding before an arbitration panel in connection with a subsidiary which ceased operations in 1999.

Gain (Loss) on Trading Securities These gains and losses primarily represent unrealized gains and losses on unrated subprime residual securities backed by subprime residential loans originated in the UK. A decline in cash flows from the UK securities has resulted in reduced interest income and a decline in fair value. The $\$ 5,475$ of net unrealized losses for 2005 were almost entirely offset by realized gains of $\$ 5,468$. The realized gains resulted from the following:
o On June 30, 2005, we purchased $\$ 11,766$ of unrated residual securities related to loans for which we were the master servicer for the related securitizations. As the master servicer, we had the clean-up call rights to collapse the related trusts once the balance of the underlying loans outstanding reached the optional termination amount of $10 \%$ of the original amount of loans in the securitization. In September 2005, we exercised our call rights and purchased the approximately $\$ 81,853$ of remaining loans from the trusts. As a result, the over collateralization was remitted to us, and we realized a gain of $\$ 8,495$ on the residual securities that we had purchased. We purchased the loans with the intention of securitizing or selling them. A portion of the loans that we acquired were nonperforming, and we recorded a provision of $\$ 6,476$ to reduce these nonperforming loans to their market value, yielding a net gain of $\$ 2,019$.
o In October 2005, we completed the securitization of \$59,756 of the loans acquired in the transaction described above and recorded a gain of \$3,449. We retained a residual security with a fair value of $\$ 705$.

## BUSINESS PROCESS OUTSOURCING

This segment began operations in 2002. Results reflect the initiation of new outsourcing contracts in late 2003 and 2004 and the expansion of sales and marketing activities in 2004.

| Selected operations data | 2005 |  | 2004 |  | 2003 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Pre-tax income | \$ | 1,225 | \$ | 2,205 | \$ | 1,893 |
| Process management fees |  | 11,265 |  | 9,493 |  | 4,496 |
| Operating expenses |  | 9,945 |  | 7,264 |  | 2,598 |

## CORPORATE ITEMS AND OTHER

The decline in assets during 2005 primarily reflects a decline in cash and investment grade securities used to fund the transfer of our customer deposits to Marathon in connection with debanking. The decline in liabilities in 2005 is largely due to the transfer of customer deposits to Marathon and the repurchase of debt securities.

|  | December 31, |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Selected balance sheet data | 2005 |  | 2004 |  |
| Total assets | \$ | 382,513 | \$ | 829,249 |
| Trading securities |  | 4,939 |  | 90,465 |
| Receivables |  | 21,891 |  | 94,643 |
| Premises and equipment, net |  | 37,227 |  | 35,400 |
| Other assets |  | 52,985 |  | 50,567 |
| Total liabilities | \$ | 214,894 | \$ | 578,449 |
| Lines of credit and other secured borrowings |  | 14,661 |  | 14,936 |
| Debt securities |  | 154,329 |  | 231,249 |
| Deposits |  | -- |  | 290,507 |
| Other liabilities |  | 45,904 |  | 41,655 |

Trading Securities. The following table sets forth the fair value of our trading securities in the Corporate Items and Other segment:

|  | December 31, |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | 004 |
| Investment grade securities: |  |  |  |  |
| U.S. Treasury securities | \$ | -- | \$ | 1,594 |
| Collateralized mortgage obligations <br> (AAA-rated) (1) ................... |  | -- |  | 81,466 |
| Bonds and debentures (2) |  | 1,685 |  | 3,155 |
|  |  | 1,685 |  | 86,215 |
| Subordinates (commercial unrated) |  | 3,254 |  | 4,250 |
|  | \$ | 4,939 | \$ | 90,465 |

(1) Prior to debanking, we invested in CMOs as needed to meet the Qualified Thrift Lender requirements of the Bank
(2) These securities were acquired in connection with our acquisition of BOK.

Receivables. Receivables in this segment consist of the following:


Amounts due from sales of affordable housing
properties (1) ...............................................

| \$ | 13,160 | \$ | 18,308 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | (95) |  | 68,463 |
|  | 8,826 |  | 7,872 |
| \$ | 21,891 | \$ | 94,643 |

(1) The balance primarily represents payments to be received in future years (through June 2014) from the sale of investments in affordable housing properties. The balances are net of an unaccreted discounts of \$1,530 and $\$ 2,346$ and a reserves for doubtful accounts of $\$ 6,150$ and $\$ 5,596$ at December 31, 2005 and 2004, respectively.
(2) As of December 31, 2004, income taxes receivable included $\$ 63,398$ of federal tax refund claims, including $\$ 6,872$ of accrued interest on the claims. In September 2005, we collected the federal income tax refund claims, which totaled \$ 65,317 including \$ 8,772 of interest.
(3) Other includes $\$ 3,701$ and $\$ 3,384$, at December 31, 2005 and 2004, respectively, of receivables related to BOK.

Other Assets. Other assets held by this segment are comprised of the following:

(1) Deferred tax assets are net of valuation allowances totaling $\$ 163,802$ and $\$ 165,927$ at December 31, 2005 and 2004, respectively.
(2) The balance at December 31, 2005 and 2004 includes $\$ 8,912$ and $\$ 8,905$, respectively, of deposits that were required in order to obtain surety bonds for affordable housing properties that we sold before the end of the fifteen-year tax credit amortization period and on which we have previously claimed tax credits on our income tax returns. The surety bond is necessary in order to avoid the recapture of those tax credits previously claimed. The balance at December 31, 2005 also includes a $\$ 5,000$ cash collateral account required under the Guaranty we entered into in connection with debanking. See Note 22 to the Consolidated Financial Statements for additional information regarding this agreement.
(3) We sold our one remaining limited partnership interest in an affordable housing property during 2005 for a loss of \$1,272.

Lines of Credit and Other Secured Borrowings. Lines of credit and other secured borrowings in this segment represent a mortgage note collateralized by our loan servicing call center located in Orlando, Florida. This note has a fixed interest rate of $5.62 \%$ and matures in October 2014.

Debt Securities. Debt securities in this segment consist of the following:

| December 31, |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2005 |  | 2004 |  |
| \$ | 100,900 | \$ | 175,000 |
|  | 53,429 |  | 56,249 |
| \$ | 154,329 | \$ | 231,249 |

(1) On July 28, 2004, OCN issued $\$ 175,000$ aggregate principal amount of $3.25 \%$ Contingent Convertible Senior Unsecured Notes due 2024 ("Convertible Notes"). The Convertible Notes are convertible at the option of the holder under certain circumstances into shares of our common stock at an initial conversion rate of 82.1693 shares per $\$ 1$ principal amount of the Convertible Notes, subject to adjustment. During 2005, we repurchased $\$ 74,100$ of the Convertible Notes generating total gains of $\$ 4,497$, net of the write-off of unamortized issuance costs. See Note 14 to our Consolidated Financial Statements for additional details regarding the Convertible Notes.
(2) During 2005 we repurchased $\$ 2,820$ of the Capital Securities generating total losses of $\$ 239$, net of the write-off of unamortized issuance costs. See Note 14 to our Consolidated Financial Statements for additional information regarding the Capital Securities.

Deposits. In connection with debanking, on June 30, 2005, Marathon National Bank assumed the customer deposits associated with the Bank's branch facility. As a result of our termination of the Bank's status as a federal savings bank, we are no longer able to accept deposits in the U.S. Total customer deposits amounted to \$290,507 at December 31, 2004.

Other Liabilities. Other liabilities in this segment consist primarily of accruals for incentive compensation awards, audit fees, legal fees and settlements, interest on debt securities and other operating expenses. Other liabilities also include funds of third parties held on deposit by BOK.

| Selected operations data | 2005 |  | 2004 |  | 2003 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Pre-tax income (loss) | \$ | 154 | \$ | $(10,639)$ | \$ | $(27,153)$ |
| Revenue (1) | \$ | $(1,475)$ | \$ | $(1,516)$ | \$ | 1,014 |
| Operating expenses | \$ | 6,669 | \$ | 15,039 | \$ | 15,578 |
| Other income (expense), net: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Interest income | \$ | 7,784 | \$ | 8,747 | \$ | 7,330 |
| Interest expense |  | $(8,158)$ |  | $(9,696)$ |  | $(16,164)$ |
| Distributions on Capital Securities (2) |  | -- |  |  |  | $(3,059)$ |
| Gain (loss) on trading securities |  | 20 |  | 1,467 |  | 412 |
| Gain (loss) on debt repurchases |  | 4,258 |  | -- |  | (445) |
| Other, net |  | 4,394 |  | 5,398 |  | (663) |
| Total other income (expense) | \$ | 8,298 | \$ | 5,916 | \$ | $(12,589)$ |

(1) Revenues for 2005 and 2004 primarily represent the elimination of inter-company servicing and process management fees.
(2) Effective with our adoption of SFAS No. 150 on July 1, 2003, distributions on Capital Securities are included in interest expense.

Operating Expenses. Operating expenses for 2005, 2004 and 2003 include $\$ 6,131, \$ 6,468$, and $\$ 7,170$, respectively, of expenses associated with business activities that are individually insignificant, primarily Affordable Housing, Commercial Assets and BOK.

In 2004, reserves of $\$ 4,000$ were established to provide for the following claims:
(a) On February 8, 2005, a jury in Circuit Court for Palm Beach County, Florida returned verdicts of $\$ 1,000$ and $\$ 1,056$ in compensatory damages in favor of two former employees of the Bank in a lawsuit against OCN and the Bank as well as the award of $\$ 900$ in plaintiff's attorney fees. We have filed motions for a new trial and have taken an appeal to the Florida Court of Appeals for the Fourth District.
(b) On August 5, 2005, the trial judge in County Court for Nueces County, Texas, entered an Agreed Order of Dismissal, dismissing with prejudice all claims brought by two investor plaintiffs whose mortgage loan on an investment property was serviced by the Bank. After a trial in February 2005, the jury returned a verdict in favor of plaintiffs for compensatory and statutory damages in the amount of $\$ 140$. The parties subsequently entered into a definitive settlement agreement disposing of all claims. The amount of the settlement was within the reserve amount established for the case.
(c) On November 3, 2004, the trial judge in litigation brought by Cartel Asset Management, Inc. ("Cartel") against OCN, the Bank and OTX in federal court in Denver, Colorado entered final judgment in the amount of $\$ 520$ against OTX and nominal damages of two dollars against the Bank. No damages were entered against OCN. Notwithstanding the nominal damage award against the Bank, it was assessed a statutory award to Cartel of attorneys' fees in an additional amount of \$170, and the Bank and OTX were further assessed costs in the amount of $\$ 9$.

See Note 28 to our Consolidated Financial Statements for additional information regarding these matters.

Interest Expense. Interest expense includes a portion of interest on the $\$ 175,000$ of $3.25 \%$ Convertible Notes that we issued in July 2004. For 2005, 2004 and 2003, interest expense included a total of $\$ 920$, $\$ 4,250$ and $\$ 11,575$, respectively, related to the Affordable Housing and Commercial Asset businesses. The decline reflects the decline in the assets of those businesses, many of which were not interest-earning assets.

Other, net. Other, net is primarily comprised of the following:
o Interest income on federal income tax refund claims of \$1,900 and \$ 6,874 for 2005 and 2004, respectively. These claims, including accrued interest, were collected in September 2005.
o Net gains (losses) from the operation, valuation and sale of real estate (primarily commercial) of $\$ 1,856, \$(2,924)$ and $\$(2,140)$ were recorded in 2005, 2004 and 2003 respectively. Gains on sales of real estate were $\$ 2,522$ in 2005, including a gain of $\$ 1,882$ related to the sale of a commercial real estate investment during the third quarter.
o A gain of $\$ 1,750$ we recognized in the second quarter of 2005 in connection with the assumption of our customer deposit liabilities on June 30, 2005 by Marathon.
o Gains (losses) from the operation, valuation and sale of affordable housing properties of \$(1,750), \$255 and \$(284) were recognized in 2005, 2004 and 2003, respectively. We recognized a loss of $\$ 1,272$ in 2005 on the sale of our one remaining limited partnership interest in an affordable housing property.

## MINORITY INTEREST IN SUBSIDIARIES

Minority interest of \$1,853 and \$1,530 at December 31, 2005 and 2004, respectively, represents the investment by others in Global Servicing Solutions, LLC (GSS). ML IBK Positions, Inc. ("Merrill Lynch") owns 30\% of GSS. In addition, $10 \%$ of one of the Japanese operating subsidiaries of GSS that we sold in 2005 was owned by an unaffiliated third party.

## STOCKHOLDER'S EQUITY

Stockholders' equity amounted to $\$ 347,407$ at December 31, 2005 as compared to $\$ 330,108$ at December 31, 2004. The $\$ 17,299$ increase in stockholders' equity during 2005 was primarily due to net income of $\$ 15,065$ and the issuance of 388,627 shares of common stock to employees as a result of the exercise of stock options and the vesting of stock awards.

We did not purchase any shares of our own common stock during the year ended December 31, 2005. A total of $5,568,900$ shares may be purchased under a plan we announced on May 9, 2000 to repurchase up to 6,000,000 shares of our issued and outstanding common stock. Our ability to repurchase shares of our common stock is restricted under the terms of the Guaranty that we entered into with the OTS in connection with debanking.

## INCOME TAX EXPENSE (BENEFIT)

The following table provides details of our income tax expense (benefit):

|  | 2005 |  | 2004 |  | 2003 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Income tax expense (benefit) on income (loss) before taxes | \$ | 5,973 | \$ | 3,194 | \$ | $(1,427)$ |
| Provision for (reversal of) valuation allowance on deferred tax asset |  | $(2,125)$ |  | $(35,518)$ |  | 2,175 |
| Provision for recapture of base year bad debt reserves |  | 1,967 |  | -- |  |  |
| Total income tax expense (benefit) | \$ | 5,815 | \$ | $(32,324)$ | \$ | 748 |

We maintain a valuation allowance in an amount sufficient to reduce our deferred tax asset to the amount that is more likely than not to be realized. The valuation allowance amounted to $\$ 163,802$ and $\$ 165,927$ at December 31, 2005 and 2004, respectively. The amount of the valuation allowance was based on consideration of all available evidence, both positive and negative, including our recent earnings history, current tax position and estimates of future taxable income. The tax character (ordinary versus capital) and the carry forward and carry back periods of certain tax attributes (e.g., capital losses and tax credits) were also considered. We assess the amount of our valuation allowance each quarter and in light of our recent positive earnings history, changes to the valuation allowance may be appropriate in 2006.

The $\$ 35,518$ reduction in the valuation allowance on the deferred tax asset in 2004 is principally a result of refund claims of $\$ 56,526$ filed with the IRS that reduced our gross deferred tax asset and increased our income tax receivable balance by the same amount. These refund claims, which were affirmed in writing by the IRS agent during 2004, arose because of changes in the tax law that allowed us to carry back net operating losses from 2001 and 2002 to taxes paid in earlier years.

In the second quarter of 2005, we recorded a one-time provision of $\$ 1,967$ ( $\$ 1,124$ net of a related reversal of the valuation allowance on the deferred tax asset) to recognize a deferred tax liability arising from the recapture of bad debt reserves in connection with our termination of the Bank's status as a federal savings bank.

Income tax expense (benefit) on income (loss) before income taxes differs from amounts that would be computed by applying the Federal corporate income tax rate of $35 \%$ primarily because of the effect of foreign taxes, non-economic tax residual payments, changes in the valuation allowance and low-income housing tax credits. See Note 19 to our Consolidated Financial Statements for a reconciliation of taxes at the statutory rate to actual income tax expense (benefit). Income tax expense reflects tax credits of $\$ 998, \$ 4,848$ and $\$ 2,393$, respectively. Although we have substantial unused tax credits available to reduce the liability arising from income taxes on our current year income, tax credits can be used to reduce income tax expense only to the corporate alternative minimum tax rate of $20 \%$ of taxable income.

## LIQUIDITY

Our primary sources of funds for liquidity are:

Lines of credit and other secured borrowings<br>Match funded liabilities<br>Debt securities<br>Servicing fees<br>Payments received on trading securities

We closely monitor our liquidity position and ongoing funding requirements. At December 31, 2005, we had $\$ 267,896$ of unrestricted cash, which represented $14 \%$ of total assets. Under certain of our credit facilities, we are required to maintain minimum liquidity levels. Among the risks and challenges associated with our funding activities are the following:
o As a result of debanking, we are no longer able to raise funds through customer deposits in the U.S.
o Cash requirements to fund our acquisition of additional servicing rights and related advances and to fund existing operations and growth in other core business lines.
o The maturity of an existing line of credit with an outstanding balance of $\$ 81,218$ in June 2006, subject to the renewal of this agreement.

We grow our Residential Servicing business through the purchase of servicing rights or by entering into subservicing agreements. Servicing rights entitle us as the owner to earn servicing fees and other types of ancillary income, but they also impose on us various obligations as the servicer. Among these are the obligations to advance our own funds to meet contractual principal and interest payments for certain investors and to pay taxes, insurance and various other items that are required to preserve the assets being serviced.

Our ability to expand our Residential Servicing business depends in part on our ability to obtain additional financing to purchase new servicing rights and to fund servicing advances. We currently use a variety of sources of debt to finance these assets, including match funded agreements, credit facilities and seller financing. Our credit facilities provide financing to us at amounts that are less than the full value of the related servicing assets that serve as collateral for the credit facilities. If we cannot replace or renew these sources as they mature or obtain additional sources of financing, we may be unable to acquire new servicing rights or make the associated advances. Credit facilities directly related to our Residential Servicing business are summarized as follows:
o Under a match funding agreement that we entered into on December 20, 2001, we are eligible to sell advances on loans serviced for others up to a maximum debt balance of $\$ 125,000$ at any one time. At December 31, 2005, we had $\$ 100,349$ of match funded liabilities outstanding under this facility which will mature in January 2007.
o We have a $\$ 140,000$ secured credit agreement that may be used to fund servicing advances and acquisitions of servicing rights. At December 31, 2005, we had a balance outstanding under this agreement of $\$ 81,218$. The agreement matures in June 2006.
o Under a match funding agreement we entered into on November 17, 2004, we are eligible to sell advances on loans serviced for others up to a maximum outstanding balance of $\$ 275,000$. As of December 31, 2005, the balance outstanding under this agreement was $\$ 238,943$. The two term notes of $\$ 100,000$ and $\$ 75,000$ under this facility have stated maturities of October 2013 and March 2014, respectively. The variable funding note has a maximum amount of \$100,000 and a stated maturity of November 2010.

In addition, our Residential Origination Services has available a warehouse line of credit of $\$ 100,000$. We had no borrowings outstanding under this line, which expires in October 2006.

We believe that our existing sources of liquidity, including internally generated funds, will be adequate to fund planned activities, although there can be no assurances in this regard. At December 31, 2005, we had $\$ 398,321$ of unused borrowing capacity under existing credit agreements, including $\$ 178,831$ that is available to a consolidated VIE. We continue to evaluate other sources of liquidity, such as debt securities, lines of credit from unaffiliated parties, match funded debt and other secured borrowings.

Our operating activities provided (used) \$(328,174), \$179,514 and \$72,618 of cash flows during 2005, 2004 and 2003, respectively. The decline in operating cash flow in 2005 primarily reflects the net increase in loans we held for resale and a decline in the amount of borrower payments held by us prior to their transfer to collection accounts, offset in part by the collection of federal income tax refund claims and related interest totaling $\$ 65,317$ and an increase in cash provided by trading activities due primarily to the sale and maturity of investment grade securities held at December 31, 2004.

Our investing activities provided (used) cash flows totaling \$(104,796), $\$ 39,625$, and $\$(18,777)$ during 2005, 2004 and 2003, respectively. The decline in cash flows provided by investing activities in 2005 is largely the result of an increase in purchases of mortgage servicing rights, coupled with a decline in proceeds from the sale of real estate and a decline in principal payments received on loans and partly offset by a decline in loan originations in 2005.

Our financing activities provided cash flows of \$159,690, \$7,585 and \$557 during 2005, 2004 and 2003, respectively. Cash flows provided by financing activities increased in 2005 principally because of $\$ 459,400$ of cash we received under a collateralized borrowing agreement we entered into to finance a purchase of loans held for resale, offset by a decline in deposits and the repurchase of debt securities in 2005. The decline in deposits in 2005 resulted from maturing certificates of deposit and the cash payment to Marathon in connection with its assumption of our customer deposits. Also, in 2004 we received proceeds from our issuance of $\$ 175,000$ of $3.25 \%$ Convertible Notes, repurchased $5,481,100$ shares of our common stock and repaid a maturing line of credit collateralized by servicing advances.

## COMMITMENTS

The following table sets forth certain information regarding amounts we owe to others under contractual obligations as of December 31, 2005 based on maturities and payment due dates:

(1) See respective Notes to our Consolidated Financial Statements.

We believe that we have adequate resources to fund all unfunded commitments to the extent required and to meet all contractual obligations as they come due.

## OFF-BALANCE SHEET RISKS

As of December 31, 2005 we had outstanding commitments to fund mortgage loans of $\$ 58,489$ and outstanding commitments to sell $\$ 17,442$ of these loans.

In addition to commitments to extend credit, we are party to various off-balance sheet financial instruments in the normal course of our business to manage our interest rate risk and foreign currency exchange rate risk. We have also committed to fund operating cash deficits of certain affordable housing properties that we have sold and are a limited guarantor under a master loan and security agreement that terminates in October 2006. Our guarantee is limited to $5 \%$ of the aggregate principal balance of the mortgage loans pledged to secure any debt under this agreement. The maximum amount of potential future payments under the guaranty is approximately $\$ 20,000$, including $\$ 12,500$ related to a consolidated VIE. See Note 28 to our Consolidated Financial Statements and "Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk" below.

We conduct business with a variety of financial institutions and other companies in the normal course of business, including counterparties to our off-balance sheet financial instruments. We are subject to potential financial loss if the counterparty is unable to complete an agreed upon transaction. We seek to limit counterparty risk through financial analysis, dollar limits and other monitoring procedures.

## RECENT ACCOUNTING DEVELOPMENTS

During 2005, we adopted no new accounting standards that had a material effect on our Consolidated Financial Statements. For additional information relating to the effects of our adoption of recent accounting standards, see Note 1 to our Consolidated Financial Statements

ITEM 7A. QUANTITATIVE AND QUALITATIVE DISCLOSURES ABOUT MARKET RISK (Dollars in thousands)

Market risk includes interest rate risk, foreign currency exchange rate risk and liquidity risk. We are exposed to interest rate risk to the degree that our interest-bearing liabilities mature or reprice at different speeds, or different bases, than our interest-earning assets. We are exposed to foreign currency exchange rate risk in connection with our investment in non-U.S. dollar functional currency operations and to the extent our foreign exchange positions remain unhedged. Market risk also reflects the risk of declines in the valuation of trading securities, mortgage servicing rights and in the value of the collateral underlying loans.

We are also exposed to liquidity risk primarily because of the highly variable daily cash requirements to support the Residential Servicing business including acquisitions of mortgage servicing rights, the requirement to make advances pursuant to servicing contracts and the process of remitting borrower payments to the custodial accounts. In general, we finance our operations through operating cash flows and various other sources including long-term debt and financing facilities. See "Liquidity, Commitments and Off-Balance Sheet Risks" for additional discussion regarding liquidity.

The primary risk associated with mortgage servicing rights is that they will lose a portion of their value as a result of higher than anticipated prepayments occasioned by declining interest rates or because of higher than anticipated delinquency rates occasioned by deteriorating credit conditions. Interest rates, prepayment speeds and the payment performance of the underlying loans significantly affect both our initial and ongoing valuations and the rate of amortization of mortgage servicing rights. As of December 31, 2005 and 2004, we held $\$ 148,663$ and $\$ 131,409$, respectively, of mortgage servicing rights.

Our Residential Servicing business is characterized by non-interest earning assets financed by interest-bearing liabilities. Among the more significant non-interest earning assets are servicing advances and mortgage servicing rights. At December 31, 2005, we had servicing advances of $\$ 592,312$ consisting of advances on loans serviced for others of $\$ 215,207$ and match funded advances on loans serviced for others of $\$ 377,105$.

We are also exposed to interest rate risk because earnings on float balances are affected by short-term interest rates. These float balances, which are not included in our financial statements, amounted to \$716,904 and \$867,884 at December 31, 2005 and 2004, respectively. We report these earnings as a component of servicing and subservicing fees.

At December 31, 2005, the combined balance of our match funded liabilities, debt securities, lines of credit and other secured borrowings totaled $\$ 1,120,179$. Of this amount $\$ 951,189$ was variable rate debt, for which debt service costs are sensitive to changes in interest rates, and $\$ 168,990$ was fixed rate debt.

IMPACT OF CHANGES IN INTEREST RATES ON THE NET VALUE OF INTEREST RATE-SENSITIVE FINANCIAL INSTRUMENTS

We perform an interest rate sensitivity analysis of our mortgage servicing rights portfolio every quarter. We currently estimate that the fair value of the portfolio increases by approximately $3.21 \%$ for every 50 basis point (bps) increase in interest rates and reduces by approximately $3.37 \%$ for every 50 bps decline in interest rates. Mortgage servicing rights are carried at the lower of amortized cost or fair value by strata. To the extent that fair value was to decline below amortized cost, we would record an impairment charge to earnings and establish a valuation allowance. A subsequent increase in fair value could result in the recovery of some or all of a previously established valuation allowance. However, an increase in fair value of a particular stratum above its amortized cost would not be reflected in current earnings.

The following table shows our financial instruments that are sensitive to changes in interest rates, categorized by expected maturity or repricing characteristics, and the fair values of those instruments at December 31, 2005:

Expected Maturity Date at December 31, 2005 (1)

|  | 2006 |  | 2007 |  | 2008 |  | 2009 |  | 2010 |  | Thereafter |  | Total Balance |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Fair } \\ \text { Value } \end{gathered}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Rate-Sensitive Assets: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Interest-earning cash | \$ | 15,161 | \$ | -- | \$ | -- | \$ | -- | \$ | -- | \$ | -- | \$ | 15,161 | \$ | 15,161 |
| Average interest rate |  | 2.37\% |  | 0.00\% |  | 0.00\% |  | 0.00\% |  | 0.00\% |  | 0.00\% |  |  |  |  |
| Trading securities |  | 10,481 |  | 6,583 |  | 4,316 |  | 2,823 |  | 1,827 |  | 5,932 |  | 31,962 |  | 31,962 |
| Average interest rate |  | 21.99\% |  | 20.31\% |  | 19.84\% |  | 22.25\% |  | 23.28\% |  | 26.26\% |  | 22.24\% |  |  |
| Loans held for resale (2)(3).. |  | 624,671 |  | -- |  | -- |  | -- |  | -- |  | -- |  | 624,671 |  | 624,671 |
| Average interest rate |  | 7.53\% |  | 0.00\% |  | 0.00\% |  | 0.00\% |  | 0.00\% |  | 0.00\% |  | 7.53\% |  |  |
| Interest-earning collateral and debt service deposits .. |  | 29,962 |  | - - |  | - - |  | -- |  | -- |  | - - |  | 29,962 |  | 29,962 |
| Average interest rate ...... |  | 3.54\% |  | 0.00\% |  | 0.00\% |  | 0.00\% |  | 0.00\% |  | 0.00\% |  | 3.54\% |  |  |
| Total rate-sensitive assets $\qquad$ | \$ | 680,275 | \$ | 6,583 | \$ | 4,316 | \$ | 2,823 | \$ | 1,827 | \$ | 5,932 | \$ | 701,756 | \$ | 701,756 |
| Rate-Sensitive Liabilities: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Match funded liabilities | \$ | 339,292 | \$ | -- | \$ | -- | \$ | -- | \$ | -- | \$ | -- | \$ | 339,292 | \$ | 339,292 |
| Average interest rate |  | 4.96\% |  | 0.00\% |  | 0.00\% |  | 0.00\% |  | 0.00\% |  | 0.00\% |  | 4.96\% |  |  |
| Lines of credit and other |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| secured borrowings (3) |  | 611,787 |  | -- |  | -- |  | -- |  | -- |  | 14,661 |  | 626,448 |  | 626,448 |
| Average interest rate |  | 5.29\% |  | 0.00\% |  | 0.00\% |  | 0.00\% |  | 0.00\% |  | 5.62\% |  | 5.28\% |  |  |
| Debt securities |  | -- |  | -- |  | -- |  | 100,900 |  | -- |  | 53,429 |  | 154,329 |  | 151,028 |
| Average interest rate ...... |  | 0.00\% |  | 0.00\% |  | 0.00\% |  | 3.25\% |  | 0.00\% |  | 10.88\% |  | 5.89\% |  |  |
| Total rate-sensitive |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| liabilities | \$ | 951, 079 | \$ | -- | \$ | -- | \$ | 100,900 | \$ | -- | \$ | 68,090 | \$ | 1,120,069 | \$ | 1,116,768 |

1) Expected maturities are contractual maturities adjusted for prepayments of principal. We use certain assumptions to estimate fair values and expected maturities. For assets, expected maturities are based upon contractual maturity, projected repayments and prepayments of principal. We base the prepayment experience reflected herein on our historical experience. The actual maturities of these instruments could vary substantially if future prepayments differ from our historical experience.
(2) The majority of loans held for resale at December 31, 2005 were either sold or securitized during the first quarter of 2006. The balances are net of market valuation reserves and include non-performing loans.
(3) Includes loans and borrowings of a consolidated VIE.

The expected maturity of interest rate-sensitive assets and liabilities as of December 31, 2005 and 2004 compare as follows:

Total rate-sensitive assets: 2005

| Amount | \$ | 680,275 | \$ | 6,583 | \$ | 4,316 | \$ | 2,823 | \$ | 1,827 | \$ | 5,932 | \$ | 701,756 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Percent of total |  | 96.94\% |  | 0.94\% |  | 0.62\% |  | 0.40\% |  | 0.26\% |  | 0.84\% |  | 100.00\% |
| 2004 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Amount | \$ | 221,552 | \$ | 32,047 | \$ | 8,682 | \$ | 5,018 | \$ | 2,580 | \$ | 8,919 | \$ | 278,798 |
| Percent of total |  | 79.47\% |  | 11.49\% |  | 3.11\% |  | 1.80\% |  | 0.93\% |  | 3.20\% |  | 100.00\% |
| Total rate-sensitive liabilities: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Amount | \$ | 951, 079 | \$ | -- |  | -- | \$ | 100,900 | \$ |  | \$ | 68,090 | \$ | 1,120,069 |
| Percent of total |  | 84.91\% |  | 0.00\% |  | 0.00\% |  | 9.01\% |  | 0.00\% |  | 6.08\% |  | 100.00\% |
| 2004 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Amount | \$ | 489,579 | \$ | 47,264 | \$ | 22,042 | \$ | 5,098 | \$ | 175,449 | \$ | 72,750 | \$ | 812,182 |
| Percent of total |  | 60.28\% |  | 5.82\% |  | 2.71\% |  | 0.63\% |  | 21.60\% |  | 8.96\% |  | 100.00\% |

Our Asset/Liability Management Committee is authorized to utilize a wide variety of off-balance sheet financial techniques to assist it in the management of interest rate risk and foreign currency exchange rate risk. These techniques include interest rate exchange contracts or "swap"
agreements, interest rate caps and floors, U.S. Treasury interest rate futures contracts, foreign currency futures contracts, foreign currency forwards and European swaptions and put options.

We have entered into foreign currency futures to hedge our net investment in the foreign subsidiary that owns our UK subprime residual securities. The notional amount of these futures was (pound) 13, 438 ( $\$ 23,148$ ) at December 31, 2005. Our principal exposure to foreign currency exchange rates exists with the British Pound versus the U.S. dollar. Our operations in India and the foreign operations of GSS also expose us to foreign currency exchange rate risk. However, this risk is insignificant. See Note 18 to our Consolidated Financial Statements for additional information regarding our management of foreign currency exchange rate risk.

## ITEM 8. FINANCIAL STATEMENTS AND SUPPLEMENTARY DATA

The information required by this section is contained in the Consolidated Financial Statements of Ocwen Financial Corporation and Report of PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP, Independent Registered Certified Public Accounting Firm, beginning on Page F-1.

ITEM 9. CHANGES IN AND DISAGREEMENTS WITH ACCOUNTANTS ON ACCOUNTING AND FINANCIAL DISCLOSURE

None.
ITEM 9A. CONTROLS AND PROCEDURES

## EVALUATION OF DISCLOSURE CONTROLS AND PROCEDURES

Our management, under the supervision of and with the participation of our Chief Executive Officer and Principal Financial Officer, has evaluated the effectiveness of our disclosure controls and procedures, as such term is defined in Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e) under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended (the "Exchange Act"), as of the end of the period covered by this report. Based on such evaluation, our Chief Executive Officer and Principal Financial Officer have concluded that, as of the end of such period, our disclosure controls and procedures are effective.

## MANAGEMENT'S REPORT ON INTERNAL CONTROL OVER FINANCIAL REPORTING

Our management is responsible for establishing and maintaining adequate internal control over financial reporting, as that term is defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(f) and 15d-15(f).

Under the supervision of and with the participation of our management, including our Chief Executive Officer and Principal Financial Officer, we have conducted an evaluation of our internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2005, based on the framework set forth by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission ("COSO") in Internal Control - - Integrated Framework. Based on that evaluation, our management concluded that, as of December 31, 2005, internal control over financial reporting is effective based on criteria established in Internal Control - Integrated Framework issued by the coso.

Management has excluded Funding America, LLC ("FA") from its assessment of internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2005 because it was acquired in a purchase business combination on December 28, 2005. FA is a VIE consolidated under the provisions of FASB Interpretation No. 46, as revised. FA's total assets represent approximately $4 \%$ of OCN's consolidated assets at December 31, 2005.

Management's assessment of the effectiveness of OCN's internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2005, has been audited by Pricewaterhousecoopers LLP, an independent registered certified public accounting firm, as stated in their report which appears herein.

## LIMITATIONS ON THE EFFECTIVENESS OF CONTROLS

A company's internal control over financial reporting is a process designed to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles. A company's internal control over financial reporting includes those policies and procedures that (i) pertain to the maintenance of records that, in reasonable detail, accurately and fairly reflect the transactions and dispositions of the assets of the company; (ii) provide reasonable assurance that transactions are recorded as necessary to permit preparation of financial statements in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles, and that receipts and expenditures of the company are being made only in accordance with authorizations of management and
directors of the company; and (iii) provide reasonable assurance regarding prevention or timely detection of unauthorized acquisition, use, or disposition of the company's assets that could have a material effect on the financial statements.

Because of its inherent limitations, internal control over financial reporting may not prevent or detect misstatements. Also, projections of any evaluation of effectiveness to future periods are subject to the risk that controls may become inadequate because of changes in conditions, or that the degree of compliance with the policies or procedures may deteriorate.

## CHANGES IN INTERNAL CONTROL OVER FINANCIAL REPORTING

There have not been any changes in our internal control over financial reporting, as such term is defined in Rules 13a-15(f) and 15d-15(f) under the Exchange Act, during our fiscal quarter ended December 31, 2005 that have materially affected, or are reasonably likely to materially affect, our internal control over financial reporting.

ITEM 9B. OTHER INFORMATION
There was no information required to be reported on Form 8-K during the fourth quarter of the year covered by this Form $10-\mathrm{K}$ that was not so reported.

PART III
ITEM 10. DIRECTORS AND EXECUTIVE OFFICERS OF THE REGISTRANT
The information contained in our definitive Proxy Statement with respect to our Annual Meeting of Shareholders to be held on May 4, 2006 and as filed with the Commission on March 23, 2006 (the "2006 Proxy Statement") under the captions "Election of Directors - Nominees for Director," "Executive Officers Who Are Not Directors," "Board of Directors and Corporate Governance - Committees of the Board of Directors - Audit committee", "Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners and Related Stockholder Matters - Section 16(a) Beneficial Ownership Reporting Compliance" and "Board of Directors and Corporate Governance - Code of Ethics" is incorporated herein by reference.

## ITEM 11. EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION

The information contained in our 2006 Proxy Statement under the captions "Executive Compensation," "Board of Directors Compensation" and "Comparison of Cumulative Total Return" is incorporated herein by reference

ITEM 12. SECURITY OWNERSHIP OF CERTAIN BENEFICIAL OWNERS AND MANAGEMENT AND RELATED STOCKHOLDER MATTERS

The information contained in our 2006 Proxy Statement under the captions "Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners and Related Stockholder Matters - - Beneficial Ownership of Common Stock" and "Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners and Related Stockholder Matters - Equity Compensation Plan Information" are incorporated herein by reference.

ITEM 13. CERTAIN RELATIONSHIPS AND RELATED TRANSACTIONS
None.
ITEM 14. PRINCIPAL ACCOUNTING FEES AND SERVICES
The information required by this item is included in our 2006 Proxy Statement under the caption "Ratification of Appointment of Independent Registered Certified Public Accounting Firm" and is incorporated herein by reference.

PART IV
ITEM 15. EXHIBITS AND FINANCIAL STATEMENT SCHEDULES
(1) and (2) Financial Statements and Schedules. The information required by this section is contained in the Consolidated Financial Statements of Ocwen Financial Corporation and Report of PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP, Independent Registered Certified Public Accounting Firm, beginning on Page F-1.

| 2.1 | Agreement of Merger dated as of July 25, 1999 among Ocwen Financial Corporation, Ocwen Asset Investment Corp. and Ocwen Acquisition Company (1) |
| :---: | :---: |
| 3.1 | Amended and Restated Articles of Incorporation (2) |
| 3.2 | Amended and Restated Bylaws (3) |
| 4.0 | Form of Certificate of Common Stock (2) |
| 4.1 | Certificate of Trust of Ocwen Capital Trust I (4) |
| 4.2 | Amended and Restated Declaration of Trust of Ocwen Capital Trust I (4) |
| 4.3 | Form of Capital Security of Ocwen Capital Trust I (included in Exhibit 4.4) (4) |
| 4.4 | Form of Indenture relating to $10.875 \%$ Junior Subordinated Debentures due 2027 of OCN (4) |
| 4.5 | Form of 10.875\% Junior Subordinated Debentures due 2027 of OCN (included in Exhibit 4.6) (4) |
| 4.6 | Form of Guarantee of OCN relating to the Capital Securities of Ocwen Capital Trust I (4) |
| 4.7 | Registration Rights Agreement dated as of July 28, 2004, between OCN and Jeffries \& Company Inc. (5) |
| 4.8 | Indenture dated as of July 28, 2004, between OCN and the Bank of New York Trust Company, N.A., as trustee (5) |
| 10.1* | Ocwen Financial Corporation 1996 Stock Plan for Directors, as amended (6) |
| 10.2 | Ocwen Financial Corporation 1998 Annual Incentive Plan (7) |
| 10.3 | Compensation and Indemnification Agreement, dated as of May 6, 1999, between OAC and the independent committee of the Board of Directors (8) |
| 10.4 | Indemnity agreement, dated August 24, 1999, among OCN and OAC's directors (9) |
| 10.5* | Amended Ocwen Financial Corporation 1991 Non-Qualified Stock Option Plan, dated October 26, 1999 (9) |
| 10.6 | First Amendment to Agreement, dated March 30, 2000 between HCT Investments, Inc. and OAIC Partnership I, L.P. (9) |
| 10.7* | Ocwen Financial Corporation Deferral Plan for Directors, dated March 7, 2005 (10) |
| 10.8 | Collateral Trust Agreement, dated June 28, 2005, between OCN and the Bank of New York Trust Company, N.A. (11) |
| 10.9 | Guaranty, dated June 28, 2005, from OCN to the Guaranteed Parties (11) |
| 10.10 | Cash Collateral Agreement, dated June 28, 2005, among OCN, Bank of New York Trust Company, N.A. as collateral Trustee and Bank of New York Trust Company, N.A. as Account Bank (11) |
| 11.1 | Computation of earnings per share (12) |
| 12. | Ratio of earnings to fixed charges (filed herewith) |
| 21.0 | Subsidiaries (filed herewith) |
| 23.0 | Consent of PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP (filed herewith) |
| 31.1 | Certification of the Chief Executive Officer pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 (filed herewith) |
| 31.2 | Certification of the Chief Financial Officer pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 (filed herewith) |
| 32.1 | Certification of the Chief Executive Officer pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-0xley Act of 2002 (filed herewith) |
| 32.2 | Certification of the Chief Financial Officer pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-0xley Act of 2002 (filed herewith) |

(1) Incorporated by reference from a similarly described exhibit included with the Registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K filed with the Commission on July 26, 1999.
Incorporated by reference from the similarly described exhibit filed in connection with the Registrant's Registration Statement on Form S-1 (File No. 333-5153) as amended, declared effective by the commission on September 25, 1996.
(3) Incorporated by reference from the similarly described exhibit included with the Registrant's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 1998.
Incorporated by reference from the similarly described exhibit filed in connection with our Registration Statement on Form S-1 (File No. 333-28889), as amended, declared effective by the Commission on August 6, 1997.
Incorporated by reference from the similarly described exhibit included with Registrant's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarterly period ended June 30, 2004.
Incorporated by reference from the similarly described exhibit filed in connection with the Registrant's Registration Statement on Form S-8 (File No. 333-44999), effective when filed with the Commission on January 28, 1998.
Incorporated by reference from the similarly described exhibit to our definitive Proxy Statement with respect to our 1998 Annual Meeting of Shareholders as filed with the Commission on March 31, 1998. Incorporated by reference from OAC's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarterly period ended September 30, 1999.
(9) Incorporated by reference from the similarly described exhibit included with the Registrant's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarterly period ended March 31, 2000.
(10) Incorporated by reference from the similarly described exhibit included with the Registrant's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2004.
Incorporated by reference from the similarly described exhibit included with the Registrant's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarterly period ended June 30, 2005.
Incorporated by reference from "Note 17 Basic and Diluted Earnings per Share" on pages F-26 and F-27 of our Consolidated Financial Statements.
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To the Board of Directors and Stockholders of Ocwen Financial Corporation:
We have completed integrated audits of Ocwen Financial Corporation's 2005 and 2004 consolidated financial statements and of its internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2005, and an audit of its 2003 consolidated financial statements in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). Our opinions, based on our audits, are presented below.

## Consolidated financial statements

In our opinion, the consolidated financial statements listed in the accompanying index present fairly, in all material respects, the financial position of Ocwen Financial Corporation and its subsidiaries (the "Company") at December 31, 2005 and December 31, 2004, and the results of their operations and their cash flows for each of the three years in the period ended December 31, 2005 in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America. These financial statements are the responsibility of the Company's management. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on these financial statements based on our audits. We conducted our audits of these statements in accordance with the standards of the Public company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free of material misstatement. An audit of financial statements includes examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements, assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, and evaluating the overall financial statement presentation. We believe that our audits provide a reasonable basis for our opinion.

As discussed in Note 1 to the consolidated financial statements, effective December 31, 2005 the Company has revised the presentation of their consolidated financial statements.

## nternal control over financial reporting

Also, in our opinion, management's assessment, included in Management's Report on Internal Control over Financial Reporting appearing under Item 9A that the Company maintained effective internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2005 based on criteria established in Internal Control Integrated Framework issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission (COSO), is fairly stated, in all material respects, based on those criteria. Furthermore, in our opinion, the Company maintained, in all material respects, effective internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2005, based on criteria established in Internal Control Integrated Framework issued by the COSO. The Company's management is responsible for maintaining effective internal control over financial reporting and for its assessment of the effectiveness of internal control over financial reporting. Our responsibility is to express opinions on management's assessment and on the effectiveness of the Company's internal control over financial reporting based on our audit. We conducted our audit of internal control over financial reporting in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting oversight Board (United States). Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether effective internal control over financial reporting was maintained in all material respects. An audit of internal control over financial reporting includes obtaining an understanding of internal control over financial reporting, evaluating management's assessment, testing and evaluating the design and operating effectiveness of internal control, and performing such other procedures as we consider necessary in the circumstances. We believe that our audit provides a reasonable basis for our opinions.

A company's internal control over financial reporting is a process designed to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles. A company's internal control over financial reporting includes those policies and procedures that (i) pertain to the maintenance of records that, in reasonable detail, accurately and fairly reflect the transactions and dispositions of the assets of the company; (ii) provide
reasonable assurance that transactions are recorded as necessary to permit preparation of financial statements in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles, and that receipts and expenditures of the company are being made only in accordance with authorizations of management and directors of the company; and (iii) provide reasonable assurance regarding prevention or timely detection of unauthorized acquisition, use, or disposition of the company's assets that could have a material effect on the financial statements.

Because of its inherent limitations, internal control over financial reporting may not prevent or detect misstatements. Also, projections of any evaluation of effectiveness to future periods are subject to the risk that controls may become inadequate because of changes in conditions, or that the degree of compliance with the policies or procedures may deteriorate.

As described in Management's Report on Internal Control over Financial Reporting appearing under Item 9A, management has excluded Funding America, LLC ("FA") from its assessment of internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2005 because FA was acquired in a purchase business combination on December 28, 2005. We have also excluded FA from our audit of internal control over financial reporting. FA is a variable interest entity consolidated under Financial Accounting Standards Board Interpretation No. 46, as revised. FA's total assets represent approximately $4 \%$ of the Company's consolidated assets at December 31, 2005.
/s/ PRICEWATERHOUSECOOPERS LLP
Fort Lauderdale, Florida
March 30, 2006

# OCWEN FINANCIAL CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES 

 CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS(Dollars in thousands, except share data)

|  | $\begin{gathered} \text { December 31, } \\ 2005 \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { December } 31, \\ 2004 \end{gathered}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ASSETS |  |  |  |  |
| Cash | \$ | 269, 611 | \$ | 542,891 |
| Trading securities, at fair value |  |  |  |  |
| Investment grade |  | 1,685 |  | 86,215 |
| Subordinates and residuals |  | 30,277 |  | 39,527 |
| Loans held for resale |  | 624,671 |  | 8,437 |
| Match funded assets (including advances of \$377,105 in 2005 and $\$ 276,626$ in 2004) |  | 377,105 |  | 280,760 |
| Advances . . |  | 219, 716 |  | 240,430 |
| Mortgage servicing rights |  | 148, 663 |  | 131,409 |
| Receivables |  | 68,266 |  | 126,719 |
| Premises and equipment, net |  | 40,108 |  | 37,440 |
| Other assets |  | 74,031 |  | 88,704 |
| Total assets | \$ | 854,133 | \$ | 1,582,532 |
| LIABILITIES AND STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITY |  |  |  |  |
| LIABILITIES |  |  |  |  |
| Match funded liabilities | \$ | 339,292 | \$ | 244,327 |
| Servicer liabilities |  | 298,892 |  | 291,265 |
| Lines of credit and other secured borrowings |  | 626,448 |  | 50,612 |
| Debt securities |  | 154,329 |  | 231,249 |
| Other liabilities |  | 85,912 |  | 56,850 |
| Deposits |  | -- |  | 290,507 |
| Escrow deposits |  | -- |  | 86,084 |
| Total liabilities |  | 504,873 |  | 1,250,894 |
| Minority interest in subsidiaries |  | 1,853 |  | 1,530 |
| COMMITMENTS AND CONTINGENCIES (Note 28) |  |  |  |  |
| STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITY |  |  |  |  |
| Common stock, \$.01 par value; 200,000,000 shares authorized; 63,133,471 and 62,739,478 shares issued and outstanding at December 31, 2005 and |  |  |  |  |
| December 31, $2004, ~ r e s p e c t i v e l y ~$ |  | 631 184,262 |  | 627 181,336 |
| Additional paid-in capital |  | 184,262 163,198 |  | 181,336 148,133 |
| Accumulated other comprehensive income (loss), net of taxes |  | (684) |  | 12 |
| Total stockholders' equity |  | 347,407 |  | 330,108 |
| Total liabilities and stockholders' equity | \$ | 854,133 | \$ | 1,582,532 |

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated financial statements

|  | For the Years Ended December 31, |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2005 |  | 2004 |  | 2003 |  |
| REVENUE |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Servicing and subservicing fees | \$ | 293,569 | \$ | \$ 288,101 | \$ | 277,428 |
| Process management fees |  | 71,961 |  | 59, 024 |  | 34,338 |
| Other revenues |  | 9,846 |  | 12,761 |  | 3,367 |
| Total revenue |  | 375,376 |  | 359,886 |  | 315,133 |
| OPERATING EXPENSES |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Compensation and benefits |  | 94,625 |  | 87,283 |  | 72,221 |
| Amortization of servicing rights |  | 96,692 |  | 96,036 |  | 93,558 |
| Occupancy and equipment |  | 17,676 |  | 15,933 |  | 13,159 |
| Technology and communications |  | 30,375 |  | 26,049 |  | 21,121 |
| Professional services |  | 25,975 |  | 28,891 |  | 26,708 |
| Servicing and origination |  | 61,083 |  | 72,914 |  | 61,089 |
| Other operating expenses |  | 27,060 |  | 8,333 |  | 7,704 |
| Total operating expenses |  | 353,486 |  | 335,439 |  | 295,560 |
| OTHER INCOME (expense) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Interest income |  | 25,238 |  | 23,676 |  | 24,122 |
| Interest expense |  | $(37,261)$ |  | $(30,364)$ |  | $(38,716)$ |
| Distributions on Capital Securities |  | ) |  | ) |  | $(3,059)$ |
| Gain (loss) on trading securities |  | 13 |  | (537) |  | 3,344 |
| Gain (loss) on debt repurchases. |  | 4,258 |  |  |  | (445) |
| Other, net . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . |  | 6,742 |  | 8,178 |  | 701 |
| Other income (expense), net |  | $(1,010)$ |  | 953 |  | $(14,053)$ |
| Income (loss) before income taxes |  | 20,880 |  | 25,400 |  | 5,520 |
| Income tax expense (benefit) |  | 5,815 |  | $(32,324)$ |  | 748 |
| Net income | \$ | 15,065 | \$ | \$ 57,724 | \$ | 4,772 |
| EARNINGS PER SHARE |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Basic | \$ | 0.24 | \$ | \$ 0.88 | \$ | 0.07 |
| Diluted | \$ | 0.24 | \$ | \$ 0.82 | \$ | 0.07 |
| WEIGHTED AVERAGE COMmON SHARES OUTSTANDING |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Basic |  | 62,912,768 |  | 65,811,697 |  | ,166,888 |
| Diluted |  | 63,885,439 |  | 73,197,255 |  | ,063,873 |

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated financial statements

|  | For the Years Ended December 31, |
| :--- | :--- |

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated financial statements.


The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated financial statements.

|  | For the Years Ended December 31, |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2005 | 2004 |  | 2003 |  |
| CASH FLOWS FROM OPERATING ACTIVITIES |  |  |  |  |  |
| Net income | 15,065 | \$ | 57,724 | \$ | 4,772 |
| Adjustments to reconcile net income (loss) to net cash provided (used) by operating activities |  |  |  |  |  |
| Net cash provided (used) by trading activities ................ | 99,842 |  | $(75,067)$ |  | 20,807 |
| Purchases of loans held for resale | $(489,481)$ |  | $(17,744)$ |  | -- |
| Originations of loans held for resale | $(408,967)$ |  | -- |  |  |
| Proceeds from sales of loans held for resale | 347,411 |  | 9,307 |  |  |
| Premium amortization (discount accretion) on securities, net | 411 |  | $(1,319)$ |  | 2,402 |
| Amortization of servicing rights | 96,692 |  | 96,036 |  | 93,558 |
| Depreciation and other amortization | 12,812 |  | 13,345 |  | 13,530 |
| Provision for bad debts | 5,308 |  | 2,655 |  | 554 |
| Impairment of working capital investment in consolidated VIE | 7,238 |  | -- |  | -- |
| Provision for loan losses | (37) |  | $(1,881)$ |  | $(2,684)$ |
| Valuation (gains) losses on real estate | (95) |  | 5,110 |  | 7,430 |
| Loss (gain) on trading and match funded securities | (13) |  | 537 |  | $(3,344)$ |
| Loss (gain) on sale of real estate | $(2,552)$ |  | $(1,556)$ |  | (466) |
| Loss (gain) on sale of deposits | $(1,750)$ |  | -- |  | -- |
| Loss (gain) on investments in affordable housing properties | 1,750 |  | (255) |  | 284 |
| Loss (gain) on repurchase of debt securities | $(4,258)$ |  | ) |  | 445 |
| Decrease (increase) in advances and match funded advances | $(79,765)$ |  | $(36,499)$ |  | $(92,532)$ |
| Decrease (increase) in receivables and other assets .. | 39, 071 |  | $(80,220)$ |  | 7,659 |
| Increase (decrease) in servicer liabilities | 7,627 |  | 192,502 |  | 23,995 |
| Increase (decrease) in other liabilities | 23,302 |  | 15,972 |  | 2,620 |
| Other, net | 2,215 |  | 867 |  | $(6,412)$ |
| Net cash provided (used) by operating activities | $(328,174)$ |  | 179,514 |  | 72,618 |
| CASH FLOWS FROM INVESTING ACTIVITIES |  |  |  |  |  |
| Principal payments received on match funded loans | 1,819 |  | 5,757 |  | 13,736 |
| Acquisition of match funded loans | -- |  | $(7,119)$ |  |  |
| Proceeds from sale of match funded loans | -- |  | 21,592 |  | -- |
| Proceeds from sale of affordable housing properties | 6,325 |  | 327 |  | 5,257 |
| Purchase of mortgage servicing rights . | $(113,946)$ |  | $(60,950)$ |  | $(88,442)$ |
| Proceeds from sale of loans ..... | (113,96) |  | (1) |  | 30,153 |
| Principal payments received on loans | 1,923 |  | 38,750 |  | 28,337 |
| Purchases, originations and funded commitments on loans, net | (40) |  | $(16,478)$ |  | $(6,201)$ |
| Capital improvements to real estate | ) |  | $(2,682)$ |  | $(8,837)$ |
| Proceeds from sale of real estate | 7,761 |  | 70,504 |  | 17,573 |
| Additions to premises and equipment | $(11,283)$ |  | $(7,594)$ |  | $(10,353)$ |
| Net cash paid to consolidated VIE | $(6,998)$ |  | -- |  | -- |
| Net cash paid to acquire subsidiaries | -- |  | $(2,482)$ |  | -- |
| Proceeds from sale of subsidiaries | 9,643 |  | -- |  | -- |
| Net cash provided (used) by investing activities | $(104,796)$ |  | 39,625 |  | $(18,777)$ |

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated financial statements.

|  | For the Years Ended December 31, |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2005 |  | 2004 |  | 2003 |  |
| CASH FLOWS FROM FINANCING ACTIVITIES |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Increase (decrease) in deposits and escrow deposits |  | $(210,850)$ |  | $(169,554)$ |  | 42,944 |
| Sale of deposits |  | $(165,741)$ |  | ) |  |  |
| Premium received from sale of deposits |  | 1,500 |  | -- |  |  |
| Proceeds from (repayments of) lines of credit and other secured borrowings, net |  | 507,453 |  | $(79,772)$ |  | 67,638 |
| Proceeds from issuance (repayments) of match funded liabilities, net |  | 96,846 |  | 128,933 |  | $(31,677)$ |
| Issuance (repurchase) of debt securities, net |  | $(70,445)$ |  | 175,000 |  | $(77,420)$ |
| Exercise of common stock options |  | 927 |  | 2,427 |  | 1,334 |
| Repurchase of common stock |  | -- |  | $(49,449)$ |  | $(2,262)$ |
| Net cash provided (used) by financing activities |  | 159,690 |  | 7,585 |  | 557 |
| Net increase (decrease) in cash |  | $(273,280)$ |  | 226,724 |  | 54,398 |
| Cash at beginning of year |  | 542,891 |  | 316,167 |  | 261,769 |
| Cash at end of year | \$ | 269,611 | \$ | 542,891 | \$ | 316,167 |
| SUPPLEMENTAL DISCLOSURE OF CASH FLOW INFORMATION |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Cash paid during the period for |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Interest | \$ | 36,862 | \$ | 28,980 | \$ | 41,362 |
| Income tax refunds (payments) |  | 64,634 |  | $(16,610)$ |  | (869) |
| SUPPLEMENTAL SCHEDULE OF NON-CASH INVESTING AND FINANCING ACTIVITIES |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Assumption of line of credit by purchaser of real estate | \$ | -- | \$ | 20,000 | \$ | -- |
| Exchange of loans for real estate |  | -- |  | 4,771 |  | -- |
| Accounts receivable from sale of affordable housing properties |  | -- |  | 2,535 |  | 2,767 |
| Real estate acquired through foreclosure |  | -- |  | -- |  | 161 |
| Equipment acquired through capital leases |  | 4,104 |  | -- |  | -- |
| SUPPLEMENTAL SCHEDULE OF BUSINESS ACQUISITIONS AND CONSOLIDATION OF VIE |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Fair value of assets acquired | \$ | $(73,539)$ | \$ | $(21,850)$ | \$ | -- |
| Fair value of liabilities assumed |  | 73,539 |  | 11,170 |  | -- |
| Impairment of working capital investment in consolidated VIE |  | $(7,238)$ |  | -- |  | -- |
| Cash paid |  | $(7,238)$ |  | $(10,680)$ |  | -- |
| Less cash acquired |  | 240 |  | 8,198 |  | -- |
| Net cash paid | \$ | $(6,998)$ | \$ | $(2,482)$ |  | -- |

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated financial statements.

NOTE 1 SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES

## ORGANIZATION

Ocwen Financial Corporation ("OCN") is a holding company, which, through its subsidiaries, is engaged in business activities related to residential and commercial mortgage servicing, consumer unsecured debt collections, loan origination services and business process outsourcing. At December 31, 2005, OCN owned all of the outstanding stock of its primary subsidiaries, Ocwen Loan Servicing, LLC ("OLS"), Investors Mortgage Insurance Holding Company ("IMI") and Ocwen Financial Solutions Pvt. Limited ("India"). OCN also owns 70\% of Global Servicing Solutions, LLC ("GSS") with the remaining 30\% minority interest held by ML IBK Positions, Inc. ("Merrill Lynch"). Effective June 30, 2005, Ocwen Federal Bank FSB (the "Bank"), a wholly owned subsidiary, voluntarily terminated its status as a federal savings bank and dissolved, a process we referred to as "debanking".

## PRINCIPLES OF CONSOLIDATION

We evaluate special purpose entities first for classification as a "qualifying special purpose entity" ("QSPE") as specified by Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 140, "Accounting for Transfers and Servicing of Financial Assets and Extinguishments of Liabilities" ("SFAS 140"). Where we determine that a special purpose entity is classified as a QSPE, it is excluded from our consolidated financial statements. Where we determine that a special purpose entity is not classified as a QSPE, it is further evaluated for classification as a variable interest entity ("VIE") as specified by FASB Interpretation No. 46, "Consolidation of Variable Interest Entities", as revised. When a special purpose entity meets the definition of a VIE where OCN is identified as the primary beneficiary of the entity, it is included in our consolidated financial statements.

Our consolidated balance sheet at December 31, 2005 includes the assets and liabilities of Funding America, LLC ("FA"), a VIE under FIN 46 and FIN 46 (R). We have determined that we hold a variable interest in FA and have also determined that we are the primary beneficiary effective as of December 28, 2005. FA is engaged in the origination, acquisition and subsequent securitization or sale of subprime single family residential loans. As a result of consolidation, the net change in our total assets and liabilities was an increase of $\$ 72,301$. Total assets include $\$ 72,856$ of loans held for sale that are pledged to secure collateralized borrowings of $\$ 71,169$. OCN is a party to an agreement whereby it has guaranteed repayment of FA's obligations up to a maximum of $5 \%$. Other than with respect to this guarantee, the creditors of FA have no recourse against the credit of OCN.

All material intercompany accounts and transactions have been eliminated. We report minority interests in our majority-owned subsidiaries as a separate item on our consolidated balance sheets and minority interest in our earnings is included in other income (expense), net, on our consolidated statements of operations.

## BASIS OF PRESENTATION

Prior to debanking, our consolidated financial statements followed the presentation requirements of Securities and Exchange Commission ("SEC") Regulation S-X, Article 9, Bank Holding Companies. As a result of debanking, effective December 31, 2005, we have revised the presentation of our consolidated financial statements to better align our presentation with the growth and significance of loan servicing and loan origination and outsourcing services, our principal businesses. The principal change in our consolidated financial statements for the periods presented as compared to the presentation in prior periods is in the format of our consolidated statement of operations. In adopting the new format for our consolidated statement of operations, we have made a number of reclassifications of expenses. The most significant of these reclassifications has been to report as operating expenses amounts that were netted against the revenues that were previously reported as "Servicing and other fees." These expenses are directly related to the generation of revenues and are reported in our consolidated statement of operations as "Amortization of servicing rights" and as components of "Servicing and origination." Servicing and origination includes expenses of approximately $\$ 34,900, \$ 45,800$ and $\$ 47,500$, for the years ended December 31, 2005, 2004 and 2003, respectively, that had previously been netted against revenues reported in servicing and other fees. Similarly, expenses previously included in "Loan expenses" on the consolidated statement of operations are also principally reported as components of servicing and origination expense.

Revenues that are associated with our Residential Origination Services and Business Processing Outsourcing business segments are reported in a separate revenue category, "Process management fees." These revenues were previously reported as a component of
servicing and other fees. Other categories of income, including interest income and interest expense, which were previously reported as revenues but which were not related to the operations of our principal business segments, are reported in "Other income (expense)."

In addition, we created a new liability caption, "Servicer liabilities", in our consolidated balance sheet. This caption represents amounts that we have collected from borrowers that will be remitted to off-balance sheet custodial accounts, paid directly to investment trusts or refunded to borrowers. Previously, the amounts included in servicer liabilities had been reported either as escrow deposits or as reductions of our cash balances.

Amounts included in our 2004 and 2003 consolidated financial statements have been reclassified to conform to these changes in presentation in our consolidated statement of operations as well as to conform to certain other, less significant, reclassifications that have been made in our consolidated financial statements in 2005. In addition, effective January 1, 2005, we reorganized our business segment reporting. All segment information presented has been restated to conform to the new structure.

## CASH AND CASH EQUIVALENTS

Cash includes both interest-bearing and non-interest-bearing deposits with financial institutions. Other highly liquid investments when purchased with a maturity of three months or less are considered to be cash equivalents.

## TRADING SECURITIES

We currently account for our investment grade, residual and subordinate securities as trading securities at fair value. We report changes in fair value in income in the period of change. We determine fair value based on either third party dealer quotations, where available, or internal values. Our internal valuations are determined using internally developed models. Expected future cash flows are estimated using assumptions such as discount rates, prepayment speeds and expected losses. Discount rates for the subordinate and residual securities range from $11 \%$ to $30 \%$ and are determined based upon an assessment of general market conditions. The prepayment and loss assumptions are projected based on a comparison to actual historical performance curves.

Interest income on subordinate and residual securities is recognized through an "effective yield" method with changes in expected future cash flows reflected in the yield on a prospective basis. The prospective yield is adjusted each time the expected future cash flows change or the actual cash flows differ from projections. The newly calculated yield is used in the accrual of interest income for subsequent reporting periods.

## LOANS HELD FOR RESALE

Loans that we do not intend to hold to maturity are classified as loans held for resale and are reported at the lower of cost or market value. The excess of cost over market value is accounted for as a market valuation reserve with changes in the reserve included in other operating expenses of the period in which the change occurs. Loans for which we have entered into an agreement to sell to an investor at a set price are valued at the commitment price. For uncommitted performing loans, we estimate fair value based on quotes for similar loans. The fair value of uncommitted non-performing loans is based on estimated expected future cash flows discounted using a rate commensurate with the risks involved. Loan origination fees and direct loan origination costs are deferred until the loans are sold. These fees and costs are considered in the carrying value of the loans when determining a market valuation reserve.

## MORTGAGE SERVICING RIGHTS

We record purchased mortgage servicing rights at cost and amortize in proportion to and over the period of estimated net servicing income. We determine estimated net servicing income using the estimated future balance of the underlying mortgage loan portfolio, which, absent new purchases, declines over time from prepayments and scheduled loan amortization. We adjust amortization prospectively in response to changes in estimated projections of future cash flows. We evaluate the mortgage servicing assets for impairment based on the fair value of the servicing assets by strata. We stratify the servicing assets based on loan type. To the extent the carrying value of the servicing assets exceeds their fair value by strata, we establish a valuation allowance, which we may adjust in the future as the value of the servicing assets increases or decreases. We estimate the fair value of our mortgage servicing rights based on the results of our internal valuation and an external valuation obtained from an independent third-party valuation specialist. Our internal valuation calculates the present value of estimated future cash flows utilizing market-based assumptions. The more significant assumptions used in our internal valuation include:

## o Prepayment speeds

Compensating interest expense
Delinquency experience
Discount rate
Interest rate used for computing the cost of servicing advances
Interest rate used for computing float income
Cost of servicing
The significant cash inflows considered in estimating future cash flows include servicing fees, late fees, prepayment penalties, float income and other ancillary fees. Significant cash outflows include the cost of servicing, compensating interest payments and the cost of making service advances. Prepayment speeds and delinquency experiences are derived from historical experience. The discount rate, interest rate for the cost of financing advances, interest rate for float income and the cost of servicing are based on market assumptions provided by our third-party valuation specialist. An impairment analysis is performed after grouping our loans into seven strata based on loan type which represents the predominant risk characteristics of the underlying loans. The risk factors used to assign loans to strata include the credit score (FICO) of the borrower, the loan to value ratio, the type of asset (mortgage or non-mortgage) and the default risk. Our strata include:

Subprime
ALT A
High-loan-to-value
Re-performing
Special servicing
Non-residential mortgage
Other

## MORTGAGE SERVICING FEES AND ADVANCES

We earn fees for servicing mortgage loans. We collect servicing fees, generally expressed as a percent of the unpaid principal balance, from the borrowers' payments. We also include late fees, prepayment penalties, float income and other ancillary fees in servicing income. During any period in which the borrower is not making payments, certain servicing agreements require that we advance our own funds to meet contractual principal and interest remittance requirements for certain investors, pay property taxes and insurance premiums and process foreclosures. We are entitled to recover advances from borrowers for reinstated and performing loans and from investors for foreclosed loans. We record a charge to earnings to the extent that advances are uncollectible under provisions of the servicing contracts, taking into consideration historical loss and delinquency experience, length of delinquency and the amount of the advance.

## excess of cost over net assets AcQuired

We report the excess of purchase price over net assets of acquired businesses ("goodwill") at cost. We review the carrying value of goodwill at least annually for impairment.

In performing our impairment analyses for goodwill and other intangibles, we use an approach based on fair value of the assets and liabilities. We perform this analysis using projections of future income discounted at a market rate. The determination of market discount rates is subjective and may vary by product based on the nature of the underlying business, stage of development and sales to date.

## PREMISES AND EQUIPMENT

We report premises and equipment at cost and, except for land, depreciate them over their estimated useful lives on the straight-line method as follows:

| Buildings | 39 years |
| :--- | ---: |
| Land improvements | 39 years |
| Furniture and fixtures | 5 years |
| Office equipment | 5 years |
| Computer hardware and software | 3 years |
| Leasehold improvements | A maximum of 10 years but not to |
|  | exceed the term of the lease. |

## ASSETS SOLD UNDER AGREEMENTS TO REPURCHASE

We periodically enter into sales of assets, such as securities and loans, under agreements to repurchase the same assets. We report repurchase agreements as collateralized financings and report the obligations to repurchase assets sold as a liability in our consolidated balance sheet. We report all assets underlying repurchase agreements as assets in our consolidated balance sheet. Custodians hold the securities in safekeeping.

## DERIVATIVE FINANCIAL INSTRUMENTS

We record all of our derivative instruments in the balance sheet at fair value. We record changes in the fair value of derivatives each period in income or other comprehensive income, depending on whether a derivative is designated as part of a hedge transaction and, if it is, depending on the type of hedge transaction and the effectiveness of the hedge. For hedge transactions of net investments in foreign operations, we record the effective portions of the changes in fair value as a component of accumulated other comprehensive income in stockholders' equity. For cash-flow hedge transactions in which we hedge the variability of cash flows related to a variable-rate asset, liability or a forecasted transaction, we report the effective portions of the changes in the fair value in other comprehensive income. The gains and losses reported in other comprehensive income are reclassified to income in the periods in which earnings are impacted by the variability of the cash flows of the hedged item.

We account for all other derivative instruments used for risk management purposes that do not meet the hedge accounting criteria at fair value with changes in fair value generally recorded in other income (expense), net. We recognize the ineffective portions of all hedges in our current period earnings and report them as a component of other income (expense), net.

## FOREIGN CURRENCY TRANSLATION

Where the functional currency is not the U.S. dollar, we translate assets and liabilities of foreign entities into U.S. dollars at the current rate of exchange existing at the balance sheet date and revenues and expenses at average monthly rates. We include the resulting translation adjustments as a component of accumulated other comprehensive income in stockholders' equity. Where the functional currency of a foreign entity is the U.S. dollar, re-measurement adjustments are included in the results of operations.

## INCOME TAXES

We file consolidated Federal income tax returns with our subsidiaries. Consolidated income tax is allocated among the subsidiaries participating in the consolidated return as if each subsidiary that has one or more subsidiaries filed its own consolidated return and those with no subsidiaries filed separate returns.

We account for income taxes using the asset and liability method which requires the recognition of deferred tax liabilities and assets for the expected future tax consequences of temporary differences between the carrying amounts and the tax bases of assets and liabilities. Additionally, we adjust deferred taxes to reflect estimated tax rate changes. We conduct periodic evaluations to determine whether it is more likely than not that some or all of our deferred tax asset will not be realized. Among the factors considered in this evaluation are estimates of future earnings, the future reversal of temporary differences and the impact of tax planning strategies that we can implement if warranted. We provide a valuation allowance for any portion of our deferred tax asset that, more likely than not, will not be realized.

## BASIC AND DILUTED EARNINGS PER SHARE

We calculate basic earnings per share based upon the weighted average number of shares of common stock outstanding during the year. We calculate diluted earnings per share based upon the weighted average number of shares of common stock outstanding and all dilutive potential common shares outstanding during the year. The computation of diluted earnings per share includes the impact of the exercise of the outstanding options to purchase common stock using the treasury stock method which assumes that the proceeds from such issuance are used to repurchase common shares at fair value. If we incur a net loss for the period, we exclude common stock equivalents from the diluted calculation since the common stock equivalents would be antidilutive. Beginning in 2004, the computation of diluted earnings per share also includes the potential shares of converted common stock associated with the $3.25 \%$ Convertible Notes that we issued in July 2004 using the if-converted method. Under the if-converted method, the convertible notes are assumed to have been converted, regardless of whether any of the contingent features have been met, and the resulting shares are included in the denominator (if dilutive). Interest expense applicable to the Convertible Notes, net of tax, is added back to the numerator (i.e. net income), for purposes of computing diluted earnings per share.

## USE OF ESTIMATES

The preparation of financial statements in conformity with generally accepted accounting principles requires that we make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities and disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities at the date of the financial statements and the reported amounts of revenues and expenses during the reporting period. Actual results could differ from those estimates. Material estimates that are particularly significant in the near or medium term relate to our determination of the valuation of securities, loans held for resale, advances, servicing rights, intangibles and the deferred tax asset.

## STOCK-BASED COMPENSATION

We currently account for stock-based compensation under the intrinsic value method set forth in Accounting Principles Board ("APB") Opinion No. 25, "Accounting for Stock Issued to Employees" and related interpretations. As discussed in the "Current Accounting Pronouncements" section below, the FASB issued a revision of SFAS No. 123, "Accounting for Stock-Based Compensation", in December 2004, which supersedes APB Opinion No. 25 and is effective January 1, 2006.

We maintain stock-based compensation plans that provide for the granting of stock and stock options to our employees and directors. As indicated above, we account for our stock option plans based on the intrinsic value method set forth in APB Opinion No. 25 and related Interpretations, under which no compensation cost has been recognized, except with respect to stock options that are granted with an exercise price that is less than the fair value of our stock at the date of the grant. We generally grant stock options to employees at an exercise price that is less than the price of our stock at the date of the grant. The following table presents net income, basic and diluted earnings per share as reported and pro forma net income and pro forma earnings per share. We have determined pro forma amounts by assuming that compensation costs for our stock option plans had been determined based on the fair value at the grant dates for awards under those plans granted after December 31, 1994, consistent with the method described by SFAS No. 123.
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|  | Years Ended December 31, |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2005 |  | 2004 |  | 2003 |  |
| NET INCOME |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Net income (loss) as reported | \$ | 15,065 | \$ | 57,724 | \$ | 4,772 |
| Add stock-based compensation expense included in reported net income, net of tax |  | 562 |  | 823 |  | 797 |
| Deduct total stock-based employee compensation expense determined under fair value based method for all awards, net of tax |  | $(1,193)$ |  | $(2,668)$ |  | $(1,559)$ |
| Pro forma net income (loss) | \$ | 14,434 | \$ | 55,879 | \$ | 4,010 |
| BASIC EPS |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| As reported | \$ | 0.24 | \$ | 0.88 | \$ | 0.07 |
| Pro forma | \$ | 0.23 | \$ | 0.85 | \$ | 0.06 |
| DILUTED EPS |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| As reported | \$ | 0.24 | \$ | 0.82 | \$ | 0.07 |
| Pro forma ................................................................... | \$ | 0.23 | \$ | 0.80 | \$ | 0.06 |

We estimate the fair value of our option grants using the Black-Scholes option-pricing model with the following assumptions:

|  | 2005 | 2004 | 2003 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Expected dividend yield | 0.00\% | 0.00\% | 0.00\% |
| Expected stock price volatility | 36.00 | 43.00 | 48.00 |
| Risk-free interest rate | 4.35\% | 3.61\% | 3.25\% |
| Expected life of options | 5 years | 5 years | 5 years |

## CURRENT ACCOUNTING PRONOUNCEMENTS

SFAS No. 123(R), "Share-Based Payment" and Staff Accounting Bulletin No. 107 (SAB 107),"Share-Based Payment". SFAS 123(R) was issued by the Financial Accounting Standards Board ("FASB") in December 2004 and is a revision of SFAS 123. In addition, this Statement also supersedes APB Opinion No. 25 and amends FASB Statement No. 95, "Statement of Cash Flows". SFAS 123R is effective beginning on January 1, 2006.

As permitted by SFAS No. 123, we currently follow the guidance of APB Opinion No. 25, which provides for accounting for share-based compensation to employees and directors using the intrinsic value method and recognizing compensation costs for such stock options to the extent that the exercise price is less than the price of the stock at the grant date. SFAS No. 123 ( $R$ ) requires a public entity to measure the cost of employee services received in exchange for an award of equity instruments based on the grant-date fair value of the award (with limited exceptions) measured using either the Black-Scholes or lattice (binomial) option pricing model. That cost will be recognized over the period during which an employee is required to provide service in exchange for the award - the requisite service period (usually the vesting period).

We have elected to apply the modified prospective transition method upon adoption of SFAS $123(\mathrm{R})$. As a result, we will be required to recognize additional compensation expense for the years 2006 through 2009 related to the unvested portion of stock options granted for the years 2002 through 2005 net of estimated future forfeitures. We have also determined that awards we have granted to date will be classified as equity awards. Therefore, the fair value of these awards will not be re-measured after our initial estimation on the grant date. Our adoption of SFAS No. $123(R)$ did not have a significant impact on our consolidated financial statements.

Earnings Per Share - An Amendment of SFAS No. 128. The FASB has decided to defer the issuance of a final standard on EPS until the first quarter of 2006. When issued, the provisions of the final standard are expected to be effective for interim and annual periods ending after June 15, 2006 and require retrospective application for all prior periods presented. When computing diluted EPS for year-to-date periods, it is expected that companies will be required to use the year-to-date average stock price to compute the number of treasury shares that could theoretically be purchased with the proceeds from exercise of share contracts such as options or warrants. The year-to-date computation would be performed independently from the quarterly computations. The old method required companies to calculate an
average of the potential incremental common shares computed for each quarter when computing year-to-date incremental shares. This amendment is expected to impact OCN as we use the treasury stock method to determine the number of incremental shares from the assumed exercise of stock options to be included in the denominator of diluted EPS computations. Under the treasury stock method, the proceeds from the assumed exercise of options are assumed to be used to purchase common stock at the average market price during the period. The incremental shares (the difference between the number of shares assumed issued and the number of shares assumed purchased) are included in the denominator of the diluted EPS computation.

Statement of Position 03-3, "Accounting for Certain Loans for Debt Securities Acquired in a Transfer" ("SOP 03-3"). This statement was issued by the Accounting Standards Executive Committee of the American Institute of Certified Public Accountants in December 2003 and requires that acquired impaired loans for which it is probable that the investor will be unable to collect all contractually required payments receivable to be recorded at the present value of expected cash flows. Under SOP 03-3, it is not appropriate to create or carry over a valuation allowance at the time of acquisition. SOP 03-3 was issued in December 2003 and is effective for loans acquired on or after January 1, 2005. SOP 03-3 does not apply to mortgage loans classified as held for sale. The application of SOP 03-3 did not have a significant impact on our consolidated financial statements.

SFAS No. 155, "Accounting for Certain Hybrid Financial Instruments." In February 2006, FASB issued SFAS No. 155 as an amendment to SFAS No. 133 and SFAS No. 140. SFAS No. 155 allows financial instruments that have embedded derivatives to be accounted for as a whole (eliminating the need to bifurcate the derivative from its host) if the holder elects to account for the whole instrument on a fair value basis. The standard also: a) Clarifies which interest-only strips and principal-only strips are not subject to the requirements of Statement 133; b) Establishes a requirement to evaluate interests in securitized financial assets to identify interests that are freestanding derivatives or that are hybrid financial instruments that contain an embedded derivative requiring bifurcation; c) Clarifies that concentrations of credit risk in the form of subordination are not embedded derivatives; and d) Amends Statement 140 to eliminate the prohibition on a qualifying special-purpose entity from holding a derivative financial instrument that pertains to a beneficial interest other than another derivative financial instrument.

SFAS No. 155 is effective for all financial instruments acquired or issued after the beginning of an entity's first fiscal year that begins after September 15, 2006. Earlier adoption is permitted as of the beginning of an entity's fiscal year, provided the entity has not yet issued financial statements, including financial statements for any interim period for that fiscal year. We have not yet determined the financial impact of the adoption of SFAS No. 155 or whether we will adopt SFAS No. 155 in 2006.

SFAS No. 156, "Accounting for Servicing of Financial Assets-an amendment of FASB Statement No. 140". FASB issued SFAS No. 156 in March 2006 as an amendment to SFAS No. 140, "Accounting for Transfers and Servicing of Financial Assets and Extinguishments of Liabilities", with respect to the accounting for separately recognized servicing assets and liabilities. Recognition of a servicing asset or liability would be required each time an entity commits to service a financial asset through a servicing contract that: a) represents a transfer of the servicer's financial assets that meets the requirements for sale accounting, b) represents a transfer of the servicer's financial assets to a qualifying special-purpose entity in a guaranteed mortgage securitization in which the transferor retains all of the resulting securities and classifies them as either available-for-sale securities or trading securities in accordance with SFAS 115. "Accounting for Certain Investments in Debt and Equity Securities", or c) represents an acquisition or assumption of an obligation to service a financial asset that does not relate to financial assets of the servicer or its consolidated affiliates.

SFAS 156 also requires all separately recognized servicing assets and liabilities to be initially measured at fair value, if practicable, and allows an entity to chose from two subsequent measurement methods for each class of separately recognized servicing assets and liabilities. The two methods are: a) the amortization method which amortizes servicing assets or liabilities in proportion to and over the period of estimated net servicing income or net servicing loss and assesses servicing assets or liabilities for impairment or increased obligation based on fair value at each reporting date, and b) the fair value measurement method which measures servicing assets or liabilities at fair value each reporting date and reports changes in fair value in earnings in the period in which the changes occur.

A prospective application of SFAS 156 is required as of the beginning of an entity's first fiscal year that begins after September 15, 2006. Earlier adoption is allowed as of the beginning of an entity's fiscal year, provided the entity has not yet issued financial statements, including interim financial statements, for any period of that fiscal year. We have not yet determined which subsequent measurement method we will adopt or whether that adoption will occur effective January 1, 2006 or January 1, 2007. As of December 31, 2005, the fair value of our mortgage servicing rights was $\$ 183,755$ as compared to a carrying value of $\$ 148,663$.
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NOTE 2 FAIR VALUE OF FINANCIAL INSTRUMENTS
The fair values reflect the interest rate environments as of December 31, 2005 and 2004. In different interest rate environments, fair value results can differ, especially for certain fixed-rate financial instruments and non-accrual assets. The methodologies used and key assumptions made to estimate fair value, the estimated fair values determined and recorded carrying values follow:

## TRADING SECURITIES

We adjust our securities portfolio to fair value based on third party dealer quotations, where available, and internal values, subject to an internal review process. For those securities that do not have an available market quotation we request market values and underlying assumptions from various securities dealers.

LOANS HELD FOR RESALE
We estimate the fair value of our performing loans based upon quoted market prices for similar whole loan pools. We base the fair value of our non-performing loans on estimated cash flows discounted using a rate commensurate with the risk associated with the estimated cash flows.

## ADVANCES

We value advances we make on loans we service for others at their carrying amounts because they have no stated maturity, generally are realized within a relatively short period of time and do not bear interest.

## RECEIVABLES

The carrying value of receivables approximates fair value because of the relatively short period of time between their origination and realization. Certain long-term receivables are carried at a discounted value that we believe approximates fair value.

## BORROWINGS

We base the fair value of our debt securities on quoted market prices. The fair value of our other borrowings, including match funded liabilities and obligations outstanding under lines of credit, approximates carrying value because these borrowings are either short-term or bear interest at a rate that is adjusted regularly based on a market index.

## DERIVATIVE FINANCIAL INSTRUMENTS

We base the fair values of our derivative financial instruments on quoted market prices.
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The carrying amounts and the estimated fair values of our financial instruments are as follows:


## NOTE 3 TRADING SECURITIES

Trading securities are as follows at December 31:

|  | 2005 |  | 2004 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Investment grade: |  |  |  |  |
| U.S. Treasury note | \$ | -- | \$ | 1,594 |
| Collateralized mortgage obligations (AAA-rated) |  | -- |  | 81, 466 |
| Bonds and debentures |  | 1,685 |  | 3,155 |
|  | \$ | 1,685 | \$ | 86,215 |

Subordinates and residuals:
Single family residential
BB-rated subordinates

| \$ | 223 | \$ | 256 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 336 |  | 435 |
|  | 165 |  | 217 |
|  | 27,024 |  | 35,276 |
|  | 27,748 |  | 36,184 |
|  | 2,529 |  | 3,343 |
| \$ | 30,277 | \$ | 39,527 |

A profile of the maturities of our trading securities at December 31, 2005 follows. Mortgage-backed securities are included based on their weighted-average maturities reflecting anticipated future prepayments.

|  | Investment Grade Securities |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Weighted Average Yield | Fair Value |  |
| Due within one year | 1.95\% | \$ | 653 |
| Due after 1 through 5 years | 5.63 |  | 1,032 |
| Due after 5 through 10 years | -- |  | -- |
| Due after 10 years | -- |  | -- |
|  |  | \$ | 1,685 |
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Realized and unrealized gains on trading and match funded securities for the years ended December 31 were comprised of the following:

|  | 2005 |  | 2004 |  | 2003 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Unrealized gains (losses): |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Trading securities | \$ | $(5,460)$ | \$ | $(1,067)$ | \$ | 3,226 |
| Match funded securities |  | -- |  | -- |  | (248) |
|  |  | $(5,460)$ |  | $(1,067)$ |  | 2,978 |
| Realized gains: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Trading securities |  | 5,473 |  | 530 |  | 366 |
|  | \$ | 13 | \$ | (537) | \$ | 3,344 |

Our subordinate and residual securities at December 31, 2005, and 2004 include retained interests with a fair value of $\$ 1,429$ and $\$ 907$, respectively, from securitizations of loans.

NOTE 4 LOANS HELD FOR RESALE
Loans held for resale primarily represent subprime single family residential loans originated or acquired through our Residential Origination Services segment that we intend to sell or securitize. The carrying value of these loans amounted to $\$ 624,671$ and $\$ 8,437$ at December 31, 2005 and 2004, respectively. At December 31, 2005, we had entered into commitments to sell $\$ 18,587$ of these loans. The balance at December 31, 2005 is net of a market valuation reserve of $\$ 7,659$. Loans with a carrying value of $\$ 469,937$ at December 31, 2005 were acquired in the fourth quarter of 2005 and securitized in February 2006. Loans held for resale at December 31, 2005 include non-performing loans with a carrying value of $\$ 10,857$.

NOTE 5 MATCH FUNDED ASSETS
Our match funded assets are comprised of the following at December 31:

|  | 2005 | 2004 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Advances on residential loans serviced for others: |  |  |
| Principal and interest | \$ 174, 252 | \$ 107,102 |
| Taxes and insurance | 129,700 | 107,710 |
| Other | 73,153 | 61,814 |
|  | 377,105 | 276,626 |
| Commercial loans | -- | 4,134 |
|  | \$ 377,105 | \$ 280,760 |

Match funded advances are owned by special purpose entities and are, therefore, not available to satisfy claims of general creditors. We retain control of the advances and therefore the transfers do not qualify as a sale for accounting purposes. As a result, the proceeds we received from the transfer are reported as a secured borrowing with pledge of collateral (match funded liabilities) in our consolidated balance sheet.

## NOTE 6 ADVANCES

During any period in which the borrower is not making payments, we are required under certain servicing agreements to advance our own funds to meet contractual principal and interest remittance requirements for investors, pay property taxes and insurance premiums and process foreclosures. Advances on loans serviced for others and loans we own consisted of the following at December 31:

|  | 2005 | 2004 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Residential loans serviced for others: |  |  |
| Principal and interest | \$ 40,506 | \$ 51,782 |
| Taxes and insurance | 98,622 | 94,926 |
| Other | 77,228 | 93,375 |
|  | 216,356 | 240,083 |
| Loans and loans held for resale | 3,360 | 347 |
|  | \$ 219,716 | \$ 240,430 |
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Advances on loans serviced for others are net of reserves of \$570 and $\$ 5,212$ at December 31, 2005 and 2004, respectively. The $\$ 5,212$ of reserves at December 31, 2004 included $\$ 4,115$ related to the remaining balance of forbearance plan fees and multiple breach fees that we were not collecting directly from borrowers at that time.

## NOTE 7 MORTGAGE SERVICING RIGHTS

We service residential mortgage loans that we do not own under contractual servicing agreements. We purchase servicing rights from the owners of the mortgages or enter into subservicing agreements with entities that own the servicing rights. The total unpaid principal balance of such loans we serviced for others was $\$ 42,779,048$ and $\$ 34,524,491$ at December 31, 2005 and 2004, respectively, and is excluded from our balance sheet. We similarly exclude from our balance sheet funds representing collections of principal and interest we have received from borrowers that are on deposit with an unaffiliated bank. Those funds amounted to $\$ 716,904$ and $\$ 867,884$ at December 31, 2005 and 2004, respectively. The rights and obligations of servicing rights are typically specified in an agreement between the various parties to a mortgage securitization transaction. In general, these servicing agreements include guidelines and procedures for servicing the loans, including servicing, remittance and reporting requirements, among other provisions.

The following table summarizes the activity in our mortgage servicing rights for the years ended December 31:

|  | 2005 |  | 2004 |  | 2003 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Balance at beginning of year | \$ | 131,409 | \$ | 166,495 | \$ | 171,611 |
| Purchases |  | 113,946 |  | 60,950 |  | 88,829 |
| Amortization |  | $(96,692)$ |  | $(96,036)$ |  | $(93,558)$ |
| Impairment |  | -- |  | -- |  | (387) |
| Balance at end of year | \$ | 148,663 | \$ | 131,409 | \$ | 166,495 |

At December 31, 2005 and 2004, we estimated the fair value of our servicing rights to be $\$ 183,755$ and $\$ 152,148$, respectively, by discounting future underlying loan cash flows. As more fully described in Note 1, the more significant assumptions used in the December 31, 2005 valuation include a discount rate of $18 \%$, prepayment speeds ranging from $28 \%$ to $51 \%$ (depending on loan type), delinquency rates ranging from $0 \%$ to $24 \%$ (depending on loan type), an interest rate of one-month LIBOR plus 200 basis points for computing the cost of servicing advances and an interest rate equal to the Federal Funds Rate for computing float income.

At December 31, 2005, the geographic distribution based on the unpaid principal balance of the loans we serviced was as follows:

|  | Amount |  | No. of loans |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| California | \$ | 9, 212,741 | 43,939 |
| Florida |  | 3,837,526 | 33,175 |
| New York |  | 3,512,391 | 20,163 |
| Texas |  | 2,097,182 | 28,279 |
| Illinois |  | 1,971,775 | 16,794 |
| Other (1) |  | 22,147,433 | 226,452 |
|  | \$ | 42,779, 048 | 368, 802 |

(1) Consisted of loans in 45 other states, the District of Columbia, three U.S. territories and one foreign country, none of which aggregated over $\$ 1,459,184$ in any one.
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NOTE 8 RECEIVABLES
Receivables consisted of the following at the dates indicated:

|  | December 31, |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2005 |  | 2004 |  |
| Residential Servicing (1) | \$ | 23,323 | \$ | 24,012 |
| Commercial Servicing |  | 2,508 |  | 2,736 |
| Ocwen Recovery Group |  | 864 |  | 341 |
| Residential Origination Services (2) |  | 18,497 |  | 3,455 |
| Business Process Outsourcing |  | 1,183 |  | 1,532 |
| Corporate Items and Other (3)(4) |  | 21,891 |  | 94,643 |
|  | \$ | 68,266 | \$ | 126,719 |

(1) The balances at December 31, 2005 and 2004 include $\$ 15,674$ and $\$ 12,801$, respectively, of fees earned from the servicing of loans and real estate. The remaining balance consists largely of reimbursable expenses due from loan servicing investors. The total balance of receivables for this segment is net of reserves of \$6,509 and \$3,395 at December 31, 2005 and 2004, respectively.
(2) The balance at December 31, 2005, which is net of reserves of $\$ 2,379$, consists principally of $\$ 4,020$ of trade receivables related to our operations of REALTrans and the activities of Ocwen Realty Advisors and $\$ 10,751$ of uncollected principal and interest related to loans held for resale. The balance at December 31, 2004 consists principally of trade receivables of REALTrans and Ocwen Realty Advisors.
(3) The balances at December 31, 2005 and 2004 include receivables totaling $\$ 13,160$ and $\$ 18,308$, respectively, that primarily represent payments to be received in future years (through June 2014) of proceeds from the sales of investments in affordable housing properties. These balances are net of unaccreted discounts of $\$ 1,530$ and $\$ 2,346$ and reserves for doubtful accounts of $\$ 6,150$ and $\$ 5,596$ at December 31,2005 and 2004, respectively.
(4) The balance at December 31, 2004 also included $\$ 68,463$ of income taxes receivable. Income taxes receivable at December 31, 2004 included \$63,398 of federal tax refund claims, including $\$ 6,872$ of accrued interest on the claims. In September 2005, we collected the federal income tax claims which totaled $\$ 65,317$ including $\$ 8,772$ of interest.

## NOTE 9 PREMISES AND EQUIPMENT

Our premises and equipment are summarized as follows at the dates indicated:

| December 31, |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2005 |  | 2004 |  |
| \$ | 77,675 | \$ | 67,483 |
|  | 19,641 |  | 19,641 |
|  | 6,586 |  | 10,508 |
|  | 4,049 |  | 4,049 |
|  | 9,300 |  | 8,677 |
|  | 5,150 |  | 3,887 |
|  | $(82,293)$ |  | $(76,805)$ |
| \$ | 40,108 | \$ | 37,440 |

Depreciation and other amortization expense amounted to $\$ 12,770$, $\$ 12,312$ and \$12,406 for 2005, 2004 and 2003, respectively (of which $\$ 3,660, \$ 2,925$ and $\$ 2,455$ related to computer software). Building represents our customer service and collection facility in Orlando, Florida, which we have used as collateral for a mortgage note.
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NOTE 10 OTHER ASSETS
Other assets consisted of the following at the dates indicated:

|  | December 31, |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2005 |  | 2004 |  |
| Deferred tax assets (net of valuation |  |  |  |  |
| allowances of \$163,802 and \$165,927) | \$ | 20,270 | \$ | 17,683 |
| Interest earning collateral deposits (1) |  | 15,164 |  | 8,905 |
| Interest earning debt service accounts (2) |  | 14,798 |  | 5,850 |
| Deferred debt related costs, net |  | 7,505 |  | 11,216 |
| Goodwill and intangibles, net (3) |  | 7,053 |  | 7,756 |
| Real estate |  | 4,062 |  | 18,732 |
| Prepaid expenses |  | 2,922 |  | 4,069 |
| Loans (net of allowance of \$40 and \$4,546) |  | 413 |  | 3,792 |
| Affordable housing properties |  | -- |  | 5,641 |
| Other |  | 1,844 |  | 5,060 |
|  | \$ | 74,031 | \$ | 88,704 |

(1) The balances at December 31, 2005 and 2004 include $\$ 8,912$ and $\$ 8,905$, respectively, of deposits that were required in order to obtain surety bonds for affordable housing properties that we sold before the end of the fifteen-year tax credit amortization period and on which we have previously claimed tax credits on our income tax returns. The surety bond is required in order to avoid the recapture of those tax credits previously claimed. The balance at December 31, 2005 also includes a $\$ 5,000$ cash collateral account required under an agreement we entered into in connection with debanking.
(2) The balances at December 31, 2005 and 2004 include amounts set aside from the proceeds of one of our match funded advance facilities to provide for possible shortfalls in the funds available to pay certain expenses and interest. The balance at December 31, 2005 also includes collections on match funded advances related to our other advance facility that were forwarded to the trustee prior to the scheduled payment application date.
(3) The balance at December 31, 2005 and 2004 included $\$ 5,435$ of goodwill and intangibles related to the acquisition of our German subsidiary, Bankhaus Oswald Kruber GmbH \& Co. KG ("BOK") on September 30, 2004.

## NOTE 11 MATCH FUNDED LIABILITIES

Match funded liabilities are obligations secured by the collateral underlying the related match funded assets, and are repaid through the cash proceeds arising from those assets. Our match funded liabilities are accounted for as secured borrowings with pledges of collateral and are comprised of the following at December 31:

| Collateral | Interest rate | Unused Borrowing Capacity |  | 2005 |  | 2004 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Advances on loans serviced for others (1) | See (1) | \$ | 36,057 | \$ | 238,943 | \$ | 149,341 |
| Advances on loans serviced for others (2) | LIBOR plus 175 basis points |  | 24,651 |  | 100,349 |  | 90,852 |
| Commercial loans | - - |  | -- |  | -- |  | 4,134 |
|  |  | \$ | 60,708 | \$ | 339,292 | \$ | 244,327 |

(1) In November 2004, we executed a servicing advance securitization. This transaction involved the issuance of a term note for \$100,000 and a one-year variable funding note for a maximum of \$75,000. On March 31, 2005, we executed an indenture supplement to the November 2004 securitization with a closing date of April 6, 2005. This supplement included the issuance of a second term note for $\$ 75,000$. In addition, the maximum amount of the variable funding note was increased to $\$ 100,000$. The original term note bears interest at LIBOR plus 50 basis points, and the second term note bears interest at LIBOR plus 40 basis points. The variable funding note bears interest at a commercial paper rate plus a margin that approximates LIBOR plus 50 basis points. The original term note under this facility has a stated maturity of October 2013, and the second term note has a stated maturity of March 2014. The variable funding note has a stated maturity of November 2011.
(2) Under the terms of the agreement, we are eligible to finance additional advances on loans serviced for others up to a maximum balance of $\$ 125,000$. This facility will mature in January 2007.

At December 31, 2005 and 2004, match funded liabilities had a weighted average interest rate of $4.96 \%$ and $3.32 \%$, respectively.
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Match funded liabilities contain various qualitative and quantitative covenants that, among other things, establish requirements for the monitoring and reporting of specified financial transactions and reporting on defined events affecting the collateral underlying the agreements. We are currently in compliance with these covenants.

## NOTE 12 SERVICER LIABILITIES

Servicer liabilities represent amounts we have collected, primarily from residential servicing borrowers, that will either be deposited in collection accounts held at an unaffiliated bank and excluded from our balance sheet, paid directly to an investment trust, or refunded to borrowers. The following table sets forth the principal components of servicer liabilities at December 31:

|  |  | 2005 |  | 2004 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Borrower payments due to custodial accounts | \$ | 225,862 | \$ | 255,040 |
| Escrow payments due to custodial accounts |  | 22,573 |  | 3,786 |
| Partial payments and other unapplied balances |  | 50,457 |  | 32,439 |
|  | \$ | 298,892 | \$ | 291,265 |

NOTE 13 LINES OF CREDIT AND OTHER SECURED BORROWINGS
Secured lines of credit from various unaffiliated financial institutions are as follows at December 31:

| Borrowings | Interest Rate (1) | Maturity | Unused Borrowing Capacity |  | Balance Outstanding |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Senior secured credit agreement (2) | 1-Month LIBOR +162.5 or 225 basis points | June 2006 | \$ | 58,782 | \$ | 81,218 | \$ | 24,218 |
| Senior secured credit agreement (3) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 1-Month LIBOR + } 250 \\ & \text { basis points } \end{aligned}$ | (3) |  | - - |  | -- |  | 11,458 |
| Mortgage note (4) | 5.62\% | October 2014 |  | -- |  | 14,661 |  | 14,936 |
| Loans sold under agreement to repurchase (5) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 1-Month LIBOR + } 75 \\ & \text { basis points } \end{aligned}$ | March 2006 |  | -- |  | 459,400 |  | -- |
| Master loan and security agreement (6) | 1-Month LIBOR + 55 or 355 basis points | October 2006 |  | 100,000 |  | - - |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | 158,782 |  | 555,279 |  | 50,612 |
| Master loan and security agreement - consolidated | 1-Month LIBOR + 55 or 355 basis points |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| VIE (7) |  | October 2006 |  | 178,831 |  | 71,169 |  | -- |
|  |  |  | \$ | 337,613 | \$ | 626,448 | \$ | 50,612 |

(1) 1-Month LIBOR was $4.39 \%$ and $2.40 \%$ at December 31, 2005 and 2004, respectively.
(2) Secured by mortgage servicing rights and advances on loans serviced by others. Borrowing secured by mortgage servicing rights is at LIBOR plus 225 basis points. Borrowing secured by other acceptable collateral is at LIBOR plus 162.5 basis points. The interest rate may be reduced to $1.625 \%$ or $2.25 \%$ to the extent that we have available balances on deposit with the lender.
(3) We repaid in full the balance outstanding under this credit agreement during the second quarter of 2005 and terminated the agreement.
(4) Collateral represents our loan servicing call center located in Orlando, Florida.
(5) Represents a repurchase agreement entered into to finance the purchase of mortgage loans held for resale. The loans were securitized in February 2006 and the outstanding balance was repaid. This agreement matured on March 17, 2006.
(6) We entered into this agreement on October 11, 2005. Any borrowings under this agreement will be secured by mortgage loans. We can borrow up to 90\% of the principal balance of the mortgage loans or $88.2 \%$ of the market value of the loans, whichever is lower, at LIBOR plus 55 basis points. Borrowing above this level is at LIBOR plus 355 basis points.
(7) A consolidated VIE entered into this agreement on October 11, 2005. Borrowings under this agreement are secured by mortgage loans. The consolidated VIE can borrow up to $90 \%$ of the principal balance of the mortgage loans or $88.2 \%$ of the market value of the loans, whichever is lower, at LIBOR plus 55 basis points. Borrowing above this level is at LIBOR plus 355 basis points.
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Each of our lines of credit contains qualitative and quantitative covenants that establish, among other things, the maintenance of specified net worth and restrictions on future indebtedness, as well as the monitoring and reporting of various specified transactions or events. We are currently in compliance with these covenants.

The maximum month end amount outstanding under lines of credit and other secured borrowings was $\$ 626,448$ and $\$ 113,790$ for the years ended December 31, 2005 and 2004, respectively. The average balance of obligations outstanding under lines of credit and other secured borrowings was \$116,999 and \$66,271 during the years ended December 31, 2005 and 2004, respectively, and the weighted average interest rates were $4.16 \%$ and $4.10 \%$, respectively. The weighted average interest rates on our obligations outstanding under lines of credit and other secured borrowings were $5.29 \%$ and $4.84 \%$ at December 31, 2005 and 2004, respectively.

NOTE 14 DEBT SECURITIES
Our debt securities consist of the following at December 31:


Each of our debt securities contain qualitative and quantitative covenants that establish, among other things, the maintenance of specified net worth and restrictions on future indebtedness, as well as the monitoring and reporting of various specified transactions or events. We are currently in compliance with these covenants.

Convertible Notes. In July 2004, OCN issued $\$ 175,000$ aggregate principal amount of $3.25 \%$ Contingent Convertible Senior Unsecured Notes due 2024 ("Convertible Notes"). The Convertible Notes are senior unsecured obligations and bear interest at the rate of $3.25 \%$ per year. Interest is payable on February 1 and August 1 of each year beginning on February 1, 2005. The Convertible Notes will mature on August 1, 2024. During 2005, we repurchased $\$ 74,100$ of our Convertible Notes in the open market resulting in a gain of $\$ 4,497$, net of the write-off of unamortized issuance costs.

In connection with our issuance of the Convertible Notes, we incurred certain costs that we capitalized and are amortizing over the period from the date of issuance to August 1, 2009, the first date at which holders may require us to repurchase their notes. The unamortized balance of these issuance costs amounted to $\$ 2,814$ and $\$ 6,107$ at December 31, 2005 and 2004, respectively, and is included in other assets. We filed with the SEC a registration statement, which was declared effective March 15, 2005, covering resales by holders of the Convertible Notes and the common stock issuable upon conversion.

Holders may convert all or a portion of their notes into shares of our common stock under the following circumstances: (1) at any time during any calendar quarter commencing after December 31, 2004, if the closing sale price of our common stock for at least 20 trading days in a period of 30 consecutive trading days ending on the last trading day of the calendar quarter prior to such quarter is greater than $125 \%$ of the conversion price per share of common stock on such last day; (2) subject to certain exceptions, during the five business day period after any five-consecutive-trading-day period in which the trading price per $\$ 1$ principal amount of the notes for each day of the five-consecutive-trading-day period was less than $98 \%$ of the product of the closing sale price of our common stock and the number of shares issuable upon conversion of $\$ 1$ principal amount of the notes; (3) if the notes have been called for redemption; or (4) upon the occurrence of specified corporate transactions.

The conversion rate is 82.1693 shares of our common stock per $\$ 1$ principal amount of the notes, subject to adjustment. Events that may cause the conversion rate to be adjusted, as more fully described in the related indenture agreement, primarily relate to cash dividends or other distributions to holders of our common stock. Upon conversion, we may at our option choose to deliver, in lieu of our common stock, cash or a combination of cash and common stock as described herein.

Beginning August 1, 2009, we may redeem all or a portion of the notes for cash for a price equal to $100 \%$ of the principal amount of the notes to be redeemed plus accrued and unpaid interest, if any.

Holders may require us to repurchase all or a portion of their notes for cash on August 1, 2009, August 1, 2014, and August 1, 2019 or upon the occurrence of a "fundamental change" at a repurchase price equal to $100 \%$ of the principal amount of the notes to be
repurchased plus accrued and unpaid interest, if any. A "fundamental change" is a change of control or a termination of trading in our common stock.

In privately negotiated transactions concurrent with the private placement of the Convertible Notes, we used $25 \%$ of the gross proceeds from the sale of the Convertible Notes to repurchase 4,850,000 shares of our common stock at a price of $\$ 9.02$ per share. We used the remaining proceeds, net of underwriting discount and other expenses, primarily to repay maturing deposits and other liabilities, to increase our cash and to invest in short-term investment grade securities.

Capital Securities. In August 1997, Ocwen Capital Trust ("OСТ") issued $\$ 125,000$ of $10.875 \%$ Capital Securities (the "Capital Securities"). OCT invested the proceeds from issuance of the Capital Securities in 10.875\% Junior Subordinated Debentures issued by OCN. The Junior Subordinated Debentures, which represent the sole assets of OCT, will mature on August 1, 2027. Prior to our adoption of SFAS No. 150 on July 1, 2003, we presented the Capital Securities in a separate caption between liabilities and stockholders' equity in our balance sheet as "Company-obligated, mandatorily redeemable securities of subsidiary trust holding solely Junior Subordinated Debentures of the Company", and distributions were reported on the Capital Securities in a separate caption immediately following non-interest expense in our consolidated statement of operations. Effective with our adoption of SFAS No. 150 on July 1, 2003, the Capital Securities are presented as a liability in the balance sheet as a component of Debt Securities. At the same time, we began reporting distributions on the Capital Securities as a component of interest expense in the consolidated statement of operations. During 2005, we repurchased $\$ 2,820$ of our Capital Securities in the open market resulting in a loss of $\$ 239$, net of the write-off of unamortized issuance costs.

Holders of the Capital Securities are entitled to receive cumulative cash distributions accruing from the date of original issuance and payable semiannually in arrears on February 1 and August 1 of each year, commencing on February 1, 1998, at an annual rate of $10.875 \%$ of the liquidation amount of $\$ 1,000$ per Capital Security. OCN guarantees payment of distributions out of moneys held by OCT, and payments on liquidation of OCT or the redemption of Capital Securities, to the extent OCT has funds available. If Ocwen Financial Corporation does not make principal or interest payments on the Junior Subordinated Debentures, OCT will not have sufficient funds to make distributions on the Capital Securities, in which event the guarantee shall not apply to such distributions until OCT has sufficient funds available therefore. Accumulated distributions payable on the Capital Securities amounted to $\$ 2,425$ and $\$ 2,549$ at December 31, 2005 and 2004, respectively, and are included in other liabilities.

We have the right to defer payment of interest on the Junior Subordinated Debentures at any time or from time to time for a period not exceeding 10 consecutive semiannual periods with respect to each deferral period provided that no extension period may extend beyond the stated maturity of the Junior Subordinated Debentures. Upon the termination of any such extension period and the payment of all amounts then due on any interest payment date, we may elect to begin a new extension period. Accordingly, there could be multiple extension periods of varying lengths throughout the term of the Junior Subordinated Debentures. If we defer interest payments on the Junior Subordinated Debentures, distributions on the Capital Securities will also be deferred, and we may not, nor may any of our subsidiaries, (i) declare or pay any dividends or distributions on, or redeem, purchase, acquire, or make a liquidation payment with respect to, their capital stock or (ii) make any payment of principal, interest or premium, if any, on or repay, repurchase or redeem any debt securities that rank pari passu with or junior to the Junior Subordinated Debentures. During an extension period, interest on the Junior Subordinated Debentures will continue to accrue at the rate of $10.875 \%$ per annum, compounded semiannually.

We may redeem the Junior Subordinated Debentures before maturity at our option subject to the receipt of any necessary prior regulatory approval, (i) in whole or in part on or after August 1, 2007, at a redemption price equal to $105.438 \%$ of the principal amount thereof on August 1, 2007, declining ratably on each August 1 thereafter to $100 \%$ on or after August 1, 2017, plus accrued interest thereon, or (ii) at any time, in whole (but not in part), upon the occurrence and continuation of a special event (defined as a tax event, regulatory capital event or an investment company event) at a redemption price equal to the greater of (a) 100\% of the principal amount thereof or (b) the sum of the present values of the principal amount and premium payable with respect to an optional redemption of such Junior Subordinated Debentures on August 1, 2007, together with scheduled payments of interest from the prepayment date to August 1, 2007, discounted to the prepayment date on a semiannual basis at the adjusted Treasury rate plus accrued interest thereon to the date of prepayment. The Capital Securities are subject to mandatory redemption, in whole or in part, upon repayment of the Junior Subordinated Debentures at maturity or their earlier redemption, in an amount equal to the amount of the related Junior Subordinated Debentures maturing or being redeemed and at a redemption price equal to the redemption price of the Junior Subordinated Debentures, plus accumulated and unpaid distributions thereon to the date of redemption.
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For financial reporting purposes, we treat OCT as a subsidiary and, accordingly, the accounts of OCT are included in our consolidated financial statements. We consolidated OCT because we own all of the Common Securities that were issued by OCT and 57\% of the Capital Securities. We eliminate intercompany balances and transactions with OCT, including the balance of Junior Subordinated Debentures outstanding, in our consolidated financial statements.

In connection with our issuance of the Capital Securities, we incurred certain costs that we capitalized and are amortizing over the term of the Capital Securities. The unamortized balance of these issuance costs amounted to $\$ 1,535$ and $\$ 1,691$ at December 31, 2005 and 2004, respectively, and is included in other assets.

## NOTE 15 DEPOSITS

In connection with debanking, on June 30, 2005, Marathon National Bank assumed the customer deposits associated with the Bank's branch facility. As a result of our termination of the Bank's status as a federal savings bank, we are no longer able to accept deposits in the U.S. Our deposits consisted of the following at December 31, 2004:

(1) BOK deposits amounted to $\$ 12,550$ and $\$ 10,792$ at December 31, 2005 and 2004, respectively, and are included in other liabilities.

Interest expense we incurred by type of deposit account was as follows for the years ended December 31:

|  | 2005 |  | 2004 |  | 2003 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| NOW, money market and savings | \$ | 690 | \$ | 586 | \$ | 528 |
| Certificates of deposit |  | 3,020 |  | 13,048 |  | 17,018 |
|  | \$ | 3,710 | \$ | 13,634 | \$ | 17,546 |

NOTE 16 ESCROW DEPOSITS
Escrow deposits amounted to $\$ 86,084$ at December 31, 2004 and consist of custodial deposit balances representing funds collected from borrowers for the payment of taxes and insurance premiums on mortgage properties underlying loans that we serviced for others. In connection with debanking, these custodial deposits were transferred from the Bank to an unaffiliated bank and are now excluded from our balance sheet.

## NOTE 17 BASIC AND DILUTED EARNINGS PER SHARE

We are required to present both basic and diluted EPS on the face of our statement of operations. Basic EPS excludes common stock equivalents and is calculated by dividing net income by the weighted average number of common shares outstanding during the year. We calculate diluted EPS by dividing net income, as adjusted to add back interest expense on the Convertible Notes, by the weighted average number of common shares outstanding including the potential dilutive common shares related to outstanding stock options, restricted stock awards and the Convertible Notes.

The following is a reconciliation of the calculation of basic EPS to diluted EPS for the years ended December 31:

|  |  | 005 |  | 004 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Basic EPS: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Net income | \$ | 15,065 | \$ | 57,724 | \$ | 4,772 |
| Weighted average shares of common stock |  | 912,768 |  | 811,697 |  | 6,888 |
| Basic EPS | \$ | 0.24 | \$ | 0.88 | \$ | 0.07 |
| Diluted EPS: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Net income | \$ | 15,065 | \$ | 57,724 | \$ | 4,772 |
| Interest expense on Convertible Notes, net of income tax (1) ............................ -- 2,378 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Adjusted net income | \$ | 15, 065 | \$ | 60,102 | \$ | 4,772 |
| Weighted average shares of common stock |  | 912,768 |  | 811, 697 |  | 6,888 |
| Effect of dilutive elements: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Convertible Notes (1) |  | -- |  | 129, 022 |  | -- |
| Stock options (2) |  | 807,649 |  | 992, 337 |  | 3,889 |
| Common stock awards |  | 165, 022 |  | 264,199 |  | 3, 096 |
| Dilutive weighted average of common stock |  | 885,439 |  | 197, 255 |  | 3,873 |
| Diluted EPS | \$ | 0.24 | \$ | 0.82 | \$ | 0.07 |

(1) The effect of our Convertible Notes on diluted EPS is computed using the if-converted method in accordance with the provisions of EITF 04-8, as described in Note 1. The Convertible Notes are assumed to have been converted to common shares at the time the Convertible Notes were issued on July 28, 2004. Interest expense and related amortization costs applicable to the Convertible Notes, net of income tax, is added back to net income. Conversion of the Convertible Notes into shares of common stock has not been assumed for purposes of computing diluted EPS for 2005 because the effect would be anti-dilutive. The effect is anti-dilutive whenever interest expense on the Convertible Notes, net of income tax, per common share obtainable on conversion exceeds basic EPS.
(2) Excludes an average of $1,582,640,1,274,364$ and $2,818,332$ options that were anti-dilutive for 2005, 2004 and 2003, respectively, because their exercise price was greater than the average market price of our stock.

## NOTE 18 DERIVATIVE FINANCIAL INSTRUMENTS

## FOREIGN CURRENCY EXCHANGE RATE RISK MANAGEMENT

We entered into foreign currency derivatives to hedge our net investments in foreign subsidiaries that own residual securities backed by subprime residential loans originated in the UK and that owned a shopping center located in Halifax, Nova Scotia. During the first quarter of 2005, we sold our foreign subsidiary that owned the shopping center. However, in connection with the sale, OCN extended a short-term Canadian Dollar loan in the amount of C $\$ 6,000$ to the buyer. During the third quarter of 2005, the Canadian Dollar loan was repaid in full and the related currency futures contract was terminated. We have managed our exposure to foreign currency exchange rate risk related to this foreign currency-denominated transaction through the use of currency futures. Our remaining principal exposure to foreign currency exchange rates exists primarily with the British Pound versus the U.S. Dollar. Our policy is to periodically adjust the amount of foreign currency derivative contracts we have entered into in response to changes in our recorded investment as well as to changes in our assets denominated in a foreign currency. Our net exposures are subject to gain or loss if foreign currency exchange rates fluctuate. Currency futures are commitments to either purchase or sell foreign currency at a future date for a specified price. We have determined that the local currency of our investment in UK residuals is the functional currency. The foreign currency derivative financial instrument related to our foreign subsidiary that owns the residual securities was designated as a hedge. Accordingly, for this instrument we include the gains or losses in the net unrealized foreign currency translation in accumulated other comprehensive income in stockholders' equity. The foreign currency derivative financial instrument related to our Canadian Dollar-denominated loan was not designated as a hedge. Gains and losses from this instrument are included in earnings as an offset to the related foreign currency transaction gain or loss arising from remeasurement of the loan prior to its repayment in the third quarter.
(Dollars in thousands, except share data)
The following table sets forth the terms and values of these foreign currency financial instruments at the dates indicated:

|  | Position | Maturity | Notional | Amount | Strike Rate | Fair Value |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| December 31, 2005: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| British Pound currency futures (1) | Short | March 2006 | (pound) | 13,438 | 1.7692 | \$ | 726 |
| December 31, 2004: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Canadian Dollar currency futures (1) | Short | March 2005 | C\$ | 11,500 | 0.8416 | \$ | 109 |
| British Pound currency futures (1) | Short | March 2005 | (pound) | 17,000 | 1.9248 |  | 301 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | \$ | 410 |

(1) The U.S. Dollar equivalent notional amount of the British Pound currency futures at December 31, 2005 was $\$ 23,148$. At December 31, 2004, the U.S. Dollar equivalent notional amounts of the Canadian Dollar and British Pound currency futures were $\$ 9,570$ and $\$ 32,609$, respectively.

Because foreign currency futures contracts are exchange traded, holders of these instruments look to the exchange for performance under these contracts and not the entity holding the offsetting futures contract, thereby minimizing the risk of nonperformance under these contracts. The notional principal amount does not represent our exposure to credit loss.

Beginning in the second quarter of 2005, BOK entered into Euro foreign exchange forward contracts ("FX Forward") in order to hedge its investment in U.S. dollar-denominated servicing advances that it acquired from OLS. The following table sets forth the terms and value of the foreign exchange forward contracts as of December 31, 2005:


## INTEREST RATE RISK MANAGEMENT

From time to time, we enter into interest rate swaps. Under interest rate swaps, we agree with other parties to exchange, at specified intervals, the difference between fixed-rate and floating-rate interest amounts calculated by reference to an agreed upon notional amount. We are exposed to loss when we enter into interest rate swaps if: (i) the counterparty to the interest rate swap does not perform and (ii) the interest rate that we receive exceeds the interest rate that we pay.

We had no interest rate swaps outstanding at December 31, 2005 or 2004. During 2003, we realized gains of $\$ 1,076$ on swaps that we terminated. While these two swaps were entered into for purposes of mitigating the impact of declining interest rates on float earnings, they did not meet the criteria to be accounted for under hedge accounting. Accordingly, they were accounted for at fair value with changes in fair value included in servicing and subservicing fees. We entered into no swap agreements during 2005 or 2004.

The amortizing interest rate caps and floors we had purchased in 1999 to hedge our interest rate exposure relating to our match funded loans and securities matured in October 2003. Net realized and unrealized gains and (losses) included in earnings to record these caps and floors at fair value during 2003 amounted to \$(592).

During 2005, in connection with our Residential Origination Services business, we acquired certain mortgage loan portfolios, which we held at December 31, 2005 in anticipation of a securitization. Since the value of the mortgages loans held are subject to interest rate risk, we sold short a series of three-month Eurodollar interest rate futures contracts to hedge the exposure to interest rate risk represented by our loans held for resale. Our policy is to adjust the amount of Eurodollar futures contracts that we sell short to accommodate changes in the amount of our mortgage loans held for resale. Since the Eurodollar interest rate futures contracts were not designated as hedges, changes in the fair value of the contracts and gains and losses from these instruments are included in earnings in the period in which they occur, and we report them as a component of other income (expense), net.
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The following table sets forth the terms and values of our Eurodollar interest rate futures contracts as of December 31, 2005:


Eurodollar interest rate futures contracts are exchange-traded; therefore, holders of these instruments look to the exchange for performance under the contracts and not to the holders of the offsetting futures contracts. Using exchange-traded instruments minimizes our exposure to risk from nonperformance under these contracts. The notional amount of our contracts does not represent our exposure to credit loss

Market quotes are available for these agreements. The fair value of our Eurodollar interest rate futures contracts represents the estimated amount that we would receive or pay to terminate these agreements taking into account current interest rates. The net realized and unrealized gains (losses) included in earnings to record these contracts at fair value were $\$ 872$ during 2005. The following table summarizes our use of interest rate risk management instruments:

|  | Notional Amount Short Euro Dollar Interest Rate Futures |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Balance at December 31, 2004 | \$ |  |
| Sales |  | 4,089,000 |
| Maturities |  | ( 828,000 ) |
| Terminations |  |  |
| Balance at December 31,2005 | \$ | 3,261,000 |

NOTE 19 INCOME TAXES
The components of income tax expense (benefit) were as follows for the years ended December 31:

|  | 2005 |  | 2004 |  | 2003 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Current: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Federal | \$ | 5,503 | \$ | $(23,019)$ | \$ | 667 |
| State |  | 448 |  | 241 |  | 49 |
| Foreign |  | 1,466 |  | 224 |  | 163 |
|  |  | 7,417 |  | $(22,554)$ |  | 879 |
| Deferred: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Federal |  | 866 |  | 25,742 |  | $(2,401)$ |
| State |  | (343) |  | -- |  | 95 |
| Foreign |  | -- |  | 6 |  | -- |
| Provision for (reversal of) valuation allowance on deferred tax asset ............................... |  | $(2,125)$ |  | $(35,518)$ |  | 2,175 |
|  |  | $(1,602)$ |  | $(9,770)$ |  | (131) |
| Total | \$ | 5,815 | \$ | $(32,324)$ | \$ | 748 |
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Income tax expense (benefit) differs from the amounts computed by applying the U.S. Federal corporate income tax rate of $35 \%$ as follows for the years ended December 31:

|  | 2005 |  | 2004 |  | 2003 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Expected income tax expense (benefit) at statutory rate | \$ | 7,308 | \$ | 8,890 | \$ | 1,760 |
| Differences between expected and actual expense (benefit) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Excess of cost over net assets acquired, net |  | (30) |  | (30) |  | (464) |
| Indefinite deferral on earnings of non-U.S. affiliates |  | $(2,023)$ |  | (993) |  | (467) |
| State tax (after Federal tax benefit) |  | 68 |  | 157 |  | 94 |
| Low-income housing tax credits |  | (998) |  | $(4,848)$ |  | $(2,393)$ |
| Deferred tax asset valuation allowance expense (benefit) |  | $(2,125)$ |  | $(35,518)$ |  | 2,175 |
| Recapture of base year bad debt reserve |  | 1,967 |  | -- |  |  |
| Foreign tax |  | 1,466 |  | 230 |  | 163 |
| Other . . . |  | 182 |  | (212) |  | (120) |
| Actual income tax expense (benefit) | \$ | 5,815 | \$ | $(32,324)$ | \$ | 748 |

The net deferred tax asset was comprised of the following as of December 31:

|  | 2005 |  | 2004 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Deferred tax assets: |  |  |  |  |
| Tax residuals and deferred income on tax residuals | \$ | 4, 058 | \$ | 1,159 |
| State taxes |  | 8,685 |  | 8,465 |
| Accrued incentive compensation |  | 2,886 |  | 2,368 |
| Accrued other liabilities |  | 2,625 |  | 1,423 |
| Valuation allowance on real estate owned |  | 678 |  | 444 |
| Bad debt and allowance for loan losses |  | 4, 022 |  | 3,067 |
| Net unrealized gains and losses on securities securities |  | -- |  | 7,226 |
| Mortgage servicing rights amortization |  | 66,187 |  | 61,216 |
| Goodwill amortization |  | 1,533 |  | 960 |
| Foreign currency exchange |  | 346 |  | 1,075 |
| Capital loss carryforward |  | 9,910 |  | 8,601 |
| Net operating loss carryforward |  | 27,900 |  | 27,086 |
| Partnership losses and low-income housing tax credits |  | 54,915 |  | 62,904 |
| Deferred income |  | 2,171 |  | -- |
| Other |  | 1,871 |  | -- |
|  |  | 187,787 |  | 185,994 |
| Deferred tax liabilities: |  |  |  |  |
| Deferred interest income on loans |  | 75 |  | 1,255 |
| Net unrealized gains and losses on securities |  | 3,640 |  | -- |
| Research and development costs |  | -- |  | 324 |
| Other |  | -- |  | 805 |
|  |  | 3,715 |  | 2,384 |
|  |  | 184, 072 |  | 183, 610 |
| Valuation allowances |  | $(163,802)$ |  | $(165,927)$ |
| Net deferred tax asset | \$ | 20,270 | \$ | 17,683 |

We conduct periodic evaluations of positive and negative evidence to determine whether it is more likely than not that the deferred tax asset can be realized in future periods. Among the factors considered in this evaluation are estimates of future taxable income, the future reversal of temporary
differences, tax character and the impact of tax planning strategies that can be implemented if warranted. As a result of this evaluation, we included in the tax provision decreases of $\$ 2,125$ and $\$ 35,518$ to the valuation allowance for 2005 and 2004, respectively, and an increase of $\$ 2,175$ to the valuation allowance for 2003. The $\$ 35,518$ reduction in the valuation allowance on the deferred tax asset in 2004 is principally a result of refund claims of $\$ 56,526$ filed with the IRS that reduced our gross deferred tax asset and increased our income tax receivable balance by the same amount. These refund claims, which were affirmed in writing by the IRS
agent during 2004, arose because of changes in the tax law that allowed us to carry back net operating losses from 2001 and 2002 to taxes paid in earlier years.

As of December 31, 2005, we had a deferred tax asset valuation allowance totaling $\$ 163,802$. This allowance is comprised of $\$ 38,873$ relating to built-in loss limitations arising from our acquisition of OAC and \$124,929 relating to our evaluation of the future realization of prior years deferred tax asset.

Before our acquisition of OAC, OAC was a REIT for federal tax purposes and filed a REIT federal income tax return through October 20, 1999. We have included OAC in our consolidated federal income tax return since October 21, 1999. OAC had, at October 6, 1999, approximately $\$ 131,567$ of net unrealized built-in losses. Any such losses recognized within the five-year period beginning on October 7, 1999 (the "recognition period") are treated as pre-change losses and, as such, are subject to an annual limit as to the amount, which may offset the taxable income of Ocwen Financial Corporation and its subsidiaries ("the IRC section 382 limitation"). A net unrealized built-in loss is an amount by which the tax basis of the corporation's assets at the time of the change in ownership exceeds the aggregate fair market value of those assets at that time. The IRC section 382 limitation is determined by multiplying the value of OAC's stock by the federal long-term tax-exempt rate and amounts to approximately $\$ 5,700$. If a deduction is denied for any recognized built-in loss in any post-change year, the loss is carried forward to subsequent years under rules similar to the standard loss carryforward rules. As a result of these limitations, we established a corresponding deferred tax asset valuation allowance at the acquisition date as part of purchase accounting in the amount of $\$ 38,873$. At December 31, 2005, we had realized built-in losses of $\$ 103,787$ which consists of net operating loss carryforwards of $\$ 79,715$ and capital loss carryforwards of $\$ 24,072$.

Deferred tax assets, net of deferred fees, include tax residuals which result from the ownership of Real Estate Mortgage Investment Conduits ("REMIC"). While a tax residual is anticipated to have little or no future cash flows from the REMIC from which it has been issued, the tax residual does bear the income tax liability and benefit resulting from the annual differences between the interest paid on the debt instruments issued by the REMIC and the interest received on the mortgage loans held by the REMIC. Typically, this difference generates taxable income to the Company in the first several years of the REMIC and equal amounts of tax losses thereafter, thus resulting in the deferred tax asset. The current Federal income tax expense in 2003 is the result of excess inclusion income generated by REMIC residuals.

At December 31, 2003, we had net operating loss carryforwards of $\$ 123,699$ and capital loss carryforwards of $\$ 35,767$. During 2004, we filed claims with the Internal Revenue Service to carryback these net operating losses and capital losses to prior years in which taxes were paid. At December 31, 2005, we had capital loss carryforwards of $\$ 4,242$, which expire in 2009, and we had tax credit carryforwards of $\$ 51,233$ related to our low-income housing tax credits which expire in the years 2018 through 2025.

Prior to December 31, 1996, The Bank was permitted to deduct from taxable income an allowance for bad debts which was in excess of the provision for such losses charged to income. Accordingly, at December 31, 2004, retained earnings included \$5,700, for which no provision for income tax has been provided. The base reserves were subject to recapture, if: (1) the Bank fails to qualify as a "bank" for federal income tax purposes, (2) certain distributions are made with respect to the stock of the Bank, (3) the bad debt reserves are used for any purpose other than to absorb bad debt losses or (4) there is a change in federal tax law.

As a result of debanking, the Bank terminated its status as a federal savings bank. We, therefore, recorded a one-time provision of $\$ 1,967$, to recognize a deferred tax liability arising from the recapture of bad debt reserves.

## NOTE 20 EMPLOYEE BENEFIT AND COMPENSATION PLANS

We maintain a defined contribution plan to provide post retirement benefits to our eligible employees. We also adopted a number of compensation plans for certain of our employees. We designed these plans to facilitate a pay-for-performance policy, further align the interests of our officers and key employees with the interests of our shareholders and assist in attracting and retaining employees vital to our long-term success. These plans are summarized below.

## RETIREMENT PLAN

We maintain a defined contribution $401(k)$ plan. We match $50 \%$ of each employee's contributions, limited to $2 \%$ of the employee's compensation. Our contributions to the $401(\mathrm{k})$ plan were $\$ 590$, $\$ 490$ and $\$ 417$ for the years ended December 31, 2005, 2004 and 2003, respectively.
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In connection with our acquisition of Berkeley Federal Savings Bank in June 1993, the Bank assumed the obligations under a noncontributory defined benefit pension plan (the "Plan") covering substantially all employees upon their eligibility under the terms of the Plan. We froze and fully funded the Plan after the plan year ended December 31, 1993.

## ANNUAL INCENTIVE PLAN

The Ocwen Financial Corporation Amended 1998 Annual Incentive Plan (the "AIP") is our primary incentive compensation plan for executives and other key employees. Under the terms of the AIP, participants can earn cash and equity-based awards as determined by the Compensation Committee. The awards are based on objective performance criteria established by the Committee, which includes corporate profitability, growth in our core businesses, meeting budget objectives and achieving cost savings through Six Sigma initiatives. Non-qualified stock options to purchase our common stock are issued as part of the AIP and are granted pursuant to the Ocwen Financial Corporation 1991 Non-Qualified Stock Option Plan.

The following table provides a summary of our stock option activity for the years ended December 31, 2005, 2004 and 2003, and stock options exercisable at the end of each of those years :

|  | 2005 |  |  | 2004 |  |  | 2003 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Number of Options | Weighted Average Exercise Price |  | Number of Options | Weighted Average Exercise Price |  | Number of Options | Weighted Average Exercise Price |  |
| Outstanding at beginning of year | 4,279,269 | \$ | 8.34 | 4,581,370 | \$ | 8.08 | 4,723,166 | \$ | 7.97 |
| Granted (1) | 411,703 |  | 6.10 | 633,169 |  | 8.01 | 431,982 |  | 6.15 |
| Exercised | $(203,410)$ |  | 4.56 | $(543,260)$ |  | 4.47 | $(359,419)$ |  | 4.51 |
| Forfeited | $(259,667)$ |  | 9.51 | $(392,010)$ |  | 10.08 | $(214,359)$ |  | 7.74 |
| Outstanding at end of year | 4,227,895 |  | 8.24 | 4,279,269 |  | 8.34 | 4,581,370 |  | 8.08 |
| Exercisable at end of year .. | 3,034,098 |  | 8.97 | 2,805,555 |  | 9.60 | 2,541,593 |  | 9.72 |

(1) The weighted average grant-date fair value was $\$ 8.71$ in 2005, $\$ 9.48$ in 2004 and \$8.74 in 2003.

The following table summarizes information about our stock options outstanding at December 31, 2005 :

|  |  | Options |  | anding |  | s Exercisa |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Award Year | Exercise Price Range | Number |  | Weighted <br> Average <br> Exercise <br> Price (1) | Weighted <br> Average Remaining Contractual Life (Years) | Number |  | ted ge ise |
| Award Year |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2005 | \$ 6.10 | 411,703 | \$ | 6.10 | 10 | 82,341 | \$ | 6.10 |
| 2004 | $6.57-10.60$ | 558,436 |  | 8.12 | 9 | 107,631 |  | 8.07 |
| 2003 | 6.18 | 322,739 |  | 6.18 | 8 | 149,360 |  | 6.18 |
| 2002 | 1.87 | 348,955 |  | 1.87 | 7 | 212,498 |  | 1.87 |
| 2001 | 5.79-12.55 | 835,333 |  | 8.70 | 6 | 731,539 |  | 9.12 |
| 2000 | 4.09 | 625,525 |  | 4.09 | 5 | 625,525 |  | 4.09 |
| 1999 | 6.25 | 108,721 |  | 6.25 | 4 | 108,721 |  | 6.25 |
| 1998 | 12.31 | 58,771 |  | 12.31 | 3 | 58,771 |  | 12.31 |
| 1997 | 20.35 | 437,132 |  | 20.35 | 2 | 437,132 |  | 20.35 |
| 1996 | 11.00 | 431,580 |  | 11.00 | 1 | 431, 580 |  | 11.00 |
| 1995 (2) | 2.88 | 89,000 |  | 2.88 | -- | 89,000 |  | 2.88 |
|  |  | 4,227,895 |  | 8.24 |  | 3,034,098 |  | 8.97 |

(1) Options outstanding for award year 2004 include 328,436 at an exercise price of $\$ 6.57,30,000$ at $\$ 8.56$ and 200,000 at $\$ 10.60$. Options outstanding for award year 2001 include 475,333 at an exercise price of $\$ 5.79$ and 360,000 at \$12.55.
(2) These options were exercised in January 2006 prior to the expiration date of January 31, 2006.

After the awards of 411,703 options for 2005, the number of authorized shares remaining and available for future awards of stock options is 5,248,682.

Stock options awarded under the AIP prior to 1998 had a one-year vesting period. Stock options awarded for 1998 and 1999 vested ratably over a three-year period. Stock options awarded for 2000 and thereafter generally vest ratably over a five-year period, including the award year. The term of all options granted is ten years from the grant date, except in the case of where employment terminates by reason of retirement, in which case the option will terminate no later than three years after such retirement or the end of the option term, whichever is earlier. We treat the difference, if any, between the fair market value of our stock at the date of grant and the exercise price as compensation expense. We recognize this compensation expense ratably over the vesting period of the grant. Included in compensation expense for the years ended December 31, 2005, 2004 and 2003 was $\$ 864, \$ 1,266$ and $\$ 1,226$, respectively, related to options granted below fair market value. Effective with our adoption of SFAS No. 123 (R) on January 1, 2006, compensation expense related to options is measured based on the grant-date fair value of the options using the Black-Scholes option-pricing model.

In 2003, the AIP was amended to provide the Compensation Committee the option to award common stock in lieu of cash. These awards are granted at no cost to the employee and vest ratably over a three-year period including the award year. The shares are issued to the employee upon vesting. Because the shares have not been registered with the Securities and Exchange Commission, their transferability and sale by the employee are subject to restriction periods and other conditions. Shares granted for the years ended December 31, 2005, 2004 and 2003 were $123,368,115,074$ and 126,643 , respectively. The fair value of the grant is recognized as compensation expense ratably over the vesting period. Included in compensation expense for the years ended December 31, 2005, 2004 and 2003 was $\$ 920$, $\$ 1,476$ and $\$ 1,402$, respectively relating to these stock awards.

## NOTE 21 STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITY

On May 9, 2000, we announced that our Board of Directors authorized the repurchase of up to $6,000,000$ of our issued and outstanding shares of common stock. To date, 431,100 shares have been repurchased under this plan (all in 2004). A total of $5,568,900$ shares may still be purchased under this plan. On May 16, 2003 we announced our initiation of a stock repurchase program to purchase 700,000 shares of our issued and outstanding common stock with the intent to utilize these repurchased shares as a portion of our annual incentive awards to employees for service in 2002. During 2004 and 2003, we repurchased 200, 000 and 500,000 shares, respectively, and issued 203,088 and 236,461 shares, respectively, to employees. No shares remain to be purchased under this plan. We also repurchased $4,850,000$ shares in 2004 with a portion of the proceeds from our issuance of the Convertible Notes in July. No shares remain to be purchased under this plan.

## NOTE 22 REGULATORY REQUIREMENTS

Effective June 30, 2005, the Bank terminated its status as a federal savings bank. Prior to returning its original thrift charter to the Office of Thrift Supervision ("OTS"), the Bank operated as a federal savings bank and OCN was a registered savings and loan holding company. Our primary regulatory authority was the OTS.

In connection with our debanking process, on February 4, 2005, OCN and the Bank entered into a Branch Purchase and Deposit Assumption Agreement (the "Branch Purchase Agreement") with Marathon National Bank of New York ("Marathon"). Pursuant to the Branch Purchase Agreement, Marathon agreed to assume the deposit liabilities of the accounts associated with the Bank's branch facility in Fort Lee, New Jersey. In addition, Marathon agreed to take over the lease and other contracts and acquire the assets related to the branch. We agreed to make a cash payment to Marathon, which was calculated based upon, among other things, the amount of those deposit account liabilities as of the closing. On June 13, 2005, the OTS approved our plan of voluntary dissolution for the Bank subject to certain conditions, including, among other things, our entering into an agreement to guaranty the obligations of the Bank (other than the deposit and other liabilities that were assumed by Marathon in connection with the Branch Purchase Agreement), a cash collateral agreement and a collateral trust agreement, all on terms acceptable to the OTS.

Following receipt of OTS approval, OCN entered into an Assignment and Assumption Agreement, dated June 28, 2005, whereby the Bank assigned to OLS, directly or indirectly, all of its assets, liabilities and business remaining after the consummation of the transactions with Marathon.

Pursuant to the conditions set forth in the OTS Approval, OCN entered into an agreement (the "Guaranty") in favor of the OTS and any holders of claims with respect to liabilities assumed by OLS from the Bank in connection with the Assignment (the "Assumed Liabilities"). Assumed Liabilities include all legal actions against the Bank. The Guaranty contains affirmative covenants relating to the maintenance of a cash collateral account, reporting requirements, transactions with affiliates, preservation of the existence of our subsidiaries and maintenance of not less than $\$ 35,000$ of unencumbered financial assets. Pursuant to the Guaranty, we also agreed that OCN and its subsidiaries would not, among other things:
o incur debt (as defined) if, following the incurrence of such debt, the ratio of our consolidated debt to our tangible net worth (as defined) for the most recent fiscal quarter exceeds 7.25:1.00;
o enter into any merger transaction or sale of all or substantially all of our assets, except for certain mergers among us and our subsidiaries or with an entity with a specified minimum credit rating that agrees to assume our obligations under the Guaranty, and provided that we maintain a specified minimum net worth;
o sell, lease, transfer or otherwise dispose of any assets, except in exchange for consideration of at least $85 \%$ cash for fair value and provided that, after giving effect to any such sale, lease, transfer or disposition, the ratio of our consolidated debt to our tangible net worth for the most recent fiscal quarter does not exceed $7.25: 1.00$; or
o declare or pay any dividends or acquire any of our capital stock, ownership interests or make any distributions or return of capital to our
stockholders, partners or members, except for (i) such dividends or distributions that are payable only in OCN's common stock, (ii) such declarations, dividends, acquisitions, distributions or returns of capital in cash if we maintain a minimum net worth of $\$ 333,000$ and rating of B2 from Moody's and B- from S\&P on our unsecured, non credit-enhanced debt and any such capital distributions do not exceed our consolidated net income for the year plus our retained earnings for the two preceding years or (iii) cash dividends paid by a subsidiary of OCN to OCN or to any of OCN's wholly owned subsidiaries of which such entity is a subsidiary.

As of December 31, 2005, we were in compliance with all of the covenants specified in the Guaranty.

The Guaranty will remain in effect until the later of (a) the sixth anniversary of the date on which the Bank's federal bank charter was cancelled or (b) the date on which we have paid in full (i) any obligations that arise out of the Assumed Liabilities with respect to which a claim has been asserted on or prior to the sixth anniversary of the date on which the Bank's federal bank charter was cancelled and (ii) all other amounts payable by us under the Guaranty.

OCN also entered into a Cash Collateral Agreement and a Collateral Trust Agreement, each dated June 28, 2005, with the Bank of New York pursuant to which we established a collateral trust account to secure payment of our obligations under the Guaranty. We have agreed to maintain in the cash collateral account a minimum of $\$ 5,000$. The Cash Collateral Agreement and the Collateral Trust Agreement will terminate upon termination of the Guaranty and receipt of proper notice of such termination. This cash collateral deposit is included with other assets in the consolidated balance sheet.

On June 30, 2005, we completed our divestiture to Marathon of the deposit liabilities of the accounts associated with the branch and our assignment of the remaining assets, liabilities and business of the Bank to OLS. We recognized a gain of $\$ 1,750$ from the sale of our branch deposit liabilities to Marathon. In addition, we recorded a one-time provision of $\$ 1,124$, which is net of a related adjustment to the deferred tax asset valuation allowance, arising from the recapture of bad debt reserves in connection with our termination of the Bank's status as a federal savings bank.

Effective June 30, 2005, the Supervisory Agreement that the Bank and OTS had entered into on April 19, 2004 terminated because we were no longer an FDIC - - insured institution. The OTS retains, for a period of six years after termination of the Supervisory Agreement, the right to bring enforcement actions in respect of any breach or noncompliance by the Bank with the Supervisory Agreement, or other applicable regulations, that may have occurred prior to debanking.

We are continuing the Bank's residential mortgage servicing business under OLS, which is a licensed servicer in all fifty states, the District of Columbia and Puerto Rico. As a result of debanking, we are no longer able to accept deposits in the U.S or benefit from federal preemption with regard to post-debanking activities.

OLS is subject to the rules and regulations of various Federal agencies, Fannie Mae, Freddie Mac, Ginnie Mae and state regulatory authorities. In order to remain a servicer of loans in good standing under these regulations, OLS is required to maintain specified levels of stockholders' equity.
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BOK, our German subsidiary that we acquired on September 30, 2004, is
licensed as a credit institution (Kreditinstitut) under the laws of the Federal Republic of Germany and is supervised and regulated in Germany by the German Federal Financial Supervisory Authority (Bundesanstalt fur
Finanzdienstleistungsaufsicht, or BaFin), the German Central Bank (Deutsche Bundesbank) and, in respect of minimum reserves on deposits, the European Central Bank. BOK, under its license, may engage not only in a number of traditional banking activities such as deposit and lending business, but also in investment banking, underwriting and securities trading transactions, both for its own account and for customers. BOK is currently not significant to our operations.

## NOTE 23 OTHER OPERATING EXPENSES

The following table presents the principal components of other operating expenses we incurred during the years ended December 31:

|  | 2005 |  | 2004 |  | 2003 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Impairment of working capital investment |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| in consolidated VIE | \$ | 7,238 | \$ | -- | \$ | -- |
| Provision for bad debts |  | 5,308 |  | 2,655 |  | 554 |
| Valuation losses on loans held for resale |  | 4,380 |  | -- |  | -- |
| Provision for loan losses |  | (37) |  | $(1,881)$ |  | (2,684) |
| Travel, lodging, meals and entertainment |  | 4,242 |  | 3,361 |  | 2,864 |
| Other |  | 5,929 |  | 4,198 |  | 6,970 |
|  | \$ | 27,060 | \$ | 8,333 | \$ | 7,704 |

## NOTE 24 INTEREST INCOME

The following table presents the components of interest income for each category of our interest-earning assets for the years ended December 31:

|  | 2005 |  | 2004 |  | 2003 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Interest earning cash and short-term cash investments | \$ | 2,641 | \$ | 3,380 | \$ | 1,759 |
| Trading securities, at fair value |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Investment grade securities |  | 3,638 |  | 1,271 |  | (71) |
| Subordinates and residuals |  | 13,839 |  | 16,314 |  | 17,418 |
| Match funded loans |  | 364 |  | 1,035 |  | 3,402 |
| Loans held for resale |  | 4,642 |  | - |  | - |
| Loans |  | 114 |  | 1,676 |  | 1,614 |
|  | \$ | 25,238 | \$ | 23,676 | \$ | 24,122 |

## NOTE 25 INTEREST EXPENSE

The following table presents the components of interest expense for each category of our interest-bearing liabilities for the years ended December 31:

|  | 2005 |  | 2004 |  | 2003 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Match funded liabilities | \$ | 16,104 | \$ | 4,923 | \$ | 5,414 |
| Lines of credit and other secured borrowings |  | 4,865 |  | 2,718 |  | 5,827 |
| Debt securities (1) |  | 12,582 |  | 9,089 |  | 9,929 |
| Deposits |  | 3,710 |  | 13,634 |  | 17,546 |
|  | \$ | 37,261 | \$ | 30,364 | \$ | 38,716 |

(1) Interest expense on Capital Securities as a result of our adoption of SFAS No. 150 effective July 1, 2003 was $\$ 6,027, \$ 6,117$ and $\$ 3,059$ for the years 2005, 2004 and 2003, respectively. Interest expense on our $3.25 \%$ Contingent Convertible Senior Unsecured Notes issued in July 2004 was \$6,555 and \$2,972 for the years 2005 and 2004, respectively.

The following table presents the principal components of other income we earned during the years ended December 31:

|  | 2005 |  | 2004 |  | 2003 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Interest on federal tax refund claims | \$ | 1,900 | \$ | 6,874 | \$ |  |
| Gain (loss) on real estate |  | 1,815 |  | $(2,948)$ |  | $(1,836)$ |
| Gain (loss) on investments in affordable housing properties |  | $(1,750)$ |  | 255 |  | (284) |
| Gain (loss) on sales of interest-earning assets |  | 872 |  | -- |  | 27 |
| Other |  | 3,905 |  | 3,997 |  | 2,794 |
|  | \$ | 6,742 | \$ | 8,178 | \$ | 701 |

## NOTE 27 BUSINESS SEGMENT REPORTING

A brief description of our business segments, aligned within our two areas of focus, is as follows:

Servicing
o Residential Servicing. Through this business we provide loan servicing including asset management and resolution services to third party owners of subprime residential mortgage and high loan-to-value loans for a fee. We acquire the rights to service loans by purchasing them or by entering into subservicing contracts. This segment also includes our residential loan servicing system product (REALServicing).
o Commercial Servicing. This segment includes the results of both our domestic and international servicing of commercial assets (loans and real estate), as well as our commercial loan servicing product (REALSynergy). Prior to 2004, domestic commercial servicing was reported as a component of the Commercial Finance segment (re-named Commercial Assets), and the results of our international operations were reported as a separate segment. International servicing is conducted through GSS.
o Ocwen Recovery Group. This business conducts collection activities for third party owners of unsecured receivables and for a portfolio of unsecured credit card receivables that we acquired in 1999 and 2000.

Loan Processing and Origination Services
o Residential Origination Services. This business provides various loan origination services, including residential property valuation services, mortgage due diligence, title services and loan refinancing for Residential Servicing customers. This segment also includes our internet-based vendor management system product (REALTrans) and our subprime residual trading securities.
o Business Process Outsourcing. This business segment began operations in December 2002. Business Process Outsourcing provides outsourcing services to third parties including mortgage underwriting, data entry, call center services and mortgage research.

Corporate Items and Other. This segment includes items of revenue and expense that are not directly related to a business, including business activities that are individually insignificant, interest income on short-term investments of cash and the related costs of financing these investments and certain other corporate expenses.

Based on the relative insignificance of the assets remaining in the following segments, the remaining assets of these businesses and any related income or loss arising from their resolution have been included in the Corporate Items and Other segment beginning January 1, 2005. Segment information for the prior periods has been restated to conform to this presentation.
o Commercial Assets. This segment comprised operations to acquire sub-performing commercial loans at a discount, as well as operations to invest in and reposition under-performing real estate assets.
o Affordable Housing. Includes our investments, primarily through limited partnerships, in qualified low-income rental housing for the purpose of obtaining Federal income tax credits.

We allocate interest income and expense to each business segment for the investment of funds raised or funding of investments made. We also make allocations of non-interest expense generated by corporate support services to each business segment.

OCWEN FINANCIAL CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS - (Continued)
DECEMBER 31, 2005, 2004 AND 2003
(Dollars in thousands, except share data)

|  | Revenue |  | Operating Expenses |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| At or for the year ended December 31, 2005 |  |  |  |  |
| Residential Servicing | \$ | 279,660 | \$ | 236,517 |
| Commercial Servicing |  | 19,511 |  | 16,419 |
| Ocwen Recovery Group |  | 11,683 |  | 12,715 |
| Residential Origination Services |  | 54,732 |  | 71, 221 |
| Business Process Outsourcing |  | 11,265 |  | 9,945 |
| Corporate Items and Other |  | $\begin{gathered} 376,851 \\ (1,475) \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{array}{r} 346,817 \\ 6,669 \end{array}$ |
|  | \$ | 375,376 | \$ | 353,486 |
| At or for the year ended December 31, 2004 |  |  |  |  |
| Residential Servicing | \$ | 280,809 | \$ | 244,758 |
| Commercial Servicing |  | 16, 070 |  | 17,468 |
| Ocwen Recovery Group |  | 13,646 |  | 9,901 |
| Residential Origination Services |  | 41,384 |  | 41,009 |
| Business Process Outsourcing |  | 9,493 |  | 7,264 |
|  |  | 361,402 |  | 320,400 |
| Corporate Items and Other |  | $(1,516)$ |  | 15,039 |
|  | \$ | 359,886 | \$ | 335,439 |
| At or for the year ended December 31, 2003 |  |  |  |  |
| Residential Servicing ............. | \$ | 267,437 | \$ | 221,176 |
| Commercial Servicing |  | 7,261 |  | 13,766 |
| Ocwen Recovery Group |  | 11,904 |  | 6,856 |
| Residential Origination Services |  | 23, 021 |  | 35,586 |
| Business Process Outsourcing |  | 4,496 |  | 2,598 |
|  |  | $314,119$ |  | $279,982$ |
| Corporate Items and Other |  | $1,014$ |  | $15,578$ |
|  | \$ | 315,133 | \$ | 295,560 |

## NOTE 28 COMMITMENTS AND CONTINGENCIES

We lease certain of our premises under non-cancelable operating leases with terms expiring through 2012, exclusive of renewal option periods. Our annual aggregate minimum rental commitments under these leases are summarized as follows:

| 2006 | \$ | 3,626 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2007 |  | 3,133 |
| 2008 |  | 1,886 |
| 2009 |  | 1,334 |
| 2010 |  | 990 |
| Thereafter |  | 1,665 |
| Minimum lease payments | \$ | 12,634 |

We converted rental commitments for our facilities outside the United States of America to U.S. dollars using exchange rates in effect at December 31, 2005. Rent expense for the years ended December 31, 2005, 2004 and 2003 was $\$ 3,928$, $\$ 2,725$ and $\$ 3,511$, respectively.

At December 31, 2005, we had commitments of $\$ 58,489$ to fund loans secured by mortgages on single family residential properties. We also have commitments to sell $\$ 17,442$ of these loans, generally within 30 days of funding.

Through our investment in subordinated residual securities, which had a fair value of $\$ 30,277$ at December 31,2005 , we support senior classes of securities. Principal from the underlying mortgage loans generally is allocated first to the senior classes, with the most senior class having a priority right to the cash flow from the mortgage loans until its payment requirements are satisfied. To the extent that there are defaults and unrecoverable losses on the underlying mortgage loans, resulting in reduced cash flows, the most subordinate security will be the first to bear this loss. Because subordinate and residual interests generally have no credit support, to the extent there are realized losses on the mortgage loans comprising the mortgage collateral for such debt securities, we may not recover our remaining investment.

Total Assets


Under the terms of the sales agreements entered into in connection with the sale of certain of our affordable housing properties, we have a commitment to fund cash deficits that may arise from the operations of those properties. The remaining term of these commitments ranges from two to five years. The obligation under these commitments was $\$ 4,470$ as of December 31, 2005. Any operating deficits we fund are supported by a promissory note to be repaid to us from future cash flows of the property. In addition, we have provided to the purchasers of certain affordable housing properties guaranties against the possible recapture of future tax credits. We have never experienced a recapture of tax credits on any of the affordable housing properties in which we invested or sold. We have not recognized these guaranties as a liability because the probability of recapture is considered remote.

We are a limited guarantor under a master loan and security agreement that terminates in October 2006. Our guarantee is limited to $5 \%$ of the aggregate principal balance of the mortgage loans pledged to secure any debt under this agreement. The maximum amount of potential future payments under the guaranty is approximately $\$ 20,000$, including $\$ 12,500$ related to a consolidated VIE. We have not recognized a liability for our guaranty because, based on the estimated fair value of the loans securing the debt, we believe that the probability of nonpayment is remote.

Under the terms of the Assignment and Assumption agreement, OLS has become the successor to the Bank with respect to all legal actions. Therefore, any references to the Bank in connection with the following legal matters pertain to OLS as successor.

On April 13, 2004, the United States Judicial Panel on Multi-District Litigation granted our petition to transfer and consolidate a number of lawsuits against the Bank, OCN and various third parties arising out of the servicing of plaintiffs' mortgage loans into a single case to proceed in the United States District Court for the Northern District of Illinois under caption styled: In re Ocwen Federal Bank FSB Mortgage Servicing Litigation, MDL Docket No. 1604 (the "MDL Proceeding"). The consolidated lawsuits in which we are defendants involve 60 mortgage loans currently or previously serviced. Additional similar lawsuits have been brought in other courts, some of which may be transferred to and consolidated in the MDL Proceeding. The borrowers in these lawsuits seek class action certification. No class has been certified in the MDL Proceeding or any related lawsuits. On August 23, 2004, plaintiffs filed a Consolidated Complaint containing various claims under federal statutes, including the Real Estate Settlement Procedures Act and Fair Debt Collection Practices Act, state deceptive trade practices statutes and common law. The claims are generally based on allegations of improper loan servicing practices, including (i) charging borrowers allegedly improper or unnecessary fees such as breach letter fees, hazard insurance premiums, foreclosure-related fees, late fees and property inspection fees; (ii) untimely posting and misapplication of borrower payments; and (iii) improperly treating borrowers as in default on their loans. While the Consolidated Complaint does not set forth any specific amounts of claimed damages, plaintiffs are not precluded from requesting leave from the court to amend the Consolidated Complaint or otherwise seeking damages should the matter proceed to trial. On April 25, 2005, the court entered an Opinion and Order granting us partial summary judgment finding that, as a matter of law, the mortgage loan contracts signed by plaintiffs authorize the imposition of breach letter fees and other legitimate default or foreclosure related expenses. The court explained that its ruling was in favor of defendants to the specific and limited extent that plaintiffs' claims challenge the propriety of the above-mentioned fees. On March 22, 2006, the court denied defendants' motions to dismiss various portions of the Consolidated Complaint on federal preemption and procedural grounds, as well as our motion to dismiss OCN from the case for lack of personal jurisdiction. The court has not ruled on class certification. We cannot currently determine the ultimate outcome of the MDL Proceeding or the other matters described above and have not established a reserve in respect thereof. We believe the allegations in the MDL Proceeding are without merit and will continue to vigorously defend against them.

On November 3, 2004, the trial judge in litigation brought by Cartel Asset Management, Inc. ("Cartel") against OCN, the Bank and OTX in federal court in Denver, Colorado entered final judgment in the amount of $\$ 520$ against OTX and nominal damages of two dollars against the Bank. No damages were entered against OCN. In the November 3, 2004 order, the judge reduced a prior jury verdict in the amount of $\$ 9,320$ after trial on this matter involving allegations of misappropriation of trade secrets and contract-related claims brought by a former vendor. The litigation does not relate to our Residential Servicing business. Notwithstanding the nominal damage award against the Bank, it was assessed a statutory award to Cartel of attorneys' fees in an additional amount of $\$ 170$, and the Bank and OTX were further assessed costs in the amount of $\$ 9$. Cartel and defendants are pursuing cross-appeals in the United States Court of Appeals for the Tenth Circuit. We intend to continue to vigorously defend this matter.

On February 8, 2005, a jury in Circuit Court for Palm Beach County, Florida returned verdicts of $\$ 1,000$ and $\$ 1,056$ in compensatory damages in favor of two former employees of the Bank in a lawsuit against OCN and the Bank. The jury rejected plaintiffs' request for punitive damages. The plaintiffs brought claims under the Florida Civil Rights Act, the Florida Whistleblower Act and state tort law, arising out of an alleged invasion of privacy and related incidents allegedly committed by other former employees of the Bank in 1998 for which plaintiffs sought to hold the Ocwen defendants vicariously liable. We believe the verdicts, which were reduced to final judgments on May 20, 2005, as well as an additional award of $\$ 900$ in plaintiffs' attorneys' fees, are against the
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Our appeals therefrom have been taken to the Florida Court of Appeals for the Fourth District. We intend to continue to vigorously defend this matter.

On November 29, 2005, a jury in County Court for Galveston County, Texas, returned a verdict of $\$ 11,500$ in compensatory and punitive damages and attorneys' fees against Ocwen in favor of a plaintiff borrower who defaulted on a mortgage loan we serviced. The plaintiff claimed that Ocwen's foreclosure on the loan violated the Texas Deceptive Trade Practices Act and other state statutes and common law. On February 9, 2006, the trial court reduced the jury verdict and entered judgment in the amount of $\$ 1,830$ which was comprised of $\$ 5$ in actual damages, approximately $\$ 675$ in emotional distress, statutory and other damages and interest, and \$1,150 for attorneys' fees. We believe the judgment was against the weight of evidence and contrary to law and have asked the trial court to set it aside. We intend to continue to vigorously defend this matter and, if necessary, will take an appeal to the Texas Court of Appeals.

OCN is subject to various other pending legal proceedings. In our opinion, the resolution of these proceedings will not have a material effect on our financial condition, results of operations or cash flows. We continuously monitor the status of our litigation, including advice from external legal counsel, and perform periodic assessments of our litigation for potential loss accrual and disclosure. We accrue and maintain litigation reserves when it is probable that a liability has been incurred and the amount of loss can be reasonably estimated.

NOTE 29 QUARTERLY RESULTS OF OPERATIONS (UNAUDITED)

|  | Quarters Ended (3) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{gathered} \text { December 31, } \\ 2005 \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { September } 30, \\ 2005 \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { June } 30, \\ 2005 \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { March } 31, \\ 2005 \end{gathered}$ |  |
| Revenue | \$ | 94,962 | \$ | 96,910 | \$ | 92,015 | \$ | 91,489 |
| Operating expense (1) |  | 98,763 |  | 83,985 |  | 86,049 |  | 84,689 |
| Other income (expense) |  | 6,344 |  | $(2,698)$ |  | (793) |  | $(3,863)$ |
| Income (loss) before income taxes |  | 2,543 |  | 10,227 |  | 5,173 |  | 2,937 |
| Income tax expense (benefit) |  | 718 |  | 2,282 |  | 2,265 |  | 550 |
| Net income (loss) | \$ | 1,825 | \$ | 7,945 | \$ | 2,908 | \$ | 2,387 |
| Earnings (loss) per share |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Basic | \$ | 0.03 | \$ | 0.13 | \$ | 0.05 | \$ | 0.04 |
| Diluted | \$ | 0.03 | \$ | 0.12 | \$ | 0.05 | \$ | 0.04 |


|  | Quarters Ended (3) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{gathered} \text { December } 31, \\ 2004 \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { September } 30, \\ 2004 \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { June } 30 \text {, } \\ & 2004 \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { March 31, } \\ 2004 \end{gathered}$ |  |
| Revenue | \$ | 88,163 | \$ | 86,326 | \$ | 91,448 | \$ | 93,949 |
| Operating expense |  | 85,832 |  | 80,608 |  | 82,668 |  | 86,331 |
| Other income (expense) |  | (310) |  | 1,741 |  | 367 |  | (845) |
| Income (loss) before income taxes |  | 2,021 |  | 7,459 |  | 9,147 |  | 6,773 |
| Income tax expense (benefit) (2) |  | (544) |  | $(31,846)$ |  | 55 |  | 11 |
| Net income (loss) | \$ | 2,565 | \$ | 39,305 | \$ | 9,092 | \$ | 6,762 |
| Earnings (loss) per share |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Basic | \$ | 0.04 | \$ | 0.61 | \$ | 0.13 | \$ | 0.10 |
| Diluted .... | \$ | 0.04 | \$ | 0.53 | \$ | 0.13 | \$ | 0.10 |

(1) Operating expenses for the fourth quarter of 2005 includes a charge of $\$ 7,238$ to recognize the full impairment of a working capital investment in a consolidated VIE.
(2) The income tax benefit for the third quarter of 2004 primarily reflects a partial reversal of the valuation allowance on our deferred tax asset. See Note 19 for additional information.
(3) Previously reported quarterly results of operations for 2005 and 2004 have been reclassified to conform to the revised format that we adopted for our consolidated statement of operations effective December 31, 2005. See Note 1 for additional information.

|  | 2005 |  | 2004 |  | 2003 |  | 2002 |  | 2001 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Earnings: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Income (loss) from continuing operations before income taxes and effect of change in accounting principle .. | \$ | 20,880 | \$ | 25,400 | \$ | 5,520 | \$ | $(81,959)$ | \$ | $(41,782)$ |
| Add: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Interest expensed and capitalized, except interest on deposits, and amortization of capitalized debt |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| expenses............................................ |  | 33,625 |  | 16,805 |  | 24,652 |  | 35,681 |  | 42,738 |
| Interest on deposits .............. |  | 3,710 1,308 |  | 13,634 907 |  | 17,546 1,169 |  | 27,455 1,108 |  | 59,967 1,176 |
| Interest component of rental expense |  | 1,308 |  | 907 |  | 1,169 |  | 1,108 |  | 1,176 |
| Total fixed charges (1) |  | 38,643 |  | 31,346 |  | 43,367 |  | 64,244 |  | 103,881 |
| Earnings (losses) for computation purposes | \$ | 59,523 | \$ | 56,746 | \$ | 48,887 | \$ | $(17,715)$ | \$ | 62,099 |
| Ratio of earnings to fixed charges: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Including interest on deposits (2) |  | 1.54 |  | 1.81 |  | 1.13 |  | (3) |  | (3) |
| Excluding interest on deposits (2) |  | 1.60 |  | 2.43 |  | 1.21 |  | (3) |  | (3) |

(1) Fixed charges represent total interest expensed and capitalized, including and excluding interest on deposits, amortization of capitalized debt expenses, as well as the interest component of rental expense.
(2) The ratios of earnings to fixed charges were computed by dividing (x) income from continuing operations before income taxes and effect of change in accounting principle, plus fixed charges by (y) fixed charges.
(3) Due to our losses in 2002 and 2001, the ratio of earnings to fixed charges was less than 1:1. We would have had to generate additional earnings of $\$ 81,959$ and $\$ 41,782$, respectively, to achieve coverage of 1:1.

```
Name
Ocwen Loan Servicing, LLC (2)
RMSI, Inc (2)
Investors Mortgage Insurance Holding Company (1)
Ocwen Asset Investment Corp. (1)
Ocwen General, Inc. (1)
Ocwen Asset Investment - UK, LLC (2)
Ocwen Mortgage Company, LLC (1)
Ocwen Mortgage Asset Investment Company, LLC (1)
Ocwen Mortgage Asset Trust (2)
Ocwen Partnership, L.P. (2)
Ocwen Capital Trust I (2)
Ocwen Luxembourg, Sarl (1)
Ocwen Asia Holdings Ltd. (1)
Ocwen Financial Solutions Private Limited (2)
Global Servicing Solutions, LLC (2)
(1) Holding company with no significant assets or operations
(2) Operating company
```
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CONSENT OF INDEPENDENT REGISTERED CERTIFIED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM
We hereby consent to the incorporation by reference in the Registration
Statement on Form S-8 (Nos. 333-44999 and 333-62217) and Registration Statement on Form S-3 (Nos. 333-64915 and 333-119698) of Ocwen Financial Corporation of our report dated March 29, 2006 relating to the financial statements,
management's assessment of the effectiveness of internal control over financial reporting and the effectiveness of internal control over financial reporting, which appears in this Form 10-K.
/s/ PRICEWATERHOUSECOOPERS LLP

Fort Lauderdale, Florida
March 30, 2006

I, William C. Erbey, certify that:

1. I have reviewed this annual report on Form $10-\mathrm{K}$ of Ocwen Financial Corporation;
2. Based on my knowledge, this report does not contain any untrue statement of a material fact or omit to state a material fact necessary to make the statements made, in light of the circumstances under which such statements were made, not misleading with respect to the period covered by this report;
3. Based on my knowledge, the financial statements, and the other financial information included in this report, fairly present in all material respects the financial condition, results of operations and cash flows of the registrant as of, and for, the periods presented in this report;
4. The registrant's other certifying officer(s) and I are responsible for establishing and maintaining disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a - 15(e) and 15d-15(e)) and internal control over financial reporting (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a - 15(f) and 15d - 15(f)) for the registrant and have:
(a) Designed such disclosure controls and procedures, or caused such disclosure controls and procedures to be designed under our supervision, to ensure that material information relating to the registrant, including its consolidated subsidiaries, is made known to us by others within those entities, particularly during the period in which this report is being prepared;
(b) Designed such internal control over financial reporting, or caused such internal control over financial reporting to be designed under our supervision, to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles;
(c) Evaluated the effectiveness of the registrant's disclosure controls and procedures and presented in this report our conclusions about the effectiveness of the disclosure controls and procedures, as of the end of the period covered by this report based on such evaluation; and
(d) Disclosed in this report any changes in the registrant's internal control over financial reporting that occurred during the registrant's most recent fiscal quarter (the registrant's fourth fiscal quarter in the case of an annual report) that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, the registrant's internal control over financial reporting; and
5. The registrant's other certifying officer(s) and I have disclosed, based on our most recent evaluation of internal control over financial reporting, to the registrant's auditors and the audit committee of the registrant's board of directors (or persons performing the equivalent functions):
(a) All significant deficiencies and material weaknesses in the design or operation of internal control over financial reporting which are reasonably likely to adversely affect the registrant's ability to record, process, summarize and report financial information; and
(b) Any fraud, whether or not material, that involves management or other employees who have a significant role in the registrant's internal control over financial reporting.

I, Robert J. Leist, Jr., certify that:

1. I have reviewed this annual report on Form 10-K of Ocwen Financial Corporation;
2. Based on my knowledge, this report does not contain any untrue statement of a material fact or omit to state a material fact necessary to make the statements made, in light of the circumstances under which such statements were made, not misleading with respect to the period covered by this report;
3. Based on my knowledge, the financial statements, and the other financial information included in this report, fairly present in all material respects the financial condition, results of operations and cash flows of the registrant as of, and for, the periods presented in this report;
4. The registrant's other certifying officer(s) and I are responsible for establishing and maintaining disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a - 15(e) and 15d - 15(e)) and internal control over financial reporting (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a - 15(f) and 15d - 15(f)) for the registrant and have:
(a) Designed such disclosure controls and procedures, or caused such disclosure controls and procedures to be designed under our supervision, to ensure that material information relating to the registrant, including its consolidated subsidiaries, is made known to us by others within those entities, particularly during the period in which this report is being prepared;
(b) Designed such internal control over financial reporting, or caused such internal control over financial reporting to be designed under our supervision, to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles;
(c) Evaluated the effectiveness of the registrant's disclosure controls and procedures and presented in this report our conclusions about the effectiveness of the disclosure controls and procedures, as of the end of the period covered by this report based on such evaluation; and
(d) Disclosed in this report any changes in the registrant's internal control over financial reporting that occurred during the registrant's most recent fiscal quarter (the registrant's fourth fiscal quarter in the case of an annual report) that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, the registrant's internal control over financial reporting; and
5. The registrant's other certifying officer(s) and I have disclosed, based on our most recent evaluation of internal control over financial reporting, to the registrant's auditors and the audit committee of the registrant's board of directors (or persons performing the equivalent functions):
(a) All significant deficiencies and material weaknesses in the design or operation of internal control over financial reporting which are reasonably likely to adversely affect the registrant's ability to record, process, summarize and report financial information; and
(b) Any fraud, whether or not material, that involves management or other employees who have a significant role in the registrant's internal control over financial reporting.

## CERTIFICATIONS

I, William C. Erbey, state and attest that:
(1) I am the Chief Executive Officer of Ocwen Financial Corporation (the "Registrant").
(2) I hereby certify, pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002, that
o the Annual Report on Form 10-K of the Registrant for the year ended December 31, 2005 (the "periodic report") containing financial statements fully complies with the requirements of Section 13(a) or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (15 U.S.C. 78 m or $780(\mathrm{~d})$ ); and
o the information contained in the periodic report fairly represents, in all material respects, the financial condition and results of operations of the Registrant for the periods presented.

Name: /s/ WILLIAM C. ERBEY
Title: Chairman of the Board
and Chief Executive Officer
Date: March 30, 2006

## CERTIFICATIONS

I, Robert J. Leist, Jr., state and attest that:
(1) I am the Acting Chief Financial Officer of Ocwen Financial Corporation (the "Registrant").
(2) I hereby certify, pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002, that
o the Annual Report on Form 10-K of the Registrant for the year ended December 31, 2005 (the "periodic report") containing financial statements fully complies with the requirements of Section 13(a) or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (15 U.S.C. 78 m or $780(\mathrm{~d})$ ); and
o the information contained in the periodic report fairly represents, in all material respects, the financial condition and results of operations of the Registrant for the periods presented.

Name: /s/ ROBERT J. LEIST, JR
Title: Senior Vice President
and Principal Financial Officer
Date: March 30, 2006

